					Printed on: 26/03/2015 09:05:20
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/0936/P	Elliot Grant	Flat 2 23 Hampstead Hill Gardens NW3 2PJ	25/03/2015 22:44:50	INT	The Heath and Hampstead Society are quite right: the proposal would extend the depth of the building at the rear, encroaching on what is already a very small garden. The reference to a "Winter Garden" is just flim-flam: it wouldn't be a garden at all but just another room in the house. The Society are also right to question the adequacy of what is said in the application about building above the rail tunnel. A final comment: para 6.3 of the Planning Statement in support of the application claims that it is in line with Policy CS6(DP2), whereas the exact opposite is the case. The proposed development would convert 2 family dwellings into 1. How is this supposed to enlarge the supply of homes in the borough? The application is disingenuous in stating that the new house would replace an existing house: it would replace a building which comprises two modern self-contained family flats by a building designed to house one family.