PROPERTY ASSURANCE
Giving our all

SITE INVESTIGATION
FACTUAL REPORT

Report No:	246131
Client:	Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone
Site:	70-72, 70-72, Crediton Hill London
Client Ref:	[redacted]
Date of Visit:	07/01/2015

ISO 9001 REGISTERED FIRM | ISO 14001 REGISTERED FIRM | OHSAS 18001 REGISTERED FIRM | Link-up Qualified via Audit | SAFEcontractor APPROVED | CHAS Accredited Contractor | constructionline

Home Emergency Response - Subsidence Investigation - Drainage Services – Crack & Level Monitoring – Property Video Surveys

Unit E2 First Floor Suite, Boundary Court
Willow Farm Business Park, Castle Donington
Leicestershire, DE74 2NN

☎ 0843 2272362
✉ enquiries@cet-uk.com
💻 www.cet-uk.com

Investigation Layout Plan

Sheet: 1 of 1

Job No: 246131E

Date: 07/01/2015

Site: 70-72 Crediton Hill, NW6

Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey

LBI	MD/SE	AR
(SI)	(Checked)	(Drawn)

Weather: DRY



ON SITE TREE IDENTIFICATION FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. NOT AUTHENTICATED.

Remarks:

Parking - permit
Water supply - in house.
Site access - good.
Power - internal

Scale: N.T.S.

Key:

Combined Gulley	RWWG
Manhole	MH
Rain Water Pipe	RWP
Rain Water Gulley	RWG
Soil Vent Pipe	SVP
Waste Gulley	WG
Waste Pipe	WP

Surface Water Drain

Foul Water Drain

Tree / Bush (approx. ht in m)

Trial Pit

Borehole

O/D - Open Discharge

Trial Pit No: 1

Sheet: 1 of 1

Job No: 246131E

Date: 07/01/2015

Site: 70 - 72 Crediton Hill, NW6

Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey

Excavation Method: Hand tools

Drawn by: AR

Ground Level mOD:

Weather: Dry



Remarks: All measurements in millimetres.

Key:

D	Small disturbed sample	J	Jar sample
B	Bulk disturbed sample	V	Pilcon Vane (kPa)
W	Water sample	M	Mackintosh probe
TDTD	Too dense to drive		

Logged: LBI | Checked: SE | Approved: | Scale: N.T.S.

Borehole No: 1	Sheet: 1 of 1	
Datum	Job No: 246131E	Site: 70 - 72, Crediton Hill, NW6
Boring Method: CFA	Date: 07/01/2015	
Diameter: 100mm / Coordinates:	Ground Level mOD:	Work Carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey

Depth (m)	Description of Strata	Thick-ness (m)	Legend	Sample	Test Type	Test Result	Depth (m)	Field Records/Comments	Depth to water (m)
	As trial pit 1	1.18							
1.18									
	Firm, mid brown, grey veined silty CLAY with partings of orange silt and fine sand and occasional carbon flecks	0.32		D			1.50	Roots to 1mm diameter to 1.8m	
1.50									
				D	V	108 98	2.00	Hair and fibrous roots to 2.3m	
				D			2.50	No roots observed below 2.3m	
		2.50							
	Stiff as above			D	V	118 120	3.00		
				D			3.50		
4.00				D	V	140+ 140+	4.00		
	Very stiff, mid brown, grey veined silty CLAY with partings of orange silt and fine sand, occasional carbon flecks and crystals	2.00		D			4.50		
				D	V	140+ 140+	5.00		
6.00									
	Borehole ends at 6.0m								

Remarks:
Borehole dry and open on completion
No samples taken or insitu strength testing carried out below 5.0m

Key: T.D.T.D. Too Dense to Drive
D Small disturbed sample
J Jar sample
B Bulk disturbed sample
V Pilcon Vane (kPa)
W Water sample
M Mackintosh Probe

Logged: LBI	Checked: SE	Drawn: Jo F	Scale: NTS	Weather: Dry

Our Ref : 246131

Laboratory Testing Results

Date Sampled: 07/01/2015

Location : 70-72, Crediton Hill, NW6

Date Received : 08/01/2015

Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone

Date Tested : 08/01/2015

Date of Report : 16/01/2015

Sample Ref		Type	Moisture Content	Soil Fraction	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Liquidity Index	Modified Plasticity Index	Soil Class	Filter Paper Contact Time	Soil Sample Suction	In situ Shear Vane Strength	Organic Content	pH Value	Sulphate Content (g / l)		Class
TP/BH No	Depth (m)		(%) *[1]*	> 0.425mm (%) *[2]*	(%) *[3]*	(%) *[4]*	(%) *[5]*	*[5]*	(%) [6	*[7]*	(h) *[8]*	(kPa)	(kPa) *[9]*	(%) *[10]*	*[11]*	SO_3 *[12]*	SO_4 *[13]*	*[14]*
1	0.98(U/S)	D	36	<5	72	24	48	0.25	48	CV	168	109	59					
	1.5	D	31	<5														
	2.0	D	29	<5	74	24	50	0.10	50	CV	168	369	101					
	2.5	D	31	<5	78	26	52	0.10	52	CV	168	349						
	3.0	D	33	<5									119					
	3.5	D	32	<5	73	26	47	0.12	47	CV	168	200						
	4.0	D	31	<5									> 140					
	4.5	D	31	<5							168	285						
	5.0	D	29	<5							168	256	> 140					

Test Methods / Notes

[1] BS 1377 : Part 2 : 1990, Test No 3.2

[2] Estimated if <5%, otherwise measured

[3] BS 1377 : Part 2 : 1990, Test No 4.4

[4] BS 1377 : Part 2 : 1990, Test No 5.3

[5] BS 1377 : Part 2 : 1990, Test No 5.4

[6] BRE Digest 240 : 1993

[7] BS 5930 : 1981 : Figure 31 - Plasticity Chart for the classification of fine soils

[8] In-house method S9a adapted from BRE IP 4/93

[9] Values of shear strength were determined in situ by CET using a Pilcon hand vane or Geonor vane (GV).

[10] BS 1377 : Part 3 : 1990, Test No 4

[11] BS 1377 : Part 2 : 1990, Test No 9

[12] BS 1377 : Part 3 : 1990, Test No 5.6

[13] $SO_4 = 1.2 \times SO_3$

[14] BRE Special Digest One (Concrete in Aggressive Ground) August 2005

Note that if the SO_4 content falls into the DS-4 or DS-5 class, it would be prudent to consider the sample as falling into the DS-4m or DS-5m class respectively unless water soluble magnesium testing is undertaken to prove otherwise

Key

D	Disturbed sample (small)
B	Disturbed sample (bulk)
U	Undisturbed sample
W	Groundwater sample
ENP	Essentially Non-Plastic by inspection
U/S	Underside of Foundation

Moisture Content and Suction Profiles

Our Ref :	246131		Date Sampled : 07/01/2015
Location :	70-72, Crediton Hill, NW6		Date Received : 08/01/2015
Work carried out for:	Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone	Note : Unless specifically noted the profiles have not been related to a site datum.	Date Tested : 08/01/2015
			Date of Report : 16/01/2015





Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 (after Driscoll, 1983) should only be applied to London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths.

Note

When shown, the theoretical equilibrium suction profiles are based on conventional assumptions associated with London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths. Note that the sample disturbance component is dependant on the method of sampling and any subsequent recompaction. The above plots show this to be 100kPa which is the value suggested by the BRE on the basis of their limited number of tests on recompacted samples. This may or may not be appropriate in this instance and judgement should be exercised.

Moisture Content and Shear Strength Profiles

Our Ref : 246131

Location : 70-72, Crediton Hill, NW6

Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone

Note : Unless specifically noted the profiles have not been related to a site datum.

Date Sampled : 07/01/2015

Date Received : 08/01/2015

Date Tested : 08/01/2015

Date of Report : 16/01/2015

Moisture Content Profile(s)



Shear Strength Profile (s)



Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 (after Driscoll, 1983) should only be applied to London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths.

Note

Unless otherwise stated, values of Shear Strength were determined in situ by CET using a Pilcon Hand Vane the calibration of which is limited to a maximum reading of 140 kPa.

Moisture Content and Suction Profiles

Our Ref :	246131		Date Sampled : 07/01/2015
Location :	70-72, Crediton Hill, NW6		Date Received : 08/01/2015
Work carried out for:	Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone	Note : Unless specifically noted the profiles have not been related to a site datum.	Date Tested : 08/01/2015
			Date of Report : 16/01/2015





Notes

1. If plotted, 0.4 LL and PL+2 (after Driscoll, 1983) should only be applied to London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths.

