

E-mail Message

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23/01/2015 at 12:05
Received: 23/01/2015 at 12:05
Subject: 166 Arlington Road, NW1 7HP; Application ref: 2014/7574/P

Dear Rachel,

We are writing on behalf of the Camden Town CAAC. We had our meeting last night so I hope we are not too late in presenting our views on this important case.

The existing front elevation appears to have some original brickwork and good, interesting proportions. The slight stepping down of the parapet height sensitively registers the slope of the ground down towards Parkway. This building is noted as a 'positive contribution' in the Conservation Area Statement - a fact not noted in this application.

We object to this application for the following reasons:

The new extension will certainly be seen from the street and will really intrude in the present grouping of three attractive buildings - the former pub on the Parkway corner, the former Tupelo Honey, now an estate agent, and No 166.

The extension is lop-sided in not filling in whole elevation. It is also too high and has a higher section than the existing floors.

The parapet is also higher and does not step down.

The existing building has a roof covered in tiles matching the roofs on the same side of the road. This consistency has been lost in the proposed design.

The rear plate glass windows of the extension are far too large and will be an eyesore when viewed from windows opposite. The front windows of the extension are also too large.

Our Committee is not against modern roof extensions but this one shows a great lack of sensitivity in relation to this part of Arlington Road.

Yours sincerely,

Gordon Macqueen & Margaret Richardson, Co-Chairs of the Camden Town CAAC,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]