| Application No: | <b>Consultees Name:</b> | <b>Consultees Addr:</b> | Received:           | Comment: | Response:  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|--|
| 2015/0232/P     | Mr L Johnstone          | Jeffreys Place          | 06/02/2015 14:23:49 | OBJEMAIL | I would like to re-state my earlier objections with regards to the proposed conversion of the B1 offices at 7-8 Jeffrey's Place to residential use on the following grounds;   |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | I feel the proposed 30 litres of internal waste in the kitchen layout is not enough to allow residents to separate waste into different types (food, recycled and non recycled). I would like to see the provision of adequate internal space for communal waste storage so that is not "dumped" on our street as is often the case when consideration is not given to this matter. We already have a problem with waste being left out at night at the top of Jeffrey's Place and foxes then making a mess.   |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | I believe the recommended volume as calculated by British Standards 5906 (BS Institution, 2005) where the Local Authority collection is once per week, is stated as 100 litres per single dwelling plus a further 70 litres per additional bedroom. That would suggest for $6 \ge 2$ bedroom flats a provision of communal waste storage internally or off street as part of the development needs to be of at least 1,020 litres. This is considerably larger than the proposed 30 litres x 6 a total of only 180 litres currently provided for.  |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | Does the development provided adequately sized, lockable cycle storage so that each cycle can be removed independently and with ease of access?  |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | The proposal states there will be no increase in the building massing. The council should if it is approved as residential, stipulate that the flat roof may not be used as any sort of roof terraces without further application and that no application for an additional floor will be considered.<br>The council should not allow future residents to apply for parking permits nor park to block access to the existing garages in Jeffrey's Place.<br>It has to be said that properties on Jeffrey's Place will all be overlooked and as such, change to residential use will seriously contribute to loss of privacy especially at weekends and evenings.<br>Also may I ask, if the proposal takes into account the requirement for new properties to address Lifetime Homes? |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | On 4 Dec 2014, at 13:25, planning@camden.gov.uk wrote:   |  |
|                 |                         |                         |                     |          | I would like to support all of the points already raised by G Stevens to the proposed conversion of the B1 offices at 7-8 Jeffrey's Place to residential use.<br>I am especially concerned that the application lacks a considered approach with regard to the sensible allocation of segregated refuse / bin provision within each of the developments or as a block especially when we are all becoming more environmentally aware, and also the complete lack of adequate off street bicycle storage and or vehicle parking facilities.   |  |

Printed on: 16/02/2015

09:05:18

| Application No.                | Congultang Nama                           | Conquitoos Addm   | Dessived                                | Commonte                    |   | 05:18 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|-------|
| Application No:<br>2015/0233/P | <b>Consultees Name:</b><br>David Kitchen, | <b>Consultees Addr:</b><br>13 Parliament Hill<br>London NW3 2SY | <b>Received:</b><br>10/02/2015 17:21:19 | <b>Comment:</b><br>OBJEMPER | Response:<br>We live in 13 Parliament Hill which is 40m downhill and downstream from the proposed basement and<br>I object to the application. I have read the BIA reports which tend to confirm the experience we have<br>had here of ground water and surface water effects. Our cellar has been flooded twice in recent years.<br>So has No 12 next door.<br>Our cellars are below the level revealed in the ground water depths found in the BIA.<br>Any disturbance of the perched water flows could affect us severely.<br>We are concerned from our local knowledge and experience that our house (or cellar and foundations)<br>is at risk. The plan will divert ground water away from its south, downhill course towards the railway<br>cutting to a southwest direction towards our foundations.<br>Parliament Hill/South Hill Park were flooded streets in 2002 in the LBC SFRA.<br>The course of the Fleet before it was diverted to the west to form the Hampstead Ponds ran through this | )5:18 |
|                                |   |   |   |                             | short stretch of what became 8-15 Parliament Hill (Lost Rivers; Barton N 1992). The Fleet culvert<br>begins at Hampstead Ponds 150m down below. The old course is still a natural shed for Parliament Hill<br>hillside water, surface and ground.<br>There is another current application (2014/7827/P) for a basement at 15A Parliament Hill which is<br>likely to compound the adverse effects.   |       |