Sent: 15 December 2014 18:57

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Objection to Planning Application Ref: 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P

Thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Francesca DeHaven [mailto:francescadehaven@wraxall.co.uk]

Sent: 12 December 2014 12:53

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Objection to Planning Application Ref: 2014/6845/P

Dear Charles,

I am writing to object to the proposed Royal Free property development reference 2014/6845/P.

I object to this proposed development due to the following reasons:

- My son attends Hampstead Hill School and there will be a significant impact on him and his school mates when the new development is complete if built as proposed. It will significantly block light from the school and its windows will overlook the school and playground, not something that I am comfortable with as a parent as I will have no knowledge or control of who may be watching or keeping tabs on the young children plying in the school playground.
- I am a local resident and throughout the building work, the disruption to traffic and the noise pollution will be intolerable. This is already an extremely busy thoroughfare with school, hospital and in the summer, Hampstead Village and heath-bound traffic. There really is no scope for additional heavy lorries and traffic.
- The additional traffic during the build and the increased ambulances once complete are also a significant concern from a road safety perspective, increasing an already congested area at school drop off and pick up time with young children even harder to see if they try to cross from behind a parked lorry or are crossing when an emergency vehicle speeds through a red light.

In addition to the points above, I am concerned that there has not been more transparency and consultation with local residents given the sheer scale, scope and size of the development and its inevitable impact on residents and HHS parents.

Please accept this as a formal objection to the development. I hope that it will help to encourage the Council to consider rejecting the proposal.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Kind regards, Francesca DeHaven NW3 5LY

Sent: 15 December 2014 18:58

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Royal Free Expansion Project

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

----Original Message-----

From: Jasma Jobanputra [mailto:jasma.jobanputra@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 December 2014 13:21

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Royal Free Expansion Project

Dear Charles,

I am writing to you as a local resident living right next door to the Royal Free Hospital at 12 Pond St, nw3 2ps.

Personally, I am a big supporter of the hospital and all that it has done for us - I receive a lot of care for various illnesses there and have recently delivered 2 children at the hospital too. My daughter now goes to nursery at Hampstead Hill School as well.

As a local resident and active user of local services and facilities, I am very supportive of plans to make the Royal Free into an even more useful and well serving part of the community through research and care however I was writing to seek your consideration in making the proposed building/structure smaller and less disruptive to the community surrounding it. My concerns are mainly around the issue of over development, disruption (not only during construction but thereafter with the additional foot traffic etc), loss of light and green space.

I wondered if there were other sites you could consider or to even split the sites and have a part of the new development here in Hampstead green whilst the remainder in a locality not too far away.

Appreciate you taking the time to read this note.

Many thanks

Jasma

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer Regeneration and Planning Culture and Environment

London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867 Fax: 020 7974 1680 Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor 5 Pancras Square 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Sent: 15 December 2014 18:59

To: Planning

Subject: FW: APPLICATION TO ERECT PEARS BUILDING AT THE ROYAL FREE

Please register this on m3 as a SUPPORT to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Julia Bradbury Info [mailto:info@juliabradbury.com]

Sent: 12 December 2014 13:35

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: RE: APPLICATION TO ERECT PEARS BUILDING AT THE ROYAL FREE

Dear Mr Thuaire

I wanted to write to express my support for the proposed Pears Building at the hospital. Architecturally, it will be a very welcome addition to the Hampstead landscape (more than the ugly porter cabin at the back of the school!) and importantly, Medically, it will be conducting crucial research which will benefit people in Camden and elsewhere, as well as boost employment.

I have lived in Hampstead for over 20 years (within close proximity to The Royal Free) and I urge the Planning Committee to grant the application.

Many thanks for your attention

Yours

Gina Bradbury Fox

Gina Bradbury Fox t: 07973 382256 Executive Assistant to JB www.juliabradbury.com

Sent: 15 December 2014 18:59

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Objection to App Ref:2014/6845/P:

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Jasbinder Bains [mailto:jasbinderbains@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 December 2014 14:02

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Objection to App Ref:2014/6845/P:

Dear Charles,

I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms about the plan application - ref: 2014/6845/P to build an extension to the Royal Free Hospital by Hampstead Green

I live with my family at Hampstead Hill Gardens, my son was born at the Royal Free and goes to school at Hampstead Hill School. I am a huge advocate of the hospital, but a greater advocate of the whole of Hampstead, and these proposals are unbelievably ridiculous and an affront to locals. I fiercely oppose the application because of three reasons:

- it would require years of building, and the impact on my son and his school will be significant: loss of light, noise, vibrations, dust, increased traffic risk, disruption to concentration during classes, loss of enjoyment of the outside space at Hampstead Hill School and green corridor of Hampstead Green, loss of privacy for children
- the plans are simply preposterous in scale maybe to draw the sting of locals before being reduced. A seven storey eyesore is not required, nor was it discussed with the Hampstead Green Neighbourhood Group showing a disingenuous approach and break in trust for future discussions. That there is no single strategic plan either for this application, the proposed extension of the A&E, or the two further developments planned by the hospital underlines this casual and piece-meal approach to wrecking the village
- threat to St Stephens: any building work on this scale threatens this beautifully restored Grade 1 building, which is an integral part of Hampstead Hill School. The threat to this lovingly restored building is very real and has happened once before because of building work associated with the Royal Free Hospital.

