					Printed on:	28/05/2015	09:05:19
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2015/2566/P	Alan Chandler AA Dip RIBA SCA FHEA	33 Arlington Road	27/05/2015 09:52:22	OBJ	I object to this application. Number 46 is the last remaining house in this terrace with an original parapet. This is remaining mouldings, and has escaped the unsightly erosion and 'notching' that other suffered when owners wish to expose the mansard sashes fully for no real purpose. If parapets were cut in this way, would number 46 be allowed to do this? If the answer i unsightly, why then allow it now? Previous erosion of the important parapet line was evidenced in the ragged, broken elevational line in the terrace drawing. This last unall unreconstructed parapet needs to remain to hold the end of this terrace together as som expression of the original building line. Perhaps rather than further eroding the parapet	houses have none of the other s no because it is a mistake - as ered, ne form of unified	d
					could be reinstated?		