Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 29/04/2015 09:05:17 Response:
2014/7951/P	Simon Canney	31 Ryland Road	23/04/2015 14:27:27	OBJ	It is quite beyond the resources of a layperson to fully comprehend and respond to what amounts to a 65-page highly technical document regarding obstruction of light. However, the fact remains that, given the substantial existing encroachment, any further obstruction whatsoever, whether it is claimed to be within guidelines or not, will be as unwelcome to and unhealthy for those residents affected (like myself) as it is unnecessary. And the overarching question remains as to why it is considered acceptable to create further intrusive high rise on a building the current demand for which is so low that it is standing virtually empty.
2014/7951/P	Sophie Hedworth	29 Ryland Road	22/04/2015 16:54:16	COMMNT	Dear Ms Chivers, I note the recent Daylight/Sunlight assessment and the letter 'Further Information' but our anxieties about increased and intrusive noise from use of the roof as an amenity space does not seem to have been addressed. Please note that the roof was NOT used as an amenity space by former tenants. Yours Sophie Hedworth
2014/7951/P	Sophie Hedworth	29 Ryland Road	22/04/2015 16:54:38	COMMNT	