
From: Fowler, David
Sent: 16 April 2015 13:27
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Camden Town Hall Annexe

Please upload.

Thanks,

D

David Fowler
Principal Planning Officer

Telephone: 0207 974 2123

From: Alex Barclay [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 April 2015 13:07
To: Johnson, Heather (Councillor); Apak, Meric (Councillor); Beales, Danny (Councillor); Harrison, Adam (Councillor); Headlam-Wells, Jenny (Councillor); Jones, Phil (Councillor); Claire-louise.leyaland@camden.gov.uk; Olszewski, Richard (Councillor); Pietragnoli, Lazzaro (Councillor); Rea, Flick (Councillor); Rosenberg, Phil (Councillor); Shah, Nadia (Councillor); Stark, Stephen (Councillor); Vincent, Sue (Councillor); Wood, Abi (Councillor); Freeman, Roger (Councillor); Fowler, David
Subject: Fwd: Camden Town Hall Annexe

Dear Councillors,

- A) The proposed upper storeys look like something has crashed into the existing building.
- B) In response to local people's comments about noise and disturbance, the Planning Officer has suggested that the roof bar be restricted to certain times WITH THE EXCEPTION OF HOTEL STAFF, RESIDENTS AND THEIR GUESTS...So that is precisely everyone who would be using the terrace and generating the noise. The condition is therefore completely ineffectual. If the Officer considers a condition to be necessary, it should achieve noise restriction by restricting all use of the terrace during unsociable hours. This roof terrace will look over long-standing residential units currently shielded by noise from Euston Road by the bulk of the existing building and therefore relatively quiet and susceptible to noise disturbance. Additionally, this condition is not enforceable. Who will check whether someone is a guest of a hotel resident? Who will say they are not in case of noise complaint? As such, the condition is unjustifiable and does not reflect government guidance for appropriately written, justifiable conditions set out in Circular 11/95.
- C) The Air Quality Report states that there will be a Medium Risk of Dust Pollution during a lengthy construction phase. The Mayor's Best Practice Guidance for the control of dust and emissions from construction and demolition says that such works should be located away from sensitive receptors. The construction of new floors will be directly adjacent to the single playground serving 480 children aged 3 to 11; young lungs already growing up in close proximity to Euston Road and very much sensitive receptors.
- D) Nothing in the report suggests that impact to residents has been considered fully unless they are immediately adjacent. Those of us a 50m away have not been considered.

The new floors are not necessary, the controls over their use are not effective and their construction will introduce over a year of dust and pollution directly next to very young children. Please refuse the application and request that the design be reconsidered without the additional, obtrusive floors.

So this all needs a rethink.

Alex Barclay

Cromer House, Cromer Street