

**Dike, Darlene**

---

**From:** Michael Pountney [REDACTED] on behalf of Michael E Pountney  
[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 02 February 2015 12:55  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Planning Application - 2014/7837/T, 20 Guilford St

Dear Planners

It is unclear what is meant by 'within grounds' in this application and no-one from the applicants could explain the term at the recent meeting of the GOSH Residents Liaison group. Most of the trees proposed for felling or pruning are not on the site of the proposed new building at 20 Guilford St – they are adjacent to it. If 'within grounds' means within the named site, should a different application be made for those not on the site?

One of the adjacent trees, the cotoneaster, is in the garden of Rokeby House and acts as a valuable screen between the flats there and the existing and future building. This tree has already been heavily pruned under Application 2014/2880/P: 'Reduce crown on north side of crown back to the boundary wall'. We would object very strongly to any further pruning of this tree under the new application.

Yours

Michael Pountney

-----  
Michael Pountney  
Chair, Rugby & Harpur Residents Association  
15 Rokeby House  
Lamb's Conduit St  
London WC1N 3LX  
[REDACTED]

*For people living in the Lamb's Conduit Street, Great Ormond Street, Rugby Street, Orde Hall Street, Dombey Street and Great James Street part of Holborn*