					Printed on: 25/03/2015 09:05:20
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/7192/P	Ursula Brown	89 Woodhall Robert Street London NW1 3JT	24/03/2015 12:12:33	OBJNOT	I strongly oppose this proposal. It would be very difficult for me, as an older woman with arthritis, to carry all my rubbish through the heavy gates and along the pavement to the proposed store, and it would be impossible to dispose of heavier items. A large proportion of Woodhall residents are either elderly or have young children and would have the same difficulties. It is unclear from the proposal whether the accessible refuse room on the east side of the building will remain or whether recycling facilities will be restored there. If this room does remain I would continue to use it, as I expect would other residents as it is so much easier to access. The proposed new refuse storage would then become a white elephant as it will be so much more difficult to use. If we are to lose the accessible refuse room then we would be likely to have more rubbish dumped in communal areas creating a worse atmosphere and extra work for the caretaker.
					We have already suffered two years of noise, dust and inconvenience from the building work which brings no advantage to residents and there is no indication when this will end. We have already lost our communal garden and now have a new hot water system that most often delivers water that is no more than luke warm and we suffered all over the Christmas period with no heating or hot water. This would be yet another hardship for Woodhall residents to suffer.
					The proposed refuse storage would also take away from the already very small outside space we were promised as a minor concession in mitigation for these losses. We"ve already had a very raw deal from the project and are now being asked to suffer even more hardship by making it so difficult to dispose of our rubbish.
					I note that the proposed work endangers to roots of the plane tree (T44) which is a further concern given the large number of trees already lost during the Netley project and the enormous importance of these trees to the local environment.
					I would also question whether this is a valid consultation as it appears the foundations for the refuse store are already being dug. Is it not normal practice to await the outcome of consultations before commencing work?