

Comments Form

Name..... Miss Margaret PENNELL

Address..... Studio D,119,Haverstock Hill,NW3 4RS

Email address..... N/A

Telephone number..... [REDACTED]

Planning application number..... 2015/2641/P

Planning application address..... Flat 29,119 Haverstock Hill,NW3 4RS

I support the application (please state reasons below)

I object to the application (please state reasons below)

Your comments

Before I comment on the proposals I need to draw attention to inaccurate & misleading information contained in this Application of 5.5.15.

Intro. Item 3 It is misleading to state Flat 29-my immediate neighbour-is on levels 4 & 5. The flat is on the 4th floor ^{by 4} one room over half the front living rm.

Misleading

Item 5 The white plastic(maintenance free)privacy fence is a Landlord responsibility provided to shield the 4 adjacent terraces -which are one storey below 29 from it's residents view,and contractors visiting the North Block's Utility Services,i.e.lift engineers servicing 4 times a year & breakdowns servicing/repairing the bathroom air extraction system, servicing the central drainage system/clearing blockages,repairs to the lighting & the central water supply.,and over the last 2 years frequent visits fom surveyors,roofers,building contractor to eliminate terrace 29 leaks. When the fence blew down in the storm of 1987,the presence of workmen being overly curious was a real nuisance.

Incorrect

Principles There is only the top 4th floor (fixed)kitchen window of no 121 which is 1m.sq. ^{that} looks out on to the top of the terrace staircase opposite,and as the view is from behind the sink work top and is over 10m.it is very limited.

Misleading It is a matter of opinion whether wooden posts with stringer covered with artificial ivy(which will fade) will benefit their view or that of Haverstock Hill.

Privacy The 4 screens on the 4 rear terraces facing out over the garages are 95mm. high fixed onto the 1m. high brick side walls,separating one terrace from another.They are one storey below Terrace 29 and that of the rear roof line of the main building.They are not visible to the public at all.

Screens

Public Notice

Another matter of concern is that there no Planning Notice Publicly Displayed. PRO

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish Yes Continued on Page 2.

Comments on Planning Application No.2015/2641/P Cont'd

Public Notice

Another matter of concern is that there is no Planning Notice publicly displayed in the area. I have been told by a fellow resident that one Application Notice was fixed to the Parking Notice pole outside 119, but by the 10th June when I had returned from holiday, it had been removed, and now there is nothing on Haverstock Hill making it known. This means that nearby owners/residents, particularly those opposite who will be affected, are not aware of these proposals and being given the opportunity (to which they are entitled) to comment on their changed view.

My OBJECTIONS

APPEARANCE-The design and appearance of 119 is attractive and pleasing, mainly due to the clean sleek lines of the slim strip like windows, which are complimented and reflected by the balanced lines of the different roof levels, giving an almost Art Deco look to building. The addition proposed for the N Block brick frontage of half glass (some times) and half green wood posts with stringers covered with artificial ivy, is entirely out of keeping with the rest of the building line, it looks very odd, is out of place and balance, plus being aesthetically displeasing to look at. Moreover, where is the privacy principle with glass that is there sometimes and not others. Where is the biodiversity with all the artificial 'planting'.

SAFETY-A serious concern that terrace 29 experiences very high gusty winds blowing across-as high as 90 mph. and the glass panes look if they will catch these - like a sail in the wind-as they appear to be fixed to concrete battens laid on the roof floor, which to my mind makes them quite vulnerable to gale force winds. During the 1987 storm two fences were blown down, one was between my terrace and 29, and the other at the other end of the building - which the then Lessee had changed to unauthorised glass panels - which shattered on the ground below-at the side of the building. Whereas the proposed panels overlook the front driveway and street. Such glass panels-particularly fancy up and down ones-would need to have very strong Guarantees as to their safety.

M. Pennell
17/6/15