Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3247/T	Rob Hagemans	254A Finchley Road	29/06/2015 15:42:01	OBJ	This is a majestic, mature tree that adds enormous value to the area's amenity as well as to biodiversity. This sort of tree is precisely the reason trees in a Conservation Area are protected - it adds immeasurably to the area. It is simply irreplaceable and its loss would be a tragedy. Removal of trees often has a detrimental effect on the stability of nearby buildings due to the root dieback that inevitably follows felling. Even if the applicant claims stability as the reason for removal, they are in fact likely to worsen the situation by felling the tree. If there are stability problems related to the tree's growth, the established answer is to reduce and maintain the tree in order to control its growth.
					Please refuse this application forthwith.
2015/3247/T	David Burns	Flat 6 11 Lindfield Gardens London NW36PX	30/06/2015 09:02:19	COMMNT	I would like to register my objection to this planning application. The tree in question is part of the green area stretching up from the Camden Arts Centre to Langland and the mature trees are essential to its character. The tree in question seems perfectly healthy and is an amenity for the neighbourhood as a whole.
2015/3247/T	Neville D. Cousin	Flat 2 11 Lindfield Gardens NW3 6PX	29/06/2015 20:11:43	COMMNT	I write to most strongly object to a healthy, mature Plane tree being felled in my neighbour's garden, in this a Conservation Area.
2015/3247/T	Janet Gompertz	Flat 7 11 Lindfield Gardens London NW3 6PX	29/06/2015 19:38:45	OBJLETTE R	I write to strongly oppose the felling of a mature plane tree in the rear garden of 17 Lindfield Gardens. It has been there for many years and causing no harm whatsoever to the nearby buildings. The tree is perfectly healthy. The large trees in our back gardens are essential to screen out traffic noise and to counteract air pollution from the nearby Finchley Road. Once these mature trees disappear they are never replaced. It is the greenery, and specifically the large trees that characterise our conservation area and make it a desirable place in which to live. I urge Camden to please save this tree from being felled.
2015/3247/T	Christine Rowley	22b lindfield Gardens London nw3 6ps	29/06/2015 21:55:45	OBJ	I am writing on behalf of the Lindfield and Langland Gardens Neighbourhood Association, of which I am Secretary. Our neighbourhood committee has solicited the views of local residents and committee members and I am writing in my official capacity as secretary to place our objection to this planning application to remove this tree. This London Plane tree is one of the largest in our conservation neighbourhood and we are concerned that this is a large and healthy tree which does not need be removed. Please will you refuse this application
2015/3247/T	Suzanne H Grundy	Flat 5 15 Lindfield Gardens NW3 6PX NW3 6PX NW3 6PX	30/06/2015 00:42:36	OBJ	I would like to lodge my objection to the removal of this beautiful London plane tree in a conservation area, which will affect wild-life and add to the destruction of the much needed greenery in our urban environment, especially as this application is for an even further assault on garden space to build an extension to a property nearby.

						Printed on:	02/07/2015	09:05:19
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2015/3247/T	Prof Malcolm and Mrs. C Davina	30 Arkwright road NW3 6BH	30/06/2015 09:32:46	APP	We object to the application in a tree conservation area			
	Weller				Prof and Mrs Weller			

					Printed on: 02/07/2015 09:0
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3247/T	Dr Vicki Harding	Garden Flat 19 Frognal Lane London NW3 7DB	30/06/2015 09:33:56	OBJ	I am writing to strongly object to this application to fell a London plane tree at the rear of 17 Lindfield Gardens.
					Firstly the application is inadequate. "The tree works are proposed to stop the influence of the tree(s) on the soil below building foundation level and provide long term stability." No evidence has been provided that this is occurring. For 17 Lindfield Gardens no reports or evidence have been provided at all. There is no information about what subsidence is occurring and where, no plan, no movement or soil tests, nothing. For 6 Langland Gardens - a linked application - only the very basic evidence for low value trees has been provided, whereas there are medium and high value trees on site. This goes against the LTOA's recommendations prepared with the assent of the subsidence industry.
					Secondly, even with the tests that are provided, evidence of ignorance of this area is apparent and soil test reporting is shameful. These houses sit on significant slopes of known high risk of landslip (see ARUP's 'Camden geological, hydrogeological and hydrological study') on a spring line between Claygate Beds and Band D of the London Clay Formation. To describe the soil as "Stiff brown clay" indicates that a technician with no knowledge of soil types has prepared the report. There is no description of the particle size and amount of the silt and sand within this clay. The rear garden of 17 Lindfield Gardens is extremely soggy in the winter as seeps from the spring line emerge. It is known that where this occurs – as it frequently does in Hampstead – this water and the ground water below washes out the silt from the clay and causes frequent road collapses, drain and water main collapses and building subsidence. Trees in this area help balance this effect, removing excess water until the drains are fixed and the loss of soil volume is rectified where needed.
