CONSULTATION SUMMARY

2015/1921/P

Case Officer:	Application Address:
	Flat A, 10 Quex Road
Kate Phillips	London
	NW6 4PL

Proposal(s)

Single storey rear extension

Representations							
	No. notified	31	No. of responses	2	No. of objections	2	
Consultations:					No of comments	0	
					No of support	0	
Summary of representations	The owner/occupier of No. 8 Quex Road has objected to the application on the following grounds:						
	 Insufficient information about drainage from roof 						
(Officer response(s) in italics)	Roof may be used as a roof terrace in the future						
	 Works will involve re-building garden wall adjacent to No. 8 Quex Road 						
	 Works should not prejudice similar works being undertaken at No. 8 Quex Road 						

 future and if such an application was submitted it would be judged on its merits taking into account the impact on neighbouring properties. Similarly, any future planning application for works at No. 8 Quex Road would also be judged on its own merits. Drainage details will be covered by Building Regulations and are not a material planning consideration. Similarly, issues relating to the construction of the extension, the party boundary and making good any damage to neighbourind properties is a private matter between the individual parties and is not a material planning consideration. Construction noise and traffic and parking issues during the construction period are not valid reasons to refuse this application and, taking into consideration the scal of the proposed works, it would not be reasonable to attach a condition to require construction management plan in this case. However, an Informative can be added 	 The owner/occupier of No. 32 Birchington Road has objected to the application on the following grounds: Noise, traffic and parking issues during the construction period.
 future and if such an application was submitted it would be judged on its merits taking into account the impact on neighbouring properties. Similarly, any future planning application for works at No. 8 Quex Road would also be judged on its ow merits. Drainage details will be covered by Building Regulations and are not a material planning consideration. Similarly, issues relating to the construction of the extension, the party boundary and making good any damage to neighbourin properties is a private matter between the individual parties and is not a material planning consideration. Construction noise and traffic and parking issues during the construction period ar not valid reasons to refuse this application and, taking into consideration the scal of the proposed works, it would not be reasonable to attach a condition to require construction management plan in this case. However, an Informative can be added to the decision to ensure that the applicant is aware of their responsibilities under the scale of the decision to ensure that the application is aware of their responsibilities under the scale of the decision to ensure that the application is aware of their responsibilities under the scale of the decision to ensure that the application is aware of the informative can be added to the decision to ensure that the application is aware of the proposed works is under the application is aware of the proposed works is application is aware of the proposed works is application. 	Officer response
 planning consideration. Similarly, issues relating to the construction of the extension, the party boundary and making good any damage to neighbourin properties is a private matter between the individual parties and is not a material planning consideration. Construction noise and traffic and parking issues during the construction period ar not valid reasons to refuse this application and, taking into consideration the scal of the proposed works, it would not be reasonable to attach a condition to require construction management plan in this case. However, an Informative can be added to the decision to ensure that the applicant is aware of their responsibilities under the scale of the decision is ensure that the applicant is aware of the proposed works. 	Planning permission would be required to use the roof area as a roof terrace in th future and if such an application was submitted it would be judged on its merits taking into account the impact on neighbouring properties. Similarly, any futur planning application for works at No. 8 Quex Road would also be judged on its ow merits.
not valid reasons to refuse this application and, taking into consideration the scal of the proposed works, it would not be reasonable to attach a condition to require construction management plan in this case. However, an Informative can be adde to the decision to ensure that the applicant is aware of their responsibilities under	Drainage details will be covered by Building Regulations and are not a material planning consideration. Similarly, issues relating to the construction of the extension, the party boundary and making good any damage to neighbouring properties is a private matter between the individual parties and is not a material planning consideration.
	Construction noise and traffic and parking issues during the construction period ar not valid reasons to refuse this application and, taking into consideration the scal of the proposed works, it would not be reasonable to attach a condition to require construction management plan in this case. However, an Informative can be adde to the decision to ensure that the applicant is aware of their responsibilities under the Control of Pollution Act 1974.