

**Comments Form**

Name PHILIP NEEME  
Address Flat 2, 80 Marchmont Street London WC1N 1AQ

Email address.....

Telephone number.....

Planning application number 2014/6804/P

Planning application address 23 B Tavistock Place London WC1H 9SE

I support the application (please state reasons below)



I object to the application (please state reasons below)



Your comments

I am objecting against the planning application because  
1/ The views from my flat looking out onto Compton place will be badly effected.  
2/ Extra Noise! People coming out onto the proposed terrace and balcony make noise no doubt about it. With my back windows closed the extra noise will be disturbing and because I often have the windows open to allow air into my flat the noise will be unacceptable (I can barely put up with current noise levels with windows closed!)  
The back of my flat is the quietest area of my flat and I spend most of my time there. The front of my flat onto Marchmont street is very noisy.  
Please see extra page for continuation.

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Extra Continuation page 2 objecting  
against Planning application 2014/6804/P

2/ Continued

However I still get noise disturbance presently at the rear of the flat from people going to & from the Generator house in Compton Place. If this proposal/application is allowed then my noise problem becomes much worse because the people making the <sup>extra</sup> noise will be so much nearer to my flat! Local residents of Marchmont street terrace (Nos 76 to 96) have had and continue to have dreadful noise problems at the rear of their flats. We should not have to put up with more noise which disturbs their sleep, rest and conversations. The Council need to understand that even during daytime hours some residents in flats in the Marchmont street terrace (76-96) in the effected area of the proposed application will be sleeping, working from home or just trying to live quietly!!! Residents range from pensioners, ~~working~~ people working from home, people who are ill and children.

3/ If This proposal/application is allowed then it will act as a precedent for other near building owners to get planning permission to add extensions on top of ~~their~~ their current buildings the snowball effect (to Marchmont street residents in this narrow terrace of Marchmont street - numbers 76 to 96) will be horrendous. More noise and for some flats less daylight, sunlight circulation of air and also Privacy. It would be madness to let this proposed application to go ahead! ~~to~~ Please see another <sup>extra</sup> page for continuation

Extra page 3 objecting against  
Planning application 2014/6804/P

4/ Continued

The other thing I want to add is  
if this proposed application is allowed  
during the construction the noise,  
dirt ~~and~~ and dust for the effected  
residents and local office workers.  
would be awful. The dirt will be  
coming into peoples flats

Thank you

Philip Neeme 6/12/2014

5/ The new balcony proposed should not  
be allowed - ~~this~~ because the effect  
would be massive <sup>combined</sup> noise from people on  
that balcony into my flat. In addition  
they will be able to look into my back  
room where I spend most of my time as  
it is the quietest room in my flat. In addition  
look I have no balcony! What if everyone  
decides to apply to build balconies and  
extensions at the back of their flats! If  
allowed it would mean massive noise <sup>for next</sup>  
<sup>intrusion for everyone</sup> and loss of privacy <sup>for</sup>  
<sup>Residents in the effected area</sup> don't have or think I should be  
allowed a balcony and nor should other people  
there is already too much build in this small  
congested area [REDACTED] 6/12/2014.

## Comments Form

Name.. EILEEN MATHON.....

Address..... Flat No. 1 80 Marlborough St. NC1 1AG.....

Email address.....

Telephone number.....

Planning application number... 2014/6804/P.....

Planning application address.. No. 23 B. Tavistock Place London WC1H 9SE

I support the application (please state reasons below) ☐

I object to the application (please state reasons below) ✓ ☒

Your comments

- 1 Noise disturbance
  - 2 Loss of view
  - 3 Loss of daylight
  - 4 Loss of residential amenities
  - 5 Loss of air
  - 6 Loss of quiet enjoyment in my home
  - 7 Loss of sunlight
  - 8 Loss of sunlight
  - 9 Loss of privacy
  - 10 Create a precedent for other neighbours
- 
- Noise Disturbance
- 1 People using the proposed balconies will make noise talking & laughing smoking & tea & coffee breaks & possibly summer events from May to October such as barbeques etc.

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Continuation from Page 1 objecting against  
Planning application 2014/680/P

II  
would be completely unacceptable. At the present time I get noise disturbance in the room at the back of my flat where I spend most of my time, as that is the room where I sleep & go for a rest in the afternoon. I am now a pensioner & retired, I need my sleep & rest. This room where I sleep & rest looks straight on to the applicants flat roof where the proposed planning application is for. The noise disturbance I get at the moment is from young people entering & leaving the Lyric Hotel. If the proposed planning application is allowed, then noise disturbance in my room would become much worse because the people making the extra noise would be much closer to my flat. The residents of this Marchmont Street terrace no 76-96 have had to continue to have awful noise disturbance at the rear of their flats. I believe we should not have to suffer with more noise at the back of our flats. This noise disturbs our sleep & conversations in the area where the proposed application is being made. Some people during the day you have some people working from home, others trying to sleep who are working night shifts plus elderly retired people & children. This is a very narrow terrace, with the result the flat roof where application is being made is very close to the back of the houses. If this proposed application was allowed it would act as a precedent for near building windows to get planning permission for extensions & balconies in this very narrow terrace.

