Application No.	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 16/02/2015 09:05:18
Application No: 2014/7951/P	Paul Marks	20 Ryland Road NW5 3EA	08/02/2015 17:20:30		 Response: We live on the opposite side of the road from Imperial Works but the proposed roof extension would nevertheless have an impact on us for the following reasons there would be a serious loss of privacy for us as our living room and main bedroom would be overlooked by the proposed extension and the "break-out area". We, along with other Ryland road residents, lose the sun over the roof of Imperial works quite early in the day. A roof extension will bring this moment even earlier in the day and we will therefor lose light and sunshine if this extension is built. The extension would be detrimental to the form and character of the existing building in a way that is inappropriate in a Conservation Area. In particular, the extension does not respect existing features of the building in the street such as rooflines, building lines etc. The building work will once again subject residents of Ryland Road to noise and air pollution. In our view, the application if agreed would make it very likely that Imperial Works will then be converted residential use. We would strongly oppose this change of use.
2014/7951/P	Gail Neill	Flat2 27 Ryland Road London NW5 3EH	15/02/2015 18:52:40	OBJ	 I object to application Number 2014/7951/P for the following reasons: 1.Loss of light which is already restricted as Imperial Works already blocks light to my home from early in the day. Further loss of light would lead to the death of my little garden which I have cultivated since 1991. 2.The extension would adversely affect the form and character of the existing building which would not be in keeping with the Conservation Area policy. 3. The alterations would go against Camden's Unitary Development plan.
2014/7951/P	Gail Neill	Flat2 27 Ryland Road London NW5 3EH	15/02/2015 18:53:01	OBJ	