					Printed on: 29/06/2015 09:	9:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2015/2727/P	Richard Simpson for Primrose Hill CAAC	12A Manley Street London NW1 8LT	27/06/2015 11:54:36	COMMNT	ADVICE from Primrose Hill Conservation Area Advisory Committee 12A Manley Street, London NW1 8LT	
					18 June 2015	
					113 Regent's Park Road, Bibendum 2015/2727 first floor	
					We agree that the first floor of the building was in B1 (office) use at the required date in 2013, and that this floor is eligible for conversion under the provisions of GPDO Class J.	
					We nonetheless very much regret the loss of employment uses, which are acknowledged to be a fundamental element in determining the character and appearance of the conservation area. We note that the Council's Article 4 Direction restricting the GPDO rights in our area will come into effect on 19 October 2015.	
					Richard Simpson FSA Chair	

	~	~			Printed on: 29/06/2015 09:05:18
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2727/P	mark scantlebury	14 chesterfield house 1b king henrys road nw3 3qp nw3 3qp nw3 3qp	26/06/2015 11:40:29	COMMNT	 1- As i understand it under the permission they are seeking bibendum cannot alter the external appearance nor structure of the property this includes the windows. Previously planning application number 9500388 shows that a document on 11/2/1998 number 86385 show a condition imposed on the property that the 4 windows at 1st floor level remain opaque to protect privacy of residents and that a drawing also listed here from 27/10/2008 that shows the two large windows on the ground floor on the far left as a condition of planning are also opaque and permanently fixed shut up to a hight of 1.7 metres. To keep the external appearance of the property then both these conditions needs to be carried over The drawings on planning application 2015/2726/P, 2015/2727/P and 2014/7695/P of the rear elevation has removed this condition. We ask it remains as the reason for it is still valid- it protects ours and the other residents privacy and quality of life otherwise bibendum can see directly into our home-the bedrooms and living room. 2- the plan for the car park on 2012/2726/P and 2015/2727/P. Car parking space 9 is butted right up against our garden wall. Currently there is a gap of around a metre and a half between that space and our wall. Its important this remains because this route is a fire escape for the residents of the chesterfields and the two businesses on regents park road. These two businesses need to keep that rear access for deliveries. Secondly having a route there allows us access to the front of our garages. It also allows the businesses to temporarily park to deliver down that current walkway and around the rear of the chesterfield flats. We still need access to our garages and the businesses still need access for deliveries. It should be notes that the residential garages, the chesterfields and emerald house have right of access in the rear carpark. Finally i can"t see how a car can safely park there without damaging our wall- ther just isn"t room. Nor is there enough ro

				D	C	Printed on: 29/06/2015 09:05	15:18
	Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
	2015/2727/P	mark scantlebury	Flat 14 Chesterfield House	26/06/2015 09:42:57	COMMNT	Dear Nanayaa,	
			1b King Henrys			I wish to register my objection to the planning permission.	
			Road			1- As i understand it under the permission they are seeking bibendum cannot alter the external	
			NW3 3QP			appearance nor structure of the property this includes the windows. Previously planning application	
			NW3 3QP NW3 3QP			number 9500388 shows that a document on 11/2/1998 number 86385 show a condition imposed on the property that the 4 windows at 1st floor level remain opaque to protect privacy of residents and that a	
			NW3 SQF			drawing also listed here from 27/10/2008 that shows the two large windows on the ground floor on the	
						far left as a condition of planning are also opaque and permanently fixed shut up to a hight of 1.7	
						metres. To keep the external appearance of the property then both these conditions needs to be carried	
						The drawings on planning application 2015/2726/P, 2015/2727/P and 2014/7695/P of the rear elevation has removed this condition. We ask it remains as the reason for it is still valid- it protects ours	
						and the other residents privacy and quality of life otherwise bibendum can see directly into our home-	
						the bedrooms and living room.	
						2- the plan for the car park on 2012/2726/P and 2015/2727/P. Car parking space 9 is butted right up against our garden wall. Currently there is a gap of around a metre and a half between that space and	
						our wall. Its important this remains because this route is a fire escape for the residents of the chesterfields and the two businesses on regents park road. These two businesses need to keep that rear access for deliveries.	
						Secondly having a route there allows us access to the front of our garages. It also allows the businesses	
						to temporarily park to deliver down that current walkway and around the rear of the chesterfield flats.	
						We still need access to our garages and the businesses still need access for deliveries. It should be notes	
						that the residential garages, the chesterfields and emerald house have right of access in the rear carpark. Finally i can't see how a car can safely park there without damaging our wall- there just isn't room. Nor	
						is there enough room for the driver or passenger of the car to actually get out of their car as its right up	
						against our wall- the 1 and a half metre walkway needs to be reinstated.	
						3- If the planning is given can a condition be placed on it that they can only build Monday to Friday	
						during working hours and over a set period of time. This is because unlike many other structures under	
						going renovation it is within a few meters of our homes and it would be unfair for us to have to live through the building work 6- 7 days a week. 5 days a week seems fair.	
						unough the building work 0- / days a week. 5 days a week seems fail.	

thank you