P.T.O

3



## Comments Form

Name....EILEEN.....HAHON.....

Address....Flat no 1.....No 80 Marlborough St.....NC11 1AG.....

Email address.....

Telephone number.....

Planning application number...2014/6804/P.....

Planning application address...No 23 B. Tenistock Place.....NC11 9SE

I support the application (please state reasons below) ☐

I object to the application (please state reasons below) ☐

Your comments

3

Loss of view light & air  
At the back of my flat twenty five years ago I had two small windows taken out in the room where I spend most of my time & a large window put in at considerable expense. The purpose of this was to create more daylight coming into my room. Before I did this the room was terribly dark. You had to have electric lights switched on most of the time from October to mid April if you wanted to read or do any fine work such as sewing. I like to read especially now that I have got older. Having your lights switched on most of the time now with the price of electricity is out of question. My second reason was to create a view into my garden which I like. This wide open space enables you to look into the garden. I like

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

p. 1.0

4



## Comments Form

Name..... EILEEN MATHON.....

Address..... Flat No. 1 80 Marlborough St. N.C.I.W. 196.....

Email address.....

Telephone number.....

Planning application number... 2014/6884/P.....

Planning application address.. 23 B... Tavistock... Place... London... W.C.H. 9SE..

I support the application (please state reasons below) ☐

I object to the application (please state reasons below) ☐

Your comments

4

The large wide open space on the applicants flat roof opposite my large window enables extra light to get into the narrow terrace & air to circulate. There is very little space between our bedrooms & the proposed terraces. Indeed very little air can circulate in summer as it is. The proposed walls & terraces would further reduce the circulation of air. The back room of my flat is my only haven. The two front rooms of my flat are very noisy looking on to Marlborough St. from very early morning until very late at night. This noise in the front of the house gets much worse from May to October when we have young people from General's Hostel drinking in the street talking

& laughing loudly. We also get other young people from overseas universities who come here for the summer. They also drink in the street & cause P.T.O.

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish

Planning application NO S 2014/6804/P

noise disturbance. We also have endless taxis & cabs pulling up at the traffic lights. These taxis are coming from Kingstons & going to Eastern State - i.e. at 7 AM each morning we have the dust lorry from Borden picking up rubbish. Hours before that throughout the very early morning we have deliveries to the Russell Supermarket. The Lord John Russell Pub also gets very early morning deliveries.

The synopsis of all this is that if the proposed application is granted, I will lose my view into Compton Place which I appreciate very much & end up looking at a brick wall very close to me. The extra light that this wide open <sup>space</sup> enables to get into this small terrace will be blocked & the air will not be able to circulate, as it will be blocked by the two proposed terraces & walls. What if all the neighbours in this little terrace decided to do extensions & build balconies, if allowed it would create terrible noise disturbance & complete loss of privacy & quite enjoyment to ones home. Indeed as it is the ground floor & first floor of these houses do not get ~~a~~ much light

Flat No 1

80 Marchmont St

NC1W 1AG

9.12.2014



## Comments Form

Name..... Mrs.J.A. Steinhouse (Messrs. Pinemill Limited).....

Address..... 11 Ainger Road, London. NW3 3AR.....



Planning application number..... 2014/6804/P.....

Planning application address..... 23B Tavistock Place, London.. WC1H 9SE.....

~~I support the application (please state reasons below)~~

☐

I object to the application (please state reasons below)

☒

### Your comments

As the head leaseholder of 78 Marchmont Street, London. WC1N 1AG we object to the above planning application on the grounds of loss of light, and the effect on the health of the tenants who occupy the flats at 78 Marchmont Street, one of whom is my daughter.

These effects should have been brought to your attention by your health inspector who should have viewed the site before the planning application was issued.

Camden Council will in breach of the concerns for the health of the tenants in 78 Marchmont Street. And I am sure you have had similar objections from the tenants and house owners of the other properties in Marchmont Street which backs on to this proposed site.

Yours faithfully,  
PINEMILL LIMITED



(Mrs. J.A. Steinhouse)

Please continue on extra sheets if you wish