					Printed on:	08/04/2015	09:05:17
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2015/1173/P	Olivia Klevan	78 Hillfield Road NW6 1QA	07/04/2015 11:13:15	COMMNT	The height of the proposed extension. Our preference would be for the extension not to stand higher can the existing party fence. Given that the layout of 76A is stepped down from Hillfield Road to Mill can it should be possible for the developer to sink the proposed extension in keeping with the design of the flat generally. We note that planning permission was granted for the rear of No 80 and we are concerned that we will become 'boxed in' by brick extensions on either side of the garden. Security - given that there is an alleyway between 76 and 74 there is clearly an increased risk of espass to the rear of our property via the proposed flat roof. We trust that any planning permission ill impose strict obligations on enhanced security. Flat roof - if the development does go ahead with a flat roof as proposed we would be opposed to it erving as a roof terrace as that would destroy the privacy into our rear rooms and garden. Trees - we understand from the freeholder at No 76 that the developer has agreed not to interfere ith the trees at the end of the garden of no 76/78. We hope this can be guaranteed in any permission ranted as they serve as an important screen for privacy. As we have a seven week old baby we would be grateful if construction work could be kept to bornal business working hours.		