

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2988/P	Kevin Logan	Thurstan Dwellings Newton st. wc2b5ep wc2b5ep wc2b5ep	28/06/2015 12:10:14	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposed work on the grounds that the increased height and over development of the building will impact adversely on my home, reducing natural light, increasing overlooking and noise from its multiple flats.</p> <p>In addition, the latest design is not in context with neighbouring Holland & Thurstan Dwellings' planted courtyards. A greener concept with trees screening the short space between two buildings + a living green roof atop the lower social housing section had been promised - none of which has been upheld by the new developers.</p> <p>Further to the original developers agreed the following construction plan that the current developers BNP Parabis are refusing to adhere to. I am very concerned that the new additions to the plan will be intrusive to our privacy. The original developers agreed to a number of points, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A screen of trees in the garden to block the view from Parker Street into our flats. This especially affects Thurstan. - No Saturday or weekend working during construction. - No licensed premises of any kind to be part of the development - The tower crane to be placed as far away as possible from Holland and Thurstan's dividing wall and for it to be in place for a short a time as possible. - A screen of trees in the garden to block the view from Parker Street into our flats. This especially affects Thurstan. - A 24hour concierge in the building when it is up and running to stop noise coming from 'party' short-term sub lets.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2988/P	SAMANTHA HARRIE	flat 7 thurstan dwellings newton st. WC2B 5EP WC2B 5EP WC2B 5EP	27/06/2015 16:54:07	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposed work referred to above on the grounds that the increased height & over development of the building will impact adversely on my home, reducing natural light, increasing overlooking & noise from its multiple flats & occupants.</p> <p>In addition, the outgoing previous developers had agreed at several meetings with residents on certain specific conditions to ensure minimal disturbance to our homes, thus obtaining planning permission without our objection. The new developers have given us no such assurances. We are very concerned that the new additions to the plan will be intrusive to our privacy</p> <p>Furthermore, the latest design is not in context with neighbouring Holland & Thurstan Dwellings' planted courtyards & ivy covered walls. A greener concept with trees screening the short space between two buildings + a living green roof atop the lower social housing section had been promised - none of which has been upheld by the new developers.</p> <p>Further to this the original developers agreed the following construction plan that the current developers BNP Parabis are refusing to adhere to. WE agreed verbally with the developers:</p> <p>We asked for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No Saturday or weekend working during construction. - No licensed premises of any kind to be part of the development - The tower crane to be placed as far away as possible from Holland and Thurstan's dividing wall and for it to be in place for a short a time as possible. - Deliveries being kept away from H&T dividing wall and the road in front of Holland. - A screen of trees in the garden to block the view from Parker Street into our flats. This especially affects Thurstan. - A 24hour concierge in the building when it is up and running to stop noise coming from 'party' short term sub lets.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2988/P	PAUL TAAFFE	14 HOLLAND DWELLINGS newton st. LONDON WC2B5EP	27/06/2015 17:02:37	OBJ	<p>paul taaffe--14 holland dwellings newton st wc2b5es--tel</p> <p>associated reference;2015/0746/pre.....2014/0176/p</p> <p>i wish to object to the planning permission 2015/2988 on the following grounds</p> <p>1] the design of the building is contrary to what was agreed with the developers when they made their original application for planning in the new application they have added more homes without adding any more significant social housing.</p> <p>we as a community are disturbed that the councils 50/50% policy of private housing / social housing has been ignored and would like further consultation with everything out in the open so we can see who is in fact benefiting from this.</p> <p>the layout of the building has been changed also, again as a community we were promised a consultation period with the developers this was re affirmed recently by the developers representatives no such meeting has been offered by the developers.</p> <p>2] the external appearance has also been changed since the original planning application we had meetings with the developers were it was agreed that materials would be use that mitigated the effect of "" overlooking"" into our homes again they have moved the goal posts without consultation.</p> <p>3] we were told that there would be public access to four disabled parking bays in the basement of parker tower we would like this confirmed in writing.</p> <p>4] parker tower is extremely close too both holland dwellings and thurston dwellings, we had extensive discussions with the developers re; sight lines into our homes we have no idea now if the agreements reached will be honoured as the new team of developers as they seem to want to dismiss any accord reached with the previous developer.</p> <p>the proposal is to put two extra floors on top of the parker tower structure, this will of course effect the amount of light reaching our homes over the course of the seasons.</p> <p>5] there will be 2 years [or more] of noise and pollution caused by this site, we want to have it confirmed in writing that a particulate metering system will be in place so air quality can be monitored.</p> <p>when the building is finished we will have a whole new block of flats trying to park, deliver, receive visitors in a street were it is already impossible to park a car in the evening when people Finnish work there simply is no more space for vehicles in this tiny residential street parker tower faces a primary school [st josephs] which brings with it the school run, were do we put all the excess traffic that will</p>

Application No: Consultees Name: Consultees Addr: Received:

Comment: Response:

be generated by this building ?.

6] this site will be lost as a potential site for social housing , with no appreciable gain to the community.

7] this community has been devastated by over a decade of continuous development, if it is not building it is road works that take months up graded the infra structure to accommodate all these new users.

in holland dwellings alone there is a home on every level seeking to swap or move by other means [6 floors in holland] the community have been let down time after time by the planning system that simply does not protect the community from voracious development

this developer is doing what they all do gets planning permission then re applies to get more planning permission too increase profits by putting in more money generating homes, no thought is giving to the infra structure that will have to support these new residents no though is given to the community that will have to support these homes, no thought is given to the quality of life of the current residents.

there are seven residential blocks in newton street and a hotel we have enough to cope with as things stand.

hoxton hotel did the same got planning then re applied for an extra fifty rooms or so, its a game to these people no thought what so ever as to the consequences on local infra structure.

the original developer assured us that he would follow this project through till completion, he assured us that the same architect would follow through to completion, he assured us that the plans he proposed would be the plans used, he assured us that work would start jan/15, all this has been proven false these assurances were given in public meetings, he has simply got permission and flipped it.

we agreed to not object to the original planning application based on these points

a] no saturday or weekend working

b] tower crane to be situated as far from holland and thrust as possible

c] deliveries to be kept away from holland and thurston diving wall

d] slats on windows of parker tower to stop sight line into H&T flats

e] a screen of trees to block the view from parker st into H&T homes

f] an annual award of £1000 per household too cover the extra cleaning and nuisance caused by parker tower intrusive development

g] a 24 concierge system when building is occupied, aria house is a similar development the other side of us, it is let to short term students who party and keep family awake

h] no licenses premises any were in the building.

we have to have these points agreed in writing and enforceable.

we feel any communications with any one from parker tower must be attended by a planning officer or a councillor

Application No: **Consultees Name:** **Consultees Addr:** **Received:** **Comment:** **Response:**

as we have no faith in any thing said by them that is not witnessed and recorded.

regards paul taaffe 19/6/15