Note

When shown, the theoretical equilibrium suction profiles are based on conventional assumptions associated with London Clay (and similarly overconsolidated clays) at shallow depths. Note that the sample disturbance component is dependant on the method of sampling and any subsequent recompaction. The above plots show this to be 100kPa which is the value suggested by the BRE on the basis of their limited number of tests on recompacted samples. This may or may not be appropriate in this instance and judgement should be exercised.

Tree Root Identification Ltd

Sheet: 1 of 1

Job No: 246131
Date: 12/01/2015
Order No: 640805

Our Ref: CET120115

Site: 70-72 Crediton Hill, London.

Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey

Certificate of Analysis

The following work was commissioned by CET on behalf of their client. Root samples were obtained in sealed packets from the above site with no reference given as to the types of tree or shrub from which they may have originated.
The results were as follows -

Trial pit/ Borehole number	**Root diameter (mm)**	**Tree, shrub or climber from which root originates**	**Result of starch test#**
TP1 (underside)	6.0	Salix (willow) or Populus (poplar)* (3 roots)	positive
BH1 (roots to a depth of 2.3m)	<0.5	Salix (willow) or Populus (poplar)* (1 root)	positive

The presence of starch indicates that the root was alive in the recent past.

* Roots of willows and poplars are indistinguishable.

Ronald Macleod

DR RONALD D MACLEOD
Principal Scientist

Address for correspondence: 'Mandaya', Highfield Place, BANKFOOT, By Perth, PH1 4AX.
Telephone: 01738 787448 / 07582 733 406
e-mail: rdmmacleod@btconnect.com ***web site*** : www.treerootidentification.com
Principal Scientist: R.D. MacLeod, B.Sc., Ph.D.,
Accounts/Quality Manager: Fiona M. Sinclair, BA English Studies (Merit)
Registered in Scotland, No. 358068. Registered Office: "Mandaya", Highfield Place, Bankfoot, PH1 4AX.

To: Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone
4 North Court
South Park Business Village
Armstrong Road
Kent
ME15 6JZ

Our Ref: **246131**

Your Ref: **7775477**

Date: **08-Jan-15**

Ftao: David Cahoon

ESTIMATE

Site:- **70-72 Crediton Hill, London**

Item		Amount
	No recommendations required to the private drainage surveyed.	
	Drains shared vwith flats	

Notes

Repairs to shared runs and off boundary pipe-work may be the responsibility of the water authority.

Condition Grade

A - Structurally sound with no leakage evident.
B - Cracks and fractures observed.
C - Structurally unsound

Total	£0.00
plus VAT @20%	£0.00
Total + VAT	£0.00

Quotation is binding only if accepted within 28 days from date of issue and is subject to our Standard Terms and Conditions
The price qualification notes, stated on the drainage solutions schedule of rates, apply to this quotation.
CET Structures Ltd undertakes to return to site free of charge to carry out remedial work to the drainage repairs set out above for a period of 2 months from the date of this invoice. The company standard charge rates will apply to the visit should the work requested be unrelated to the said repairs.

Underground Drainage Report	Sheet: 1 of 1 Job No: 246131 Date: 7-Jan-15	Site: 70-72 Crediton Hill, London Work carried out for: Cunningham Lindsey - Maidstone

MANHOLE DETAILS

Manhole	Depth to Invert	Condition
MH1	2170mm	As built

CCTV Survey:-

1. **Drainage Run:**

From manhole 1 run 1 to rain water gully 1 - 100mm clay surface water - upstream (shared with flats)

Metres:	Code:	Observations:	Surface Material/ Condition:
0.0		Start	Concrete for 0.9m
0.1	MC	To cast iron	then bin store for 1m
4.5	DE	10%	then concrete for 2.9m
5.1	DE	20%	then slabs for 4m
7.6	DE	20%	
8.1	LR		
8.5	LU		
8.8	FH	Survey ends - reached RWG1	

Gully condition: As built

- End of Survey -

Our assessment of the drainage system is based on our visual inspection and on information collated at the time of the survey. Where assumptions have been made these are based on our experience and do not constitute any form of guarantee, nor do we guarantee that further deterioration will not occur following this survey. CCTV video records will be stored for a period of 3 months from date of inspection and then destroyed.

Water Test Grade:

0 - Unable to fill
1 - Heavy Loss
2 - Medium Loss over 2 minutes
3 - Slow Loss over 5 minutes
4 - No Loss

Water Authority Sewer Condition Codes

B	Broken pipe at… (or from… to..) o'clock
BR	Branch Major
CC	Crack circumferential from… to… o'clock
CL	Crack longitudinal @… o'clock
CM	Cracks multiple from… to… o'clock
CN	Connection at… o'clock, diameter… mm
CNI	Connection at… o'clock, diameter… mm, intrusion… mm
CU	Camera under water
CX	Connection defective at… o'clock
CXI	Connection defective at… o'clock, diameter… mm, intrusion… mm
D	Deformed sewer… %
DB	Displaced bricks at (or from.. to..) o'clock
DC	Dimension of sewer changes at this point
DE	Debris (non silt/grease)… % cross-sectional loss
DEG	Debris grease… % cross-sectional area loss
DES	Debris silt… % cross-sectional area loss
DI	Dropped invert, gap… mm
EHJ	Encrustation heavy from.. to.. o'clock % cross-sectional area loss (at joint)
ELJ	Encrustation light from.. to.. o'clock%
EMJ	Encrustation medium from.. to.. o'clock %, cross-sectional area loss (at joint)
ESH	Scale heavy… % cross-sectional area loss from... to… o'clock
ESL	Scale light from… to… o'clock
ESM	Scale medium… % cross-sectional area loss from… to… o'clock
FC	Fracture circumferential from… to… o'clock
FL	Fracture longitudinal at… o'clock
FM	Fractures multiple from… to… o'clock
GO	General observation at this point
GP	General photograph number… taken at this point
H	Hole in sewer at… o'clock
IDJ	Infiltration dripper at (or from… to…) o'clock (at joint)
IGJ	Infiltration gusher at (or from… to…) o'clock (at joint)
IRJ	Infiltration runner at (or from… to…) o'clock (at joint)
ISJ	Infiltration seeper at (or from… to…) o'clock (at joint)
JDM	Joint displaced medium
JDL	Joint displaced large
JN	Junction at…o'clock, diameter…mm
JX	Junction defective at.. o'clock, diameter.. mm
LC	Lining of sewer changes/starts/finishes at this point
LD	Line of sewer deviates down
LL	Line of sewer deviates left
LN	Line defect at (or from.. to..) o'clock
LR	Line of sewer deviates right
LU	Line of sewer deviates up
MB	Missing bricks at.. (or from.. to..) o'clock
MC	Material of sewer changes at this point
MH	Manhole/node
MM	Mortar missing medium at.. (or from.. to..) o'clock
MS	Mortar missing surface at.. (or from.. to..) o'clock
MT	Mortar missing total at.. (or from.. to..) o'clock
OB	Obstruction… % height/diameter loss
OJL	Open joint large
OJM	Open joint medium
PC	Length of pipe forming sewer changes at this point, new length…mm
RFJ	Roots fine (at joint)
RMJ	Roots mass… % cross-sectional area loss (at joint)
RTJ	Roots tap (at joint)
SA	Survey abandoned
SC	Shape of sewer changes at this point
SSL	Surface damage, spalling large at (or from.. to..) o'clock
SSM	Surface damage, spalling medium at (or from.. to..) o'clock
SSS	Surface damage, spalling slight at (or from.. to..) o'clock
SWL	Surface damage, wear large at… (or from.. to..) o'clock
SWM	Surface damage, wear medium at… (or from.. to..) o'clock
SWS	Surface damage, wear slight at.. (or from.. to..) o'clock
V	Vermin (rats and mice)
WL	Water level… % height/diameter
X	Sewer collapsed… % cross-sectional area loss
FH	End of survey

Contract: 246131

Date: 07-Jan-15

Operative Initial: AC

Site Address: 70-72 Crediton Hill, London

M/H: 1 **Depth:** 2170mm



Chamber Dimension (mm): 1200 X 700

Depths of run if different to invert level:-

Run

Manhole Condition

As built

M/H: **Depth:**



Chamber Dimension (mm):

Depths of run if different to invert level:-

Run

Manhole Condition

M/H: **Depth:**



Chamber Dimension (mm):

Depths of run if different to invert level:-

Run

Manhole Condition

KEY….



Internal Back Drop

External Back Drop



Interceptor

Water Pressure Test Results

From: To: Pass / Fail