

Christopher Papaloizou
44 Mornington Crescent
London NW1 7RB



London Borough of Camden
Regeneration and Planning Development Management
Town Hall
Argyle Street
London WC1H 8ND

16/Apr/15

Dear Sirs

Reference: 2015/1511/P

Associated Reference: 2011/5122/P: 2013/4635/P

I received notice dated 31st March 2015 regarding application 2015/1511/P on the 4th of April 2015. I understand however that other than me no other resident living opposite the land at Cobden Junction or the local residential organisation has been notified of this application. Given the land's direct proximity to homes and the adverse affect these applications will have on the residents and occupiers of Mornington Crescent it would not be unreasonable to think they above all others should be given the opportunity to be involved in the consultation process. The questions which Camden should have asked in the first instance is what interests do the businesses in the Greater London House (GLH) have which outweigh the interests of the local residents that they should be notified and local residents not? What impact do these applications have on the businesses of the GLH as opposed to the impact on the daily lives of the residents of Mornington Crescent? I do not expect Camden to answer now but clearly it has created a rod for its own back by failing to give notice of the first application (2011/5122/P) to those who will be directly and most certainly adversely affected by these plans. But these questions will have to be answered if and should I be left to rely on my civil remedy. I do note however that notice of this application was at least placed in the local paper for this area, The Camden New Journal, unlike the first application which was advertised in the Ham and High a paper for Hampstead and Highgate residents.

Given therefore how the consultation process for the first application was so fundamentally flawed Camden has made it very difficult for anybody to provide any useful representations regarding the

original application and those which preceded it. I do not think this was Camden's intention but this is the reality of their failure to recognise who will actually be affected by these applications. The Council and developers and planners cannot on their own create a community without residents and to assume so is presumptuous.

I therefore object to this application because to repeat what I have already stated in previous letters I object to all applications for this land which have as its plan building over one storey.

Thank You



Christopher Papaloizou LL.B(Hons) LL.M, Barrister, Mediator