Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 26/01/2015 09:05:20 Response:
2014/7951/P	Simon Canney	31 Ryland Road	21/01/2015 18:12:24	INT	An application along these lines has been submitted before, and was rejected, rightly, in my opinion, for various reasons, but as the owner of 31 Ryland Road, clearly the one that preoccupies me is the effect such a development would have on my own residence, namely loss of light and privacy. In my view, the elevations shown at 5.2 of "design and access" do not accurately reflect the effect such a development would have on the dwellings on both sides of the street in Ryland Road, and without any pressing need for such an extra level on the Imperial Works building (which would in any case suffer architecturally from such an afterthought, forming as it does part of a Conservation Area), it seems to me that the proposal for this development is for one which would be intrusive and undesirable, and therefore should be rejected, as before.
2014/7951/P	Jonathan Kerrigan	28 Ryland Road London NW5 3EH	24/01/2015 21:18:06	OBJ	Our house is one that backs directly onto the Imperial Works and I would like to object to the proposed plans for a number of reasons. Firstly, we don't have the greatest amount of natural light in our backyards and this would only reduce the amount we already have. This would effect the enjoyment of our private spaces and could effect re-sale potential, as a lack of light at the rear of the property was cited negatively when we had our house on the market briefly. Also we submitted plans for a small dormer at the back of our house which got refused citing that we live in a conservation area and that the buildings of this age need to be preserved in their original state
					as much as possible. This was a small dormer at the back of the house not visible at all from the road. Our house and Imperial Works were built around the same time so why doesn't Imperial Works have to adhere to the same conservation rules? Ryland Road has been subjected to constant building works for the past few years, The Brinsmead conversion (still ongoing) and more recently the platform extension of Kentish Town West Station. We were assured there would be minimal disruption but we still had a lot of noise at hours much earlier than specified. And then there's the noise during the day as well as the dirt and grime that gets
					deposited around the exterior of our properties. I would also like to know why there is a need for more office space on the top of the building when the office space inside the building isn't fully occupied? I believe that the owner hasn't renewed various leases which has led to empty spaces and for a time a problem with squatters. Surely fill those spaces before building extra ones?