					Print	l on:	26/02/2015	09:05:20
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:			
2014/7851/P	Yan Jing	9 Ellerdale Road	18/02/2015 20:58:59	OBJ	I very strongly object to this project because (1) it would significantly change composition of this neighbourhood; (2) it would change the direction of wate it is on top of the hill; (3) it would deteriorate the air quality of this area durin would cause lots of disruption to the local residents, including a few local scl DevonshireHouse, St Anthony's and Fitzjohn's. Construction vehicles would young students.	flow of constr	f this area becaus ruction; (4) it th as	е

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 26/02/2015 09:05:20		
2014/7851/P	Gareth, Zoe & Harry Weetman	3 Prince Arthur Road London	20/02/2015 20:44:28		Response:  We wish to object to this proposal.  We live opposite in the lower ground floor flat of 3 Prince Arthur Road. As such, the immense noise and disruption that these excavations will cause will have a direct impact on our home, which is particularly relevant to our 10-month old baby who is here during the day and needs to sleep. We are also concerned about the obvious environmental effects of such a large amount of debris, dust and particles being thrown up by the groundworks, demolition and construction. The convoy of dumper trucks entering and departing the site on a daily basis will also cause considerable noise and disruption. The current plans will mean a huge impact on our daily lives.		
					As for the proposed change of use of the building, the plans do not have a realistic expectation of the impact on traffic in the area. The underground car park will not be available to visitors, of which this type of site would generate very many indeed. Equally, many visitors will be relatives from the local area with parking permits, leading to the current bays being overwhelmed, and the pay-and-display slots at the top of the road being permanently occupied. There should be provision for visitor parking on-site, if there is to be some.		
					The proposal to relocate the entrance to Prince Arthur Road also means that the road will be heavily in use from cars collecting/dropping people off, as well as taxis etc. Again, given that we are below ground level, the noise pollution from this (and, presumably, from the car lift opposite from out flat) will be persistent.		
					Given that we are at the lower ground level, we have a limited view of the sky from our front room in any event. The proposal to add two further stories to the scale of the current building will substantially reduce the amount of sky visible from our front room, and will greatly increase the extent to which we are overlooked. There will be a marked impact on our privacy. This will take the overall height of the building well in excess of the surrounding properties that have been here for over a century.		
					Overall, it seems that the aim of the project has been to squeeze as many units as possible into the space available, despite the impact that will have on the surrounding area. The proposal is spirit-crushingly bland architecturally and does nothing to enhance the area around it. This is a vital chance to improve this historic road"s appearance that is being missed.		
					We hope that the Council will appreciate the depth of our concern, and will listen to our views in coming to a conclusion about this proposal that is fair to all.		
					Please do not hesitate to contact me via the details below should you wish to discuss our objection further. Many thanks for your time.		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 26/02/2015 09:0 <b>Response:</b>	:05:20	
2014/7851/P	Daniel Slutzkin	21 Mourne House 11 Maresfield Gardens	18/02/2015 21:49:07	ОВЈ	The planning of this structure is completely out of keeping with the area in which it is situated. The proposed height and design will result in an eye-sore in the situation.		
		Gardens			Construction of this property will take a considerable amount of time with a large volume of trucks travelling through a quiet residential area which is already heavily burdened with traffic.		
2014/7851/P	Mary Gatehouse	1c Ellerdale Road Hampstead London NW3 6BA	19/02/2015 12:11:35	OBJEMAIL	I refer to Planning Application 2014/7851/P.		
					As resident of neighbouring Flat C, 1 Ellerdale Road (which looks onto the back of Arthur West House), I object in the strongest posible terms to the proposed development.		
					The grounds for my objection are as follows:		
					1. According to its Development Policies, the Council is required to manage the impact of development on occupiers and neighbours. In particular, it must consider:		
					<ul><li>a) visual privacy and overlooking;</li><li>b) overshadowing and outlook; and</li></ul>		
					c) sunlight, daylight and artificial light levels.		
					As the proposed development will stand two storeys (c. 6 metres) higher than Arthur West House and its footprint is considerably larger, this will have an unacceptable impact on our visual privacy and overlooking. In addition, the extent to which the proposed development will overshadow 1 Ellerdale Road will increase significantly (due to the increased height and proximity to our property) and our outlook will be greatly impaired. Finally, it follows that we will suffer a substantial loss of sunlight / daylight as a result of this development. All of the above are in direct conflict with the Council"s own policies and are completely unacceptable.		
					2. Conservation areas: Again, according to its Development Policies, the Council undertakes that it will only permit development within conservation areas that preserves and enhances the character and appearance of the area. It is clear from the plans that the proposed development is contrary to the above-stated policy. The appearance and proportions of the building would put it completely at odds with the surrounding, largely Victorian, low-rise, properties. It is impossible that such a building could either preserves or enhance the character and appearance of the area.		
					For the above reasons and in accordance with its own Development Policies, the Council must reject this planning application. Any new development on this site should remain within the existing boundaries, including as regards its vertical aspect.		

					Printed on: 26/02/2015 09:0	:05:20
Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Consultees Addr:	Received:	<b>Comment:</b>	Response:	
2014/7851/P	James Sanson	1a Prince Arthur Road NW3 6AX	19/02/2015 22:31:22	COMNOT	I am concerned and would like to object to this development on 2 grounds.  We live in Prince Arthur Road directly opposite the site. 6 years ago when we were redeveloping our home, we were not allowed to raise the roof of our house, yet they appear to be trying to add 2 floors to the height of the building. this feels like an over development. would it not be the tallest building in Hampstead?  2) with so many schools already close by, our street is already congested with parents at drop off and	
					collection times. the idea of construction traffic on top of this, and then a garage access, is very worrying.  If rebuilding is to happen, hopefully of a lower building with less floors, please can you insist building work cannot start too early in the day, and deliveries can only be made after the morning school peak has finished i.e. after 9.15	