

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| 2014/7966/P | West Hampstead Gardens and Residents Association. | 20 Kylemore Road London NW6 2PT | 18/01/2015 16:16:21 | OBJ | <p>2014/7966/P</p> <p>We would like to object to this planning application on the following grounds:</p> <p>Design and Appearance and Materials -</p> <p>The roof above the station is an oversized horizontal concrete slab floating on pillars and totally out of proportion and out of keeping with the existing streetscape. This goes against the Neighbourhood Development Plan recently agreed by Camden, and about to be submitted for a local referendum, and Camden's Core Policy.</p> <p>The attempt to match the appearance of the station to the adjacent Ballymore scheme is misguided and unsympathetic.</p> <p>Landscaping -</p> <p>The loss of two mature trees and an absence of any new significant greenery in the landscaping is deplorable in the centre of West Hampstead which already suffers from a lack of green resources.</p> <p>Traffic – pedestrian</p> <p>The figures given by TfL for increased volumes of pedestrian movements in and out of the Overground are questionable but in any case the design of the station creates several pinch points and provides no additional access except for emergencies. This is short sighted and poor planning.</p> <p>Camden Planning Policy</p> <p>Quoting from the Camden Core Policy – West Hampstead Interchange 2010 – the Council expects “sustainable and safe design of the highest quality that respects the character and heritage value of West Hampstead.” This requirement is not met by the TfL planning application for the Overground. We request that this application is refused</p> <p>Secretary and Treasurer WHGARA</p> |
| 2014/7966/P | Tobias Wood | 12 Hall Oak Walk NW6 2BQ | 19/01/2015 21:00:00 | SUPPRT | The widened pavement and installation of lifts is desperately needed. Given the constraints of the site the overall plan seems reasonable, even if yet another cafe is not really needed on West End Lane. |
| 2014/7966/P | Janet Crawford | 32A Kylemore Road nw6 2pt | 17/01/2015 16:44:58 | COMMEM AIL | I think the principle of having a new, larger station with lifts is a good one and the new station is necessary. However it's a pity that this opportunity has been wasted on a design which could have been much better looking. The roof seems too big and prominent and the cream coloured wall to the left of the station looks ugly and also stands out too much. |
| 2014/7966/P | Janet Crawford | 32A Kylemore Road nw6 2pt | 17/01/2015 16:44:46 | COMMEM AIL | |
| 2014/7966/P | Colleen Toomey | 3 Hilltop Road London NW62QA | 21/01/2015 16:11:37 | COMMNT | I strongly object to TfL's planning application to develop West Hampstead's overground station. The existing station building should be protected, not demolished. It is, as Camden New Journal reported, an Edwardian gem. We do not need more retail units or cafes. We have not been properly consulted and the timing of the application shows total lack of transparency and respect for the local community. This is a poorly thought through and ill-considered design. The Development Control Committee should throw out this application. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Consultees Addr: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---|---------------------|---------------|---|
| 2014/7966/P | WHAT | WHAT | 20/01/2015 21:44:30 | COMMEN AIL | Comments made in separate email. |
| 2014/7966/P | Pauline Atlas | 27 St James Mansions West End Lane London NW6 2AA | 18/01/2015 16:22:42 | COMNOT | <p>While I completely understand that West Hampstead Overground needs to be re developed as the current one is already or will soon be too small, lifts need to be installed to help access to the platforms for the aging population, those with prams, luggage and especially wheelchair users, I am finding the current plans not at all in keeping with the area. TFL's plans show a complete disregard and lack of understanding of the area. It looks as if an "off the peg" building is just going to be adapted a little to fit in the space as cheaply as possible and no effort is being made for it to be a nice fit as well as a practical one. TFL want the station to fit in with the Ballymore site - why is that? It should fit in with the area before it was destroyed by the hideous Ballymore monstrosity!</p> <p>It is a shame that TFL plans to destroy the current Edwardian building which really fits in with the other buildings in the area. The new one will be completely "alien" and look as if it has just been "plonked" there. The design is ugly and not in keeping with the local architecture. The material planned to be used will not fit in with the surrounding buildings or with West Hampstead generally. The ugly roof and planned lettering advertising the station look nothing like anything else in the area. It will be so much higher than all the other buildings and stick out like a sore thumb. Even more despicable, will be the footbridge planned to go over the tracks which to me looks like walkways and watch towers one would have in a prison camp!</p> <p>All this goes against the proposals made by the NDF and passed by Camden.</p> <p>The destruction of the few remaining trees seems to also be a foregone conclusion. Any replacement will certainly not be mature trees and reduce even more all the benefits we had from our greenery.</p> <p>Again, this goes against Camden's own planning rules. It will be a further loss of and to wildlife.</p> <p>The much lauded "West Hampstead Square" will also be reduced to a walk through area, especially at busy times (during the morning and evening rush hours). Why can the station not be mainly underground rather than above ground? It would then hardly disturb anyone and could be made to fit in its surrounding better with more appropriate materials used.</p> <p>I would be grateful if my comments are taken into account before any agreement is reached.</p> <p>Please also notify me of any decision.</p> |
| 2014/7966/P | Z | 176 Iverson Road | 19/01/2015 14:21:54 | OBJ | <p>I have tried to open a number of PDF plans to see more detail and they will not open. This is not a good sign. From other sources I have viewed proposals and find them quite vulgar. The proposed height seems totally unnecessary and I would prefer to keep the existing one but understnad that it may need enlarging due to the proliferation of over zealous developments recently. The entrance should definitely be kept on West End Lane. There is absolutely no more need for additional retail facilities as we have far too many already and there are going to be more on the new unsightly housing development off of West End Lane - next door! The pleasant open space created next to the Thameslink station could not be left as this and any excuse for more retail opportunities means this area is often full of either market stalls, take-away food stalls and so on and on. Please consider that some people think about other things but shopping. The 'economy' is definitely King and other considerations are just not on the agenda.</p> |