Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 23/03/2015 Response:	09:
2015/0921/P	David Prince	9A Crogsland Road London NW1 8AY	20/03/2015 20:06:40	OBJ	 I object to the current proposal on the following grounds 1. Due process has not been followed - no consultation with surrounding residents. Proposals were put on display in December 14. Despite there being various comments by local residents, not one single change or amendment has been made. By any stretch of the imagination this is not consultation this is just a presentation. 2. The drawings are not sufficiently clear enough to establish whether the "25 degree sight rule" is being followed. The currently belief is that this is to disguise the fact that the proposed height of the building is above that which is legally acceptable. 3. The drawings do not accurately reflect the exact proposal. Some show the new building directly adjoining 11 Crogsland Road, others show a separation. It's unclear exactly what the correct plans are. 4. 1-11 Crogsland Road was nominated for the "local heritage list" meaning it is clearly considered a building of local historical interest. It is one the last remaining buildings of its type in the borough. The current proposals give no consideration to the historical importance of this building potentially damaging it for future generations. 5. No shadow analysis diagrams have been produced or light meter readings taken. Current proposals are likely to result in an unacceptable loss of natural light for 1-11 Crogsland Road. 6. The current proposal curight across Canden Councils commitment to maintaining green space within the borough. The proposed building will damage the natural environment of the current green space and gardens around the vacant land, specifically those adjacent and opposite 7. The size of the proposed building, both height and width is based purely on what makes the building financially viable and not what is appropriate for potential residents. The building had to contain 40 residential units to be viable. Is it really appropriate for potential residents. The usides and the shares of privacy	7

to supply any answers. I have been proactive in attempting to resolve all my points but unfortunately have not had the necessary two-way communication from Camden officials.