## r.howorth & co.ltd. chartered surveyors



registered London office:

80 shaftesbury road, London, N19 4QN tel: 020 7281 3211 email: info@rhoworth.co.uk

20<sup>th</sup> January 2011

Mr P. Thomas 51% studios ltd Studio 6, Dean House Studios 27 Greenwood Place London NW5 1LB

Dear Peter

## Re: Cherry Tree at No.25 Hampstead Hill Gardens, London, NW3 2PJ

Further to my inspection and our meeting on Monday 10<sup>th</sup> January 2011 at the above property, this report will consider the removal of an existing cherry tree and a suitable planting position for a large replacement tree.

All orientation in this report is given as if one is facing the building from the front.

The existing Cherry tree (*prunus* species) is situated some 8.9 metres from the pavement and 4.5 metres to the right of the existing building at No. 23a.

This tree is protected by a tree preservation order.

The description of the tree is as per Andrew Parry's report dated 9<sup>th</sup> July 2007.

My inspection of 10<sup>th</sup> January confirmed that the cherry tree has several defects including a large wound and active fungal growth. This reduces its potential life expectancy significantly. This tree could lose its structural stability within 10 years. British Standard BS5837: 2005; trees in relation to construction, notes that trees that will be lost within 10 years should not be retained.

The planning inspectorate appeal decision dated 14<sup>th</sup> May 2008 noted that that the cherry tree was "an important visual contribution to the street scene". However, the appeal decision also concluded that replacement of this tree with a semi mature specimen of equivalent size, planted in a position closer to the frontage of the site would be appropriate and acceptable.





In view of the above, I would recommend removal of the existing tree and the replanting of a standard *prunus avium*, cherry tree, girth 40-455cm, approximate height 8 metres.

This new tree should be positioned to the front left corner of the garden of No. 25 to the front of the removed tree. The new planting position could be approximately 3 metres from the left boundary wall to No. 23a and set 3 metres back from the front metal railings. In this position, the replacement tree will have a prominent position and contribute to the street scene.

I have made enquiries to check that a tree of this size and species would be available for supply and planting and I am awaiting a cost for this from a reputable supplier. I will forward this to you in due course.

Please consider the above and if you wish to discuss further, please do contact me.

Yours sincerely

Robin Howorth, MRICS, MICFor, M.Arbor. A.

CHARTERED ARBORICULTURALIST CHARTERED BUILDING SURVEYOR

RMm-