

[REDACTED]

From: Carr, Seonaid
Sent: 20 April 2015 16:07
To: Planning
Subject: FW: 2015/1281/P

Please can this be logged as an objection for the above application from the resident at 33 Gordon Mansions, Huntley Street.

Thanks

Seonaid Carr
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2766

From: Cameron Hill [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 April 2015 14:46
To: Carr, Seonaid
Subject: Re: 2015/1281/P

Dear Seonaid

My apologies, please ignore the last email sent a few moments ago:

I would like to object to the planning application 2015/1281/P on the following grounds:

The proposed height of the building is above the level in the local planning guidance for the area, and a building this tall will significantly impact daylight for a high number of properties in Gordon Mansions, block 2 (directly opposite). I live in flat 33, and the daylight survey makes clear that available levels of light would be reduced by 70% for rooms W1/ 149 and W2/149- the bedroom and sitting room. The report states that these rooms are out of scope for consideration as they are not a residential property, but this is the flat I live in!

These windows are the only sources of daylight for the property and that is why the two habitable rooms face this aspect. I understand from the reduction in daylight that this will bring light levels down to below nationally accepted standards for domestic properties- in other words, you could not design and build a flat with such low light levels under other circumstances. The development will have a major impact on quality of life for dozens of existing residents, at basement level and progressing up to the third and fourth floors. UCH estates and the surveyor team promised at the GMRA residents meeting last month to visit several properties in Gordon Mansions but did not follow up despite phone calls to the consultants to request these visits, so these findings could be incorporated into plans.

If the development was a more reasonable height it would not reduce light to such unacceptable levels.

Regards

C A Hill
33 Gordon Mansions

On 20 April 2015 at 14:36, Cameron Hill <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Dear Seonaid

I would like to object to the planning application 2015/1281/P on the following grounds:

*the proposed height of the building is above the level in the local planning guidance for the area, and a building this tall will significantly impact daylight for a high number of properties in Gordon Mansions, block 2 (directly opposite). I live in flat 33, and the daylight survey makes clear that available levels of light would be reduced by 70% for rooms W1/ 149 and W2/149- the bedroom and sitting room.

On 1 April 2015 at 13:50, Cameron Hill <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Seonaid

W1/149 is the bedroom, W2/149 is the sitting/ living room

Look forward to hearing from you

C A Hill

On 1 Apr 2015 08:20, "Carr, Seonaid" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Mr Hill,

Thank you for your email and drawing my attention to this point.

I have reviewed the report and will raise this with the applicant. Please could you clarify which room serves your bedroom and which the living room? I have pasted the image below for ease of reference.



I understand when you spoke with my colleague Tendai you were concerned you would miss the end of the consultation period. Please note that although the statutory deadline is 06 April I am able to accept comments after this date.

Once I have had a response from the applicant I will let you know.

Regards,

Seonaid Carr
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 2766

From: Cameron Hill [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: 27 March 2015 12:40
To: Carr, Seonaid
Subject: Re: 2015/1281/P

Hi Seonaid

Could you respond to this email please.

Best regards

C A Hill

On 17 March 2015 at 19:27, Cameron Hill wrote:

Dear Seonaid

I have checked the daylight and sunlight report and am concerned in the first instance as it assumes that windows W1 and W2 149 do not need to be considered!

The surveyors may have assumed these are store rooms and no one lives there- these are in fact my bedroom and sitting room windows.

This is factually inaccurate so could you advise on how to get the findings rectified?

Best regards

C A Hill

Flat 33 Gordon Mansions

T: [REDACTED]

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.