

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2534/P	Marion Sossen	Flat 9 5 Lambolle Road London NW3 4HS	22/06/2015 13:04:06	OBJ	<p>Application 2015/2534/P</p> <p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I can see this extension directly from my apartment in Lambolle Road. There has been little attempt to improve the awful extension that has been built. The width will still be nearly 70% of the non compliant built extension. It still abuts the Bay window thereby destroying its fine features and profile. It is still too deep. I believe the Planning portal states a maximum depth of 4 metres and this is nearly 10 metres deep for a width of 6metres...just horrendous, destroying the fine architecture of Belsize Park. And its too high...its nearly as high as the retrospective application that was refused permission in 2011. I strongly object and ask that permission is refused.</p> <p>Marion Sossen</p>
2015/2534/P	Jane Vogler	Flat 5 5 Lambolle Road London NW3 4HS	22/06/2015 13:33:01	OBJ	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>With regard to the above application, the extension sits opposite my flat in Lambolle Road and to date, in spite of a previous hearing, has not been improved.</p> <p>The width is still 70% of the non compliant built extension and does not fit in with the architecture of the main building.</p> <p>My understanding is that the planning portal states a maximum depth of four metres and the present plans show a depth of 10 metres for a width of six metres. This means that it is nearly as high as the 2011 retrospective application that was refused permission previously.</p> <p>It is because of the above that I strongly object and ask that planning is refused.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2534/P	Thomas Budd	59 Lancaster Grove London NW3 4HD	20/06/2015 17:23:05	COMMNT	<p>I strongly object to the grant of planning consent in relation to this proposal.</p> <p>My understanding is that the current extension is unauthorised and is subject to a planning enforcement notice. Section 70C of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 confers on local planning authorities power to decline to determine a retrospective planning application that is subject to a planning enforcement notice - Wingrove v Stratford on Avon District Council [2015] EWHC 287.</p> <p>A local planning authority should think carefully before granting any application for retrospective planning consent. The owner of this property has a history of disregarding planning laws and the grant of consent in this case will encourage others to undertake developments without obtaining planning consent and apply for retrospective consent only if challenged.</p> <p>The current proposal has many objectionable features. It would increase the density of buildings to an unacceptable extent and impact adversely on a designated conservation area. It would also reduce green space in a locality where there has been significant loss of green space in recent years, and threaten surrounding trees.</p> <p>I urge the Council to reject this application and to require prompt and full compliance with the terms of the existing enforcement notice.</p>
2015/2534/P	Jane Vogler	Flat 5 5 Lambolle Road London NW3 4HS	22/06/2015 13:32:39	OBJ	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>With regard to the above application, the extension sits opposite my flat in Lambolle Road and to date, in spite of a previous hearing, has not been improved.</p> <p>The width is still 70% of the non compliant built extension and does not fit in with the architecture of the main building.</p> <p>My understanding is that the planning portal states a maximum depth of four metres and the present plans show a depth of 10 metres for a width of six metres. This means that it is nearly as high as the 2011 retrospective application that was refused permission previously.</p> <p>It is because of the above that I strongly object and ask that planning is refused.</p>