

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/2469/P	annette clancy	57 princess road regents park NW18JS NW18JS	22/05/2015 20:05:26	OBJ	<p>1. The increased height of the extension will be oppressive. Changing the window designs is not in keeping with the street and infilling the deleted windows will produce an unacceptable brick wall effect. Similarly the two storey height glazing is completely out of keeping with the fenestration of the street.</p> <p>2. The single storey extension is also completely unacceptable and no similar extension has been allowed since an acknowledged error re No 18 Edis St in 1960's. Also once again there is a significant error in the application as they show a difference of approx 500mm between the garden level of 18 Edis St and 57 and 59 Princess street. This is not the case as the levels are approx equal and thus the roof line of the proposed extension would be 500mm higher than shown.</p> <p>3. Another error occurs on drawing PL 110, the garden wall does not align with the gardens of princess road, there is approx 500mm of shared garden wall between 17 Edis St and 57 Princess Rd.</p> <p>In summary I object to this proposal because it is completely out of keeping with the other properties in the area in a number of aspects. Also it is based on incorrect plans/drawings whereby the errors help to mitigate the size and impact of this proposal.</p>

2015/2469/P	annette clancy	57 princess road regents park NW18JS NW18JS	22/05/2015 20:05:42	OBJ
-------------	----------------	--	---------------------	-----
