

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/0936/P	S Warshaw	29 Heath Hurst Road London NW3 2RU	06/04/2015 19:20:06	OBJ	<p>We live at 29 Heath Hurst Road. We have no objection, in principle, to a fitting and sympathetically designed replacement to the current building. However, we are directly affected by this application and have a number of concerns.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Overall, we are not clear why there is this further application now for items that were presumably refused in one or more of the previous applications, which go back to 2007. There is a danger that changes are slipped in "through the back door" in an opportunistic way. 2. We are still very concerned that the proposal would extend the depth of the building at the rear by around 4 metres, encroaching on what is already a very small garden, bringing the building (1) substantially further out than ANY neighbouring properties and (2) creating a terrace at ground floor level that will look directly on to and in to our garden, affecting our privacy substantially more than the current building does. 3. The "Winter Garden" seems clearly to be a room, not a "garden". 4. A first floor balcony seems to have become a rear terrace. Again, this directly overlooks our garden and our property and it will impinge much more than previously planned (and considerably more than the current building does) upon our privacy and our amenity. 5. Compared to the current building, which only has 4 normal sized windows, this proposal suggests 6 normal windows PLUS a very large picture window on each floor. Not only do these constitute changes to the proposal approved in 2013 (2013/8020/P), but they will also encroach considerably more upon our privacy and our amenity. 6. There is no basement impact assessment, which we understand is particularly important given the proximity of the rail tunnel. 7. We would like to know (reference para 6.2 and 6.3 of the Planning Statement in support of the application) how the application could possibly be in line with Policy CS6(DP2)? It would seem that the proposed development would convert two family dwellings into one, thus reducing, not enlarging, the supply of homes in the borough. There is a current nearby precedent for this; permission was recently refused for converting no 33 Heath Hurst Road in to one family dwelling. Why is 23a Hampstead Hill Gardens any different? We are extremely surprised at this inconsistency in Camden policy implementation.