For the sake of the children of Hampstead today and tomorrow, I implore you and your fellow councillors to reject this application.

Best regards,

Jasbinder Bains

Hampstonian

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:00

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Planning application 2014/6845/P: Royal Free's proposed

Research building

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Christina Harrison [mailto:christina.harrisonch5@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 December 2014 14:11

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Fw: Planning application 2014/6845/P: Royal Free's proposed Research building

Dear Sir

My first message below (of objhections) may not have reached you if your e-mail is case-sensitive.

In addition, I feel reduction in the small car park & increased volume of traffic will almost paralyse area traffic & access generally to the hospital - which is supposed to be increasing its A&E facility.

It seems a real pity to destroy the roof top garden - I imagine a resource for staff.

I gather there is no master plan for the already overdeveloped site, also Camden is not considering the impact of other nearby developments (lawn rd etc).

I am in favour of research, but a smaller building or a site elsewhere seem a more acceptable idea.

Yours sincerely C. Harrison

---- Original Message ----- From: Christina Harrison

To: charles.thuaire@camden.gov.uk

Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2014 9:13 PM

Subject: Planning application 2014/6845/P: Royal Free's proposed Research building

Dear Sir

I'm a resident of Belsize Park & frequently walk near the proposed building en route to Hampstead Heath station.

I object to various aspects of this proposal. Firstly, to the height of the proposed building - could not the additional hotel/offices be built elsewhere?

Also the effect of light reduction for the small school nearby and possible repercussions for St Stephens - and all the considerations outlined in the posters around it - traffic, parking, noise etc..

Also I personally would be extremely disappointed if Hampstead Green were to go/be reduced? and if it is unaffected, then presumably the garden of the hospital itself will be overshadowed?

I'm not quite clear where exactly the building is proposed to be built - is it replacing an existing building?

Thank you. Yours sincerely

C Harrison

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:00

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: e-mail gsking [mailto:gsking@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 December 2014 14:11

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Planning Application 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

Dear Sir,

I am writing to you about the above planning application and my concerns about the project, not only as a parent of two young children who attend Hampstead Hill School but as a Belsize/Hampstead resident who uses the surrounding area around the Hospital/South End Green on a regular basis.

The proposed new building looks far too big for the site, not only does it appear to be swallowing up the little bit of green space that we have left in Hampstead Green but the structure looks totally out of place and not in keeping with the conservation area and Grade-1 listed buildings in its immediate vicinity. I assume a structure of this magnitude will need substantially deep foundations and this in turn raises another issue of structural damage to St. Stephens Church and Hampstead Hill School.

The structure looks as if it is going to be right on top of the boundary to Hampstead Hill School, thus affecting the natural daylight to the outside classrooms as well as the playground. The safety and privacy of the children while playing in the grounds of the school is also a problem with the top floors of the new building looking directly into the playground.

The already inadequate parking is going to be reduced by 50%. This will result in more congestion locally with more traffic on what are already heavily congested roads and it will also add to the parking problems for residents who live in the surrounding streets.

I understand the need to develop and I have used The Royal Free Hospital on a number of occasions and value its services and staff greatly but any new development must take into account its surroundings and be sympathetic and in keeping with the area.

I urge that this planning be put on hold and the local residents/businesses be given an adequate consultation period.

Yours sincerely,

Gina King

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:00

To: Planning

Subject: FW: OOBJECTION to Application with Ref:2014/6845/P:

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Florence Wuyame [mailto:florence.wuyame@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 December 2014 14:35

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: OOBJECTION to Application with Ref:2014/6845/P:

Dear Camden Council,

I am not usually a big fan of objections when it comes to development benefiting to the Community.

But I am surprised that such a project has been submitted without a proper building planning application, assessing carefully all the impacts on the neighbouring area. This assessment should then propose effective mitigation measures to the negative impacts, taken in coordination with all parties involved.

Here, it seems the impact on traffic for example has completely be ignored: loss of parking, closure of road... will lead to even more frequent and heavier traffic congestion in this area which is already overcrowded 3 to 4 times a day, and has been overdeveloped for years.

I have also heard that one of the closest neighbour (Hampstead Hill School) has not been consulted. As my son was in that school for 2 years I feel very much concerned: what about their safety, safety of their old historical (!) building? How come, not only the school, but also the residents, the businesses... have not been consulted? This should be a preliminary stage to potentially go further with the project.

So we and my family request you at least to put the project on hold waiting for a proper analysis and local consultation.

I thank you very much for the consideration you will have for this comments.

