

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/0886/P	Peter & Josephine Higgins	21 Windmill Hill Hampstead London NW3 6RX	19/03/2015 11:40:06	OBJ	<p>We wish to object to the above planning application in relation to Grove Lodge, Admirals Walk in Hampstead, a very close neighbouring property.</p> <p>Grove Lodge is a house of historical significance with its association with John Galsworthy and with the neighbouring Admiral's House. We object to the proposed demolition and to the new-build of a double garage and multi-room extension, obliterating a piece of Hampstead history. Furthermore, a corner of Hampstead, captured in the art of John Constable, would be lost forever.</p> <p>A major point of listing (as Grove Lodge is) is surely to preserve buildings of historical significance. To move against this principle would surely set a terrible precedent.</p>
2015/0886/P	Isabel Blake	1 Lower Terrace Hampstead London	18/03/2015 15:33:42	OBJ	<p>We are writing to you about the above planning application which, in our view:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- is an excessive development (estimate a near doubling of square footage) of a grade 2 listed building, completely relegating the existing listed building,</li> <li>- alters negatively the historical (Constable-recorded) relationship between Grove Lodge and Admirals House,</li> <li>- removes the public right of way at the southern edge of the site alongside Admirals Walk, replacing it with a sheer 3.5 m tall wall</li> <li>- demolishes part of the listed building constructed by Galsworthy,</li> <li>- converts an historic farmhouse into a modern-scale mansion,</li> <li>- defies local wishes to limit basement developments (the new basement will have 13 rooms),</li> <li>- offers no quality design dimensions to offset these points let alone enhance the setting,</li> <li>- and, as a consequence, sets a terrible precedent for future developments in Hampstead.</li> </ul> <p>The application gives plenty of detail and the so called extension (a somewhat odd word to use as it is half a new house!) is said to be "in keeping" with the original, but none of that alters the above points, all of which are about wholly excessive scale in this historic enclave which we should be preserving.</p> <p>Please would you consider these points; thank you.</p>
2015/0886/P	Dennis McGINNESS	22 WELLS HOUSE WELL WALK LONDON NW31LE	19/03/2015 19:43:21	COMNOT	<p>Sirs, This application is absurd. Grove lodge was originally built as a farmhouse and its heritage is too important to lose. I worked in this house and garden for 40 years for the same family, the owner was born there and he would be turning in his grave at the extreme plans of a 3 storey underground dig at the front and back of the house. You should be aware that the River Westbourne the source of the Serpentine runs from the Admirals House under the Fleet House which was a source of the pond where Constables famous painting depicted this famous ancient farmhouse. Hampstead is far too important to lose its originality to the new breed of property owner who seeks to extend their properties for financial gains. I have experienced Camdens opposition to the people living at the top of the Hill and yes we are fortunate to live here, incidentally I live in a council flat and I love Hampstead and I wish to see its character and heritage retained. Unfortunately as you have seen from the High Street we are inundated with estate agents cake shops and mobile phone shops, I implore you to return the character of Hampstead and refuse this application, Please keep Hampsteads village atmosphere.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2015/0886/P	John Vlasto	26 Hampstead Grove	19/03/2015 21:57:02	OBJ	I am concerned that this development is not sensitive to the history of the building and to its listing, and that the increase in size is inappropriate to the setting.
2015/0886/P	a sheffield	4 perrins lane NW35TH	18/03/2015 21:01:26	INT	<p>i am local resident and walk my daughter to school every day on this street</p> <p>totally appalled at prospect of this being given permission.</p> <p>for every reason buildings should be protected for heritage reasons i trust the council will reject wholeheartedly this stupid proposal.</p> <p>which displays complete lack understanding and respect for historical hampstead</p> <p>i agree with all points already listed in objection letters sent to date.</p> <p>more than anything, if the council agrees to this proposal it will set a precedent for the future which is totally unacceptable to such a historic area. i would hope the council takes its duties very seriously in preserving the unique atmosphere, appearance and preservation of some very important buildings. i cannot see how an extravagant 13 room basement can add any value.</p> <p>sincerely</p> <p>a sheffield</p>