

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/7683/P	Ceri Barraclough	40A Clevedon Mansions	25/01/2015 20:46:40	OBJ	<p>While I am not against improvements to the schools in principle I am against the current application for a number of reasons. The current proposal lacks architectural merit and has been poorly considered within its surroundings. It will be detrimental to the neighbouring estate of Lissenden Gardens, and to the views for the approach from Dartmouth Park.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposal for the new PHS Science labs and Studio, and the Activity Hall to be so close to Clevedon Mansions is totally unacceptable. This new layout is ill thought out for the effect it will have on Lissenden Mansions and Clevedon Mansions. The height and scale of the proposed building will have a negative effect on Clevedon Mansions being just 20 metres away from the rear of those flats. The existing block causes light and noise pollution up to 10 pm on most nights, including weekends. There is the intention to increase the communal use of the new facilities in the evenings and weekends 4-10 pm Mon-Fri, 10-6 pm Saturdays. This will have a direct negative impact on the residents of Clevedon and will be intolerable.</li> <li>2. The construction of the sixth form La Swap building on the historic greens in front of the school will obstruct the views of the beautiful existing brick facade from the Highgate Road. This will deny existing views by the public when approaching the Heath from Dartmouth Park. This is a major access route to the Heath and this view to the old school building is a significant asset to the neighbourhood. The scale and size of the buildings are excessive and will totally dominate the whole of the Highgate Road approach.</li> <li>3. I object to the proposed removal of more trees to make way for the Le Swap building. I have not seen any proposals to replant and add an adequate number of extra trees as a result.</li> <li>4. The Construction Management Plan proposes for HGV egress heading North up Highgate West Hill. This route is not suitable for HGV traffic; the road narrows to down to a single lane halfway up the hill and will result in traffic chaos and increased risk of accidents. The estimate of 10 HGVs per day seems very low; it is expected the actual number of site vehicles to be much higher.</li> <li>5. The Construction Management Plan makes no specific reference as to how bicycle access and HGVs will be managed or separated. Given this is a school it is expected there is significant use of bicycles so it essential the access and egress of HGVs and other site traffic is carefully considered to avoid a serious accident.</li> <li>6. I object to the proposed Saturday site start time of 8am referred in the Construction Management Plan. The Lissenden Gardens estate in particular is a very quiet residential square; construction work noise at 8am on a Saturday for the next 3 years is completely unacceptable. Please reconsider this.</li> </ol>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/7683/P	Kay Hughes	7 Ravenswood	19/01/2015 14:30:04	OBJ	I object to the location of the sixth form building between the established building frontage of the school buildings and Highgate road. This boundary between buildings and the road is a core characteristic that defines Dartmouth Park and Parliament Hill. A building here severely diminishes the existing character and unique spatial characteristics of the area. The proposal to "green" the building has no substantial value as a proposal. The idea that by dressing a building with "greenery" makes it's location and form acceptable is farcical. Retaining the set back frontage of the existing school and preserving the spatial integrity of the existing set back is essential. As chair of the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum I should note that consultation over the last year on the broader local environment establishes that these green spaces are fundamental to what local residents like about the area and underpin its sense of place and identity. a building here would adversely affect this and set a irreparable precedent to building in this protected zone. The justification that this is a 6th form building and should have its own apparent entrance is not convincing. Building way finding and location if well planned can achieve the desired separation without building into such an essentially locally valuable space.
2014/7683/P	Cllr. Oliver Lewis	9 chetwynd road nw5 1bx	25/01/2015 15:47:53	SUPNOT	I wholeheartedly support the proposals. The current buildings are past their best and PHS will benefit enormously from modern blocks. WES needs more space and I welcome the plans for the school. The 6th form centre is a welcome addition to the facilities available for LASWAP. The plans will be of great benefit for children and families across the borough.
2014/7683/P	Sara Whyte	15 grove terrace	21/01/2015 12:52:47	COMMNT	I object to the plans on the grounds that the materials are not sympathetic with the local area, it would set a precedent for further building in the area in front and blocks the view of the original school building. The amount of trees to be felled in the total area of this project is also a concern as is the placing of La Swap on Highgate road, which has one of the highest traffic emission readings in the area.