

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:50
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/p-Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----

From: Angus Ion [mailto:angusion1@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 09:29
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Planning Application 2014/6845/p-Royal Free Hospital

Dear Charles Thuaire

I wish to add my voice to the concerns many of us local people have about the proposed development on the site of the Royal Free hospital garden.

The fact that the Royal Free is probably the ugliest modern development in Camden and the subsequent piecemeal building equally atrocious, I think that now would be a very good time to re evaluate any further development of the site.

The proposed new building would encroach further on the Grade 1 listed Saint Stephens and rather charming Hampstead Green.

There seems to have been little consultation with local groups and judging by what I've read in the latest edition of the Ham and High the claims of the developers are riddled with inaccuracies regarding locals views.

The architects computer drawn image conveniently ignores St Stephens and so gives no accurate impression of the impact this building will have on its immediate surrounding.

I and my family live at 9 Perceval Ave.

I urge you to reconsider this grotesque proposal and at least demand that if it is to be sited here that it be at least 2 storeys lower.

Yours sincerely

Angus Ion

Arlene Phillips CBE

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:50
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Proposed building on Hampstead Green

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Lincoln Small [mailto:lincoln@lincolnsmall.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 09:36
To: Thuaire, Charles
Cc: jeff@jeffrey-goldandco.com
Subject: Proposed building on Hampstead Green

Dear Sir

We are writing to you in order to voice our strong objection to the proposed building on Hampstead Green.

The Green is a valuable resting place for hospital patients and staff who are otherwise enclosed within the Royal Free. This a verdant retreat where many of us have sort refuge from the intensity of wards and have found fresh air and peace within the the Green's boundaries.

Our objection is not to be registered against the purpose of the proposed building, which as a research centre would be fulfilling a great need , but this could surely be located in another part of NW3 preferably upon the footprint of an existing building. Its all very well to state the obvious that there is no suitable alternative location....but there is; and its a matter of getting out there and finding one. There are so many run down and/ or under used buildings within Camden, and many of them are Council owned properties.

Please do consider the many objections that are raised and refuse the planning

Thank you for your consideration

Lincoln Small and Suzanne Ferreira
96 South Hill Park
NW32SN

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:51
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Re Planning application number 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Carine Minne [mailto:minnecarine@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 09:54
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Re Planning application number 2014/6845/P

dear Mr Thuaire,

I write to you as an extremely concerned South End Green resident and parent of a child attending Hampstead Hill School. I am also a Medical Hospital Consultant working locally for the NHS.

Currently, the Pond Street area is traffic laden with all the appointments and ambulances attending and trying to enter the Royal free Hospital from a usually grid-locked street. Apart from the appalling pollution for the children especially, the traffic endangers lives on a daily basis as stressed people in cars running late for appointments and finding nowhere to park become preoccupied with trying to enter the hospital at all costs, losing the priority that should be road safety. On a daily basis, we cross the road at the Pond Street pedestrian crossings at our peril. This is a narrow but major thoroughfare. The idea that another huge building with more comings and goings in such a grid-locked area would be built leaves us in utter trepidation. This is also in complete contradiction to the recent Environmental Audit Commission Report, which clearly states that schools should not be in traffic laden areas because the research now indicates that pollution is killing as many people as cigarette smoke. Apart from the local residents and workers, there are over 1000 children attending three schools in the immediate vicinity.

Hampstead Hill School is a family run local school, opened since 1949 and provides excellent education for the local children from all walks of life. The small amount of open space left is crucial for the well-being of hundreds of children. The idea that this huge building right next to the school, over-shadowing the classrooms and small open play areas, depriving the children of light and privacy - raising Child Safe-Guarding issues too - defies common sense. The few trees left would by and large, be removed. Furthermore, the magnificent but fragile grade 1 listed building that houses the school and is available again to the local community, could be put at structural risk. The local community, spear-headed by Andrea and Michael Taylor's amazing fund-raising efforts, actually rescued this building at great cost and the possibility that this would now be jeopardised by foundations next to it for a 'sky-scaper', plunging it into darkness as well, fills us with despair. This would be a huge

blow to everyone who contributed financially to this rescue and restoration project, a place which has become the local hub of community life and events.

As a doctor, I also of course support research into illnesses but the idea of building a research centre into illnesses by causing other illnesses does not make any sense. Children, local residents, patients, local workers are already risking their respiratory lives on a daily basis - we simply cannot allow further endangerment of lives. We would fully support planning for such a building for research in an appropriate area.

I have many other points I would like to make so please don't hesitate to contact me if you would like further information or input.

yours sincerely,
Dr Carine Minne

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:55
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Royal Free Hospital New Building Erection Plan - Objection from Residents

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Nehal Farooqui [mailto:nehalfarooqui@yahoo.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 10:39
To: Thuaire, Charles
Cc: wife
Subject: Royal Free Hospital New Building Erection Plan - Objection from Residents

Dear Charles

We are writing to strongly object against the proposed plans by the Royal Free Hospital to erect a new building behind Hampstead Hill School. Our children currently go to school at Hampstead Hill School and we are residents in the area. We raise these objections on the following grounds:

- (1) The New proposed building will overshadow the rich heritage of the area and spoil the skyline for the children and the residents. Given the elevation and positioning of the proposed building, there will be a significant loss of light for the children and the Hampstead Hill School
- (2) The noise, dust and construction pollution will be detrimental to the children and the residents in the area. Our 6 year old daughter already suffers from some allergies which could be aggravated by the construction pollution
- (3) Traffic in the area will rise during and then post construction permanently - with already inadequate parking space in the area, the elimination of the existing parking spaces and the erection of a new building in its place will permanently alter (in a negative way) the traffic flows in the area and potentially pose a hazard for the school children of Hampstead Hill and also the other school on Roslyn Hill.

