

[REDACTED]

**From:** Andrew Parkinson [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 February 2015 10:54  
**To:** Cornwall-Jones, Kate  
**Subject:** Re: Liddell Road redacted viability report

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Kate,

Can you ensure that these emails are included as consultation responses in the officers report and reply to my email below asap.

As this seems to be going to committee next week it is essential that I get a response.

On Friday, February 20, 2015, Andrew Parkinson [REDACTED] wrote:  
Kate,

Thank you.

I have been informed that Liddell Road may be going to Committee on 3rd March. Can you confirm the position?

I also need to know whether members of the planning committee will have sight of the full un-redacted report. Can you please confirm the position? I first asked this question on 24th January.

Please also confirm timescales for the internal review into the decision not to disclose the full unredacted viability appraisal? It is now 20 working days since my request. You will be aware of the guidance that most requests should be decided within this timescale, and that local authorities should in any event give a target date for a decision.

For the avoidance of doubt, I do not consider that this matter can go to Committee before the Council has conducted its internal review. Nor do I consider that the planning committee can lawfully grant planning permission without sight of the full, un-redacted, report.

Best wishes,

Andrew

On Thu, Feb 5, 2015 at 4:12 PM, Cornwall-Jones, Kate [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Andrew,

I confirm that your request for an internal review of our response has been registered with our Information and record management team.

Kate Cornwall-Jones  
Senior Development Manager

Telephone: 020 7974 4903

**From:** Andrew Parkinson [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 03 February 2015 23:52

**To:** Cornwall-Jones, Kate  
**Subject:** Re: Liddell Road redacted viability report

Kate - sorry to press on this but it's now some time since I emailed. I would be grateful for an update. In particular, on the question of what will (or won't) be before the committee?

I assume also that the council has registered the request for an internal review - but I would be grateful for confirmation of this.

Best wishes,

Andrew

On Wednesday, January 28, 2015, Cornwall-Jones, Kate [REDACTED] wrote:

Andrew,

I acknowledge receipt of your email sent at the weekend, which I saw on Monday 26 January 2015.

I will respond as soon as possible.

Kate Cornwall-Jones  
Senior Development Manager

Telephone: 020 7974 4903

**From:** Andrew Parkinson [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2015 13:42  
**To:** Cornwall-Jones, Kate  
**Subject:** Re: Liddell Road redacted viability report

Dear Kate, could you get back to me on the below?

On Saturday, January 24, 2015, Andrew Parkinson [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Kate,

Many thanks for your email. I was disappointed to read the report and see that so much was redacted. In fact, nothing of substance was left in the report. Even when it is acting as landowner/developer, the Council is acting in the public interest. I can't understand how redacting the report is necessary to secure "community benefits and provide a cost-neutral development".

Could you (i) disclose the legal advice you have received (ii) confirm whether or not members of the planning committee will be receiving the report in its redacted form. If so, why will they not be able to see this highly material information? If they will receive an unredacted report, why are the public unable to receive this too?

In the meantime, please treat this email as a formal request for an internal review of your decision under regulation 11 of the EIR. As you will be aware, the ICO expects that local authorities will respond to an internal review within 20 days.

Best wishes,

Andrew

On Thu, Jan 22, 2015 at 3:55 PM, Cornwall-Jones, Kate [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Andrew,

Please find attached a redacted copy of the Liddell Road viability report. This is issued in response to your request for information under the Environmental Information Regulations and Freedom of interest request, reference 9858403

In this copy, the sensitive costs and values have been redacted as necessary in order to protect the Council's commercial interests to maximise land value and community benefits and provide a cost-neutral development. This is in accordance with the legal advice we have received

Kate Cornwall-Jones  
Senior Development Manager  
Strategy and Resources  
Children Schools and Families  
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 4903  
Mobile: 07917 265968  
Web: [camden.gov.uk](http://camden.gov.uk)

3  
5 Pancras Square  
London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer.