

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/0965/P	Diana Bradford	62 Parliament Hill London NW3 2TJ	20/04/2015 11:06:38	COMMEM AIL	<p>I live in the lower half of this house, No 62 Parliament Hill. Already planning has been granted for a rear roof terrace to which I had no objection. It was set back and was virtually invisible.</p> <p>However</p> <p>I object strongly to the replacement of this terrace by an oversized and ugly dormer window highly visible to many houses in Tanza Road and also from the Heath.</p> <p>The possible combination of this big dormer window with a large side roof terrace, strikes me as visually offensive and a quite unnecessary interference with the roof line and character of a very large and prominent corner house in an important part of an important Conservation area.</p> <p>In his design and access statement the applicant submitted, he included the following statement of principle applicable to such proposals,</p> <p>,"Conservation Area clearly sets out that new roof top extensions, dormers and terraces should be as unobtrusive as possible and should not adversely affect the character of the building or the Conservation area. The roof scape treatment should be in harmony with the original form and character of the house "</p> <p>.As I said earlier, I did not object to the original roof terrace proposal which seemed reasonable enough, however what is now proposed does seem to me to be an unreasonable, unattractive and inappropriate over development which flouts the Conservation rules.</p> <p>The Heath and Hampstead Society have also strongly pointed out this is a very prominently situated house.</p> <p>We are in a dominant position, high on the top of Parliament Hill. The large dormer window will be seen from virtually every angle and most importantly will be a great viewing platform looking down into my garden.</p> <p>Therefore please refuse this revised application</p>