We look forward to hearing back from you.

Kind regards,
Nehal Farooqui
Nisha Siddiqui
160 Adelaide Road
London, NW3 3PA

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:56
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning application 2014/6845/P- Royal Free hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: allyson kaye [mailto:kayeallyson@me.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 10:47
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Planning application 2014/6845/P- Royal Free hospital

Dear Charles Thuaire

I wish to object to the Planning application 2014/6845/P- Royal Free hospital

My reasons are as follows

- The traffic on Pond street Haverstock Hill and surrounding areas is already very bad. At 9 am the traffic is at a standstill all the way up Roslyn Hill past to Willoughby Road. These single lane roads cannot cope with the amount of general and school traffic at the moment so extra capacity at A&E ie 60,000 people to 140,000 people and less parking spaces will only worsen the already bad situation.
- The upheaval of such a large construction will clog the area. The construction is too big.
- The Royal Free has a research facility and this scheme has factored in more research space. Working in this area of expertise I would not recommend the Royal Free as a research centre, it is limited and will always be limited. UCL has recently expanded its research facilities and the Crick Institute will be state of the art research centre on a huge site by the British Library and is opening next year. These should be the research hubs for North London, they are on the same network. Clinical material can easily be taken to these points only about 3 miles away. The research world is understanding that we must have engineering, computing, physics, new imaging and medical scientific work altogether. This will never be possible in the confined space of the Royal Free and should not be considered. All research should move to the fantastic new institutes being built near by and who are part of their network.

- All in all each of these elements together with the already over developed site and lack of master plan should be reasons not to build the scheme. The 1970's Royal Free building and other out buildings could and should be reconfigured and the redundant and empty space should be better utilised and then consider a new site added once these first stages are complete. Building more is not an answer.

Regards

Allyson Kaye MBE

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:56
To: Planning
Subject: FW: planning application 2014/6845/P -royal free hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Sabine Reinhold [mailto:sabine_reinhold@yahoo.fr]
Sent: 12 December 2014 11:20
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: planning application 2014/6845/P -royal free hospital

Dear Mr Thuaire,

I wish to object to the Royal free hospital' s planning application.

It will make the area unsafe because of the extra traffic when the area is surrounded by schools and children. The roads can't take the extra traffic and the locals will have no where left to parc. This will be a nightmare to live nearby and see everyday!

last, the area is a prime area and this would have a very negative impact on a conservation area, loss of green space and a listed building.

The hospital is huge and must keep its parking facilities for staff patients and visitors. There is space towards fleet road where extra capacity could maybe be built?

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:56
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Objection to planning application

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Heloise Sweeting [mailto:heloise_sweeting@hotmail.co.uk]
Sent: 12 December 2014 11:50
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Objection to planning application

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to object to the planning application Ref 2014/6845/P in relation to the proposed new development at the Royal Free Hospital.

I am parent to a child at Hampstead Hill School. My primary objections are the following:

1. The Royal Free has made no attempt to consult adequately or in good faith with the local residents - including Hampstead Hill School.
2. I am concerned about the size of the proposed building, in particular that it will reduce the level of natural light in my son's classroom (this is an outdoor classroom). I also am concerned that the children will be overlooked by the public - this is a safeguarding issue.
3. Both the works and the increased hospital capacity will increase congestion in the area, which I feel is already problem in the area.
4. The design is ugly and will affect the character of the area.

Best wishes,
Heloise Sweeting

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:57
To: Planning
Subject: FW: please OBJECT planning permission 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Nancy Cantwell [mailto:nancy.cantwell@me.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 12:13
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: please OBJECT planning permission 2014/6845/P - Royal Free Hospital

Dear Mr Thuaire

It was brought to my attention that a planning permission is sought by the Royal Free Hospital to make a further extension.

I wish to object to the application on several points:

It is simply too big. The Royal free is already **a monstrosity** and **completely over developed for the site**. The residential area is dwarfed in the shadow of the hospital which looks absolutely industrial. It was totally irresponsible from the start... The constant additions have turned into one of the most the most monstrous sites in London. The New proposal will make the situation even worse! Just a year or so ago a new generator was added next to our flats in the Panoramic ... just outside many of the bedrooms! Not the constant 24/7 noise is a disturbance (no windows can be opened!) it is also bathed in floodlights which forced many of the residents to invest in black out measures. The hospital has total disregard for it's neighbours!

The traffic is horrendous already. I invite you to come and have a look for yourself. The Fleet Road/ Pond Street/South End Green/Haverstock Hill area is in constant chaos. South End Green is a TLF terminal point for busses 24 and 168. It also serves the C11 and the 46. Often I can't even enter my garage because of the back up which causes the busses to park in "no stopping" areas. Ambulances constantly fly around the corner with blaring sirens. It is becoming absolutely ridiculous. Hampstead Hill School has an incredible number of children which all need dropping off and picking up, without any provision for parking. Moms and dads often double park on Hampstead Hill Gardens.
The area is dangerous!

The proposed plan will even the **reduce the little parking available** for patients? That is