					 I assume that the subsidence reported but not described is due to several factors, including: Loss of volume from silt wash out. Leaking drains – 17 Lindfield Gardens is not particularly well maintained, and its Victorian drains should be examined and fixed FIRST. Differential subsidence where different foundation depths between older buildings that respect the underlying geology have extensions fixed onto them with deeper foundations set into stiffer clay. Here the proportion of clay within the underlying soil will have an effect.
					Taking any trees out or pollarding them first will compound the problem. When the actual cause of subsidence is discovered and fixed – such as leaking drains replaced etc, then and only then should tree pollarding be performed to remove some EXCESS foliage that grew in response to the excess water in the area, now reduced.
					Thirdly, the rear gardens of Lindfield Gardens south side are an important linking green corridor that will be noted in the coming Neighbourhood Forum's Local Plan. No trees should be unnecessarily removed, particularly tall varieties such as this splendid plane tree.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 02/07/2015 09:05:19 Response:
2015/3247/T	Usha Malhotra	Flat 3 11Lindfield Gardens London NW 3 6 P X	29/06/2015 21:23:51	COMMNT	I strongly object to felling of a perfectly healthy plane tree in 17 Lindfield Gardens
2015/3247/T	Rohan Radia	Flat 4 11 Lindfield Gardens NW36PX NW36PX NW36PX	29/06/2015 21:24:46	COMMLET TER	This action cannot be made. Lindfield Gardens is beautiful and when we look from our balconys we see nature, beauty and we definatley don't want our nature being ruined, do you think this lovely street wants a view of the Finchley Road. No, Nobody does. Please don't spoil it! :(
2015/3247/T	Shruti	Garden flat 11 Lindfield gardens Hampst Nw3 6px	29/06/2015 21:26:14	COMMNT	I write to strongly oppose the felling of a mature plane tree in the rear garden of 17 Lindfield Gardens. It has been there for many years causing no harm to buildings. The tree is perfectly healthy. The large trees in our back gardens are essential to screen out traffic noise and to counteract air pollution from the nearby Finchley Road. Once these mature trees disappear they never get replaced. It is the greenery, and specifically the large trees, that characterise our conservation area and make it a desirable place in which to live. I urge Camden to please save this tree from being felled.
2015/3247/T	charles rowley	22b lindfield Gardens	29/06/2015 21:58:15	OBJ	Dear Sir or Madam I am writing in a personal capacity to object to this planning application to remove this plane tree from 17 Lindfield Gardens. This tree is one of the largest in our neighbourhood and we would like to see it retained. This tree is so tall that we can see it from our house. We hope that you will refuse this application and allow the protection of this mature and healthy tree in our conservation area.
2015/3247/T	Christine Rowley	22b lindfield Gardens London nw3 6ps	29/06/2015 21:59:59	OBJ	I am writing to personally object to this planning application calling for removal of this mature and beautiful tree. Please will you protect this tree in its current state and do not allow for a neighbouring resident to apply for its removal.
2015/3247/T	Claire gasson	38 Arkwright Rd	30/06/2015 01:24:15	OBJ	It is not ok to cut down trees in other people's gardens. This tree is so far away from the extension with subsidence, it really is overkill on the behalf of the insurance company. Therefore I object to this application
2015/3247/T	Linda Chung	15 Langland Gardens NW3 6QE	29/06/2015 19:05:42	COMMNT	I object to the removal of this tree. As the neighbours have said, the tree is perfectly healthy and there is no reason to remove it apart from the ambitions of a potential developer. The planning rules are in place to afford protection to trees which not only provide a valuable amenity to the community but are also an environmental necessity.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3247/T	N.H.Freed	Flat 8 14 LIndfield Gardens London NW3	29/06/2015 20:03:23	OBJ	I write to strongly oppose the felling of a mature plane tree in the rear garden of 17 Lindfield Gardens. It has been there for many years causing no harm to buildings. The tree is perfectly healthy. The large trees in our back gardens are essential to screen out traffic noise and to counteract air pollution from the nearby Finchley Road
2015/3247/T	N.H.Freed	Flat 8 14 LIndfield Gardens London NW3	29/06/2015 20:03:25	OBJ	
2015/3247/T	Neville D. Cousin	Flat 2 11 Lindfield Gardens NW3 6PX	29/06/2015 20:11:20	COMMNT	I write to most strongly object to a healthy, mature Plane tree being felled in my neighbour's garden, in this a Conservation Area.
