

**Ashridge  
Fitzroy park  
London n6 6hx**

**4/3/15**

**Gideon Whittingham  
Development Control Planning Services  
London Borough of Camden  
Town Hall  
Judd Street  
London wc1h 8nd**

**Gideon.whittingham@camden.gov.uk**

**Dear Mr Whittingham**

**Application reference 2015/0441/P  
53 Fitzroy Park n6 6ja**

**I have not received any request for my views even though I live opposite number 53 - how can that be ? How can time be running in respect of the application**

**Why does Camden planning allow itself to be duped and salami sliced by developers ? Obvious examples are Athlone House and now this property**

**It's is classic salami slicing negotiation - get what you want from Camden Planning by asking for half, bank it and then use that as the starting point to come back for the other half - they won't notice they are being salami sliced !**

**I strenuously object to this application for varied reasons including without limitation**

- the applicants are treating camden planning and the local residents as mugs - they may be right in one case -let's see
- They suggest local residents are supporting this application, they most certainly aren't
- This property is in a conservation area and on private open land both of which camden planning seemed to ignore on the previous application
- The previous grant by the planning committee was based on at least one members love of the proposed design - well the new applicant has treated that view with contempt by ditching that design in favour of a concrete block
- If I told you that someone was trying to demolish a house on the fringes of hampstead Heath and build one higher and three times bigger and it was being supported by camden planning you would think it was a work of fiction
- The proposed development will be harmful to the character and appearance of the conservation area
- Not only is the proposed property one metre higher - they want to put " stuff " on top of it
- The property is in a rural environment but is too large for the site and is four and a bit floors high
- The proposed property is not proportionate to the site
- The proposed development will have a much greater and marring visual impact on the unique and beautiful street scene that is Fitzroy Park - why can't it be built in front of number 51 ? le further in on the site
- The proposed frontage to Fitzroy Park will more than double
- The photos used to show "before "and "after" are in some cases misleading and in others fictitious
- The suggestion that the new property would have no visual impact would be laughable but for the fact that Camden Planning has a history of being duped by such suggestions
- The proposed property would be a massive and intrusive structure
- The proposed basement will go down 27 feet - it is very very likely the road will collapse - if you give permission please notify your insurers of this advance warning of damage to road ,services ,local hydrology and neighbouring properties

- The proposed lift shaft will be a blight on the landscape
- There is no " demonstrable need " for this development
- Trees trees trees - that's what is great about Fitzroy Park - camden planning appears to be the council that has least regard for trees - even in a conservation area - even on a private open space and even on the fringes of hampstead Heath
- It is not possible to construct the proposed development without losing protected trees
- I do not see how they can build this property without going onto my land for which of course no permission is given
- The ctmp is misleading and flawed particularly the swept path analysis
- Please treat my previous objection letters in respect of number 53 as deemed repeated and incorporated in this letter - of course you have copies on file

Of course I would like this application to be rejected in full but I am realistic enough to realise that Camden Planning may be stuck with its previous grant ( though maybe that is not the case given the many errors made by camden council as highlighted by Carolyn Apcar ) but it would be nice to think that Camden would have the courage and common sense to say

" stop treating us as mugs and submit a design for a house of the same size ,floor plan and general " mirror " of the existing one or the one for which permission has been granted " and why not announce a new vision plan " long term residents before developers "

In the meantime I fully reserve my rights arising from the numerous errors and omissions made by camden council in respect of number 53 .

Is it possible to have a site visit ?

Yours sincerely

**John kennedy**