Olivier Nelson Esq., 5142 West Area Team 5 Pancras Square, London N1C 4AG

23<sup>rd</sup> January 2015

## Reference

## Planning Application - 2014/6648/P 7-8 Jeffrey's Place London NW1 9PP

Again, I object to the proposed conversion of the B1 offices at 7-8 Jeffrey's Place to residential use on the following grounds

- The amenity of Jeffrey's Place hinges on its mixed use combining commercial and residential use in a cobbled mews. The conversion of this building to residential use would destroy that amenity (contrary to the claim of clause 3.5 of the Design and Access statement accompanying the application)
- Camden needs places for people to work as well as reside (as set out in Development Policy DP 13). This building remains busy and apparently fully occupied by offices so it is in demand.
- The proposer offers no evidence that (to quote DP13 clause 13.5)
   "there is no realistic prospect of demand to use the site for employment use". Far from 2 years of failed marketing, this building has been in continuous commercial use since I moved opposite in 1994
- The proposer offers no retention of business space as would be required by DP 13 clause 13.6
- Clause 3.2 of the Design and Access statement claims this is a
  permitted development under "the Town and country Planning Order"
  yet Camden Council direction made under Article 4(1) dated 7<sup>th</sup>
  October 2014 shows this building in Area 1 should not be a permitted
  development. This application appears as a hasty attempt to establish
  development rights simply to raise the sale value of the site.
- Clause 3.4 states that access is by foot but this will clearly not be
  acceptable to the proposed residents who will want to unload vehicles
  (CAF is in operation 7 days a week) and park in the already limited
  resident's parking spaces. The council should not allow future
  residents to apply for parking permits nor park to block access to the
  existing garages in Jeffrey's Place.
- Clause 3.5 states there will be no increase in the building massing. The
  council should stipulate that the flat roof may not be used as roof
  terraces and that no application for an additional floor will be
  considered
- Clause 3.8 states there is daily waste collection which is incorrect (there is a weekly residential collection). The failure to make adequate refuse provision would turn Jeffrey's Place into a site of permanent litter and discarded waste (refuse from 3 Jeffrey's Place illustrates the potential from such residences)

I hope the council will refuse this application

Mr. & Mrs. Yukio Sato 15 Jeffreys Place, NW1 9PP