2015/3247/T	David Burns	Flat 6 11 Lindfield Gardens London NW36PX	30/06/2015 09:02:33	COMMNT	I would like to register my objection to this planning application. The tree in question is part of the green area stretching up from the Camden Arts Centre to Langland and the mature trees are essential to its character. The tree in question seems perfectly healthy and is an amenity for the neighbourhood as a whole.
2015/3247/T	Myra Farnworth	2c Lindfield Gardens	29/06/2015 22:25:24	OBJEMPER	Please don't let us loose this wonderful tree. It would be a sacrilege for this tree to be cut down. Why on earth would Camden give permission to cut down such a mature and wonderful tree? I strongly object to planning permission being given. Please do not do so.
2015/3247/T	Rob Hagemans	254A Finchley Road	29/06/2015 15:41:51	COMMNT	This is a majestic, mature tree that adds enormous value to the area's amenity as well as to biodiversity. This sort of tree is precisely the reason trees in a Conservation Area are protected - it adds immeasurably to the area. It is simply irreplaceable and its loss would be a tragedy.
					Removal of trees often has a detrimental effect on the stability of nearby buildings due to the root dieback that inevitably follows felling. Even if the applicant claims stability as the reason for removal, they are in fact likely to worsen the situation by felling the tree. If there are stability problems related to the tree's growth, the established answer is to reduce and maintain the tree in order to control its growth.
					Please refuse this application forthwith.

					Printed on: 02/07/2015 09:05:19
Application	No: Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/3247/7	Mrs Elke Perton	17c Lindfield Gardens	29/06/2015 18:36:20	OBJ	Ref: 2015/3247/T
		London NW3 1HD			I object to this application to remove a Plane tree in this Conservation area.
					This healthy, mature Plane Tree is situated at the rear of the garden of 17 Lindfield Gardens where it borders the garden of 6 Langland Gardens.
					A previous application was made in 1991 to remove this tree, for the same claimed reasons i.e. potential subsidence issues, and was refused. (application ref: 9192142)
					This tree is at least 75 years old. It is one of the largest plane trees in the area and truly majestic. It can be seen by most of the uneven numbered houses in the street. It is part of their view from their back windows.
					The tree provides screening allowing privacy in the gardens; it buffers the heavy traffic noise from nearby Finchley Road; it absorbs pollutants; it is beautiful to look at and a haven for wildlife.
					The root report included in related documents as part of related application 2015/2173/T, states quite boldly (in capitals) that the root that was found of this tree in the soil near the house was very THIN. As the letter
					" TP/BH1, 1.5m 1 root: most referable to PLATANUS (Plane). This was a very THIN sample. A further root, not examined in detail appeared similar under low magnification."
					If the previous application, made over 24 years ago, was for the same reasons then not much growth in the roots is evident.
					According to the Royal Horticultural Society, Plane trees reaches maturity between $20 - 50$ years. This tree then should effectively not be growing anymore which means that roots will not be extending anymore. During a drought situation there may be some tiny roots reaching to get water.
					There are underground rivers in this area close to where the tree is sited. Any removal will significantly alter the water table (it is a very large tree). This in turn may have impact on subsidence given the London clay.

I have lived at 17 Lindfield Gardens for over 54 years. When I moved in the tree in question was already large. If it were removed, it would completely alter the character of the garden and the Conservation area in general. Its removal would create a large unsightly gap. Removal seems a drastic action to take for one (very) thin root.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received: Comment:	Printed on: 02/07/2015 09:05:19 Response:
				 There is a pending large development application at 4 Langland Gardens (2015/3036/P) that involves an excavation of a large basement. My concern is this might have motivated this tree removal application as the landlords of 6 Langland Gardens said, via email, they only want surrounding trees pruned NOT removed. It is unclear, to me, who exactly is making this application for removal: when a search is done for 6 Langland Gardens on the Camden planning site it does not show this application. It can only be found by entering the application reference number. I request that this application is refused. I also ask for Camden Council to place a tree preservation order on this particular Plane tree. I was surprised to learn it hasn't got a TPO. It needs protecting.
				Yours sincerely Elke Perton
2015/3247/T	Alan and Pamela	27 Lindfield Gardens Hampstead London	29/06/2015 22:16:26 COMMNT	We are very surprised If Camden allows the felling of this tree when the Tree Officer only allowed us minimal trimming of our trees . Secondly, this is a conservation area and trees should not be felled unless they are a danger to the public.