

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2469/P	Laura Rivkin	43 Princess Road NW1 8JS SW1X 8PS	04/06/2015 22:06:41	OBJ	<p>The part of the rear extension that extends to the back boundary garden wall is totally unacceptable. The fact that no.18 also has a long extension should not be used as a precedent. As far as I know there are no other rear extensions along Edis Street/Princess Road gardens that actually abut the back boundary wall. The effect on the surrounding neighbours will be devastating, boxing them into their already tiny gardens, partially surrounding them with brick walls. It is inevitable that there will be a major loss of both general daylight and direct sunlight to the surrounding properties.</p> <p>In addition, long extensions detrimentally affect the view down the gardens for everyone, taking away from the general enjoyment of the back gardens which for the most part contain trees, and other greenery. There is also a fair amount of bird life in the back gardens. More lateral development is bound to detrimentally affect what little wildlife we have.</p> <p>I also object to the excessive use of glass which is in total disregard of the Victorian architecture of the terrace and is bound to create light pollution in the back gardens.</p>

---