					Printed on: 12/05/2015	09:05:18
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Consultees Addr:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:	
2015/1599/P	Vicki Harding	Garden Flat 19 Frognal Lane London NW3 7DB	11/05/2015 15:09:59	ОВЈ	In the Tree Survey/Arboricultural Report under 7.5 it is reported that: 'The main tree constraint imposed by the trees is shading issues. The playground area is at a lower level to the trees giving rise to an over bearing feeling and the area in shade throughout most of the day. The Building is to the East of the playground, giving rise to natural shading in the morning time. The Building is also to the South of the playground, again giving rise to midday shading. The trees are to the West of the Building giving rise to afternoon and evening shading.'	
					Considering it is expected that due to climate change MORE rather than less shading is required in order to prevent skin cancers, I am concerned about this statement, particularly considering the Arboricultural Method Statement has yet to be produced.	
					TREE SURVEY TO THE BRITISH STANDARD 5837:2012 Table pages 23-29 Under the heading 'General Observations: Condition and Preliminary Management Recommendations' (Works needed in order to retain tree in current setting or where works would be needed in order to facilitate development), no management recommendations are given.	
					It is stated it is considered that no fellings are required to fulfil the proposed development, but further details on proposed pruning and specifics about the weight that the tree protection mats for the beech tree are to be capable of withstanding are absent.	
					I think these should be provided PRIOR to consent so that tree protection, and any plans to do work on the horse chestnut T1 for example can be scrutinised and not left until this work could be considered part of a planning consent i.e. scrutiny/consultation not required.	