Kind regards, Florence Wuyame

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:01

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Application 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Giroux Alison [mailto:Alison.Giroux@tube.tfl.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 December 2014 15:02

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Application 2014/6845/P

Dear Mr. Thuaire,

I'd like to submit a formal objection to the proposed development of the Royal Free Hospital, application number 2014/6845/P.

First let me say that I believe the Royal Free Hospital is an excellent institution providing essential services to the local community. My daughter was born at the Royal Free and, as a resident of Belsize Park, I have used the hospital as a patient and have always been happy with the services they provide. Further, I support the Royal Free's ambitions to open new facilities for immunology.

However, as a parent of a child at Hampstead Hill School, I have serious concerns about the impact of the proposed building on the school and local community. The enormous building will no doubt result in a significant loss of light and, and more worryingly, in a loss of privacy for the children. The school, as you will know, has been an outstanding educational institute since 1949 and is integral to the Belsize Park/Hampstead community.

I also believe that the proposed building is far too big and not in keeping with the neighbouring conservation areas and, in particular, St. Stephen's Church. I am particularly concerned about the loss of green space at Hampstead Green. And not only does the building not fit with the neighbourhood/conservation area, the design doesn't seem to align with the existing Royal Free building, nor does there appear to be a cohesive master design plan for the hospital.

I believe there must be a solution that would allow the Royal Free to extend their research facilities while still maintaining the beautiful surrounding area. Please do not approve the application for this huge building.

Yours sincerely,

Alison

Palestra 5R3 197 Blackfriars Road London SE1 8NJ Tel : 020 3054 8626 Auto : 88626 Mob : 07730 287980
Email: alison.giroux@tube.tfl.gov.uk

The contents of this e-mail and any attached files are confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately at postmaster@tfl.gov.uk and remove it from your system. If received in error, please do not use, disseminate, forward, print or copy this email or its content. Transport for London excludes any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached files.
Transport for London is a statutory corporation whose principal office is at Windsor House, 42-50 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0TL. Further information about Transport for London's subsidiary companies can be found on the following link: http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/about-tfl/
Although TfL have scanned this email (including attachments) for viruses, recipients are advised to carry out their own virus check before opening any attachments, as TfL accepts no liability for any loss, or damage which may be caused by viruses.

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:01

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Lynne Leeder [mailto:lynneleeder@parliamentcourt.freeserve.co.uk]

Sent: 12 December 2014 15:22

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Planning Application 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

As a local resident, I am writing to object to the above application.

Whilst I am generally supportive of initiatives to increase and improve the provision of medical services and research, the proposal is not appropriate for the following reasons:-

- It is further overdevelopment of the Royal Free site, which already lacks any coherent master plan.
- The size and scale of the building are inappropriate to the area, and will have a negative impact, particularly visually and on the amenities of St Stephen's, Hampstead Green and South End Green and Hampstead Hill School.
- It will mean the loss of invaluable green space for the local community
- It will mean a reduction in parking available at the hospital whilst increasing visitors to the
 hospital, both factors putting further strain on the already stretched local parking needed by
 residents and local businesses.
- It will mean an increase in traffic in the already congested local streets.
- There will be a serious negative impact on local residents for two years during construction.

This development would be better located at the Barnet or Chase Farm Hospital sites with which the Royal Free had recently merged. There appears to be no rational reason for the selection of the Royal Free site for this development.

Lynne Leeder 29 Parliament Court NW3 2TS

Sent: 15 December 2014 19:02

To: Planning

Subject: FW: Royal Free planning application - 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: David Murray [mailto:dm@fountaincourt.co.uk]

Sent: 12 December 2014 15:27

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Royal Free planning application - 2014/6845/P

Dear Mr Thuaire,

I am writing to register an objection to the above-referenced planning application.

The proposal is objectionable for a number of reasons, but the following are of particular concern to me:

- The vast size of the proposed building, which would be 7 storeys high with a very large footprint, will overshadow the whole area and will have an unacceptably negative impact on the green space of Hampstead Green, as well as the recently restored and Grade I-listed St Stephen's.
- The demolition/construction phase would have an unacceptable impact, on account of the
 inevitable noise, dust and debris, as well as construction traffic. In relation to the latter, the
 area already suffers badly from traffic congestion, which would be made much worse by
 construction vehicles, for which there is no space to park.
- The development would have a severely negative impact on Hampstead Hill School, which
 has been on its present site for 50 years. This applies to the development/construction
 phase (as noted above) and to the finished building itself, as its inappropriate size would
 overshadow the school site and deprive much of it of natural light.

I urge the Council to reject this ill-conceived and inappropriate application.

Kind regards,

David Murray

CVs and practice areas of members of Fountain Court Chambers are available at http://www.fountaincourt.co.uk

This e-mail and any attachment are confidential, protected by copyright and may be legally privileged. This e-mail is for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any reading, dissemination or copying of this e-mail is prohibited and that no privilege has been waived. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by replying by e-mail or by telephone (+44 (0)20 7583 3335) and then delete the e-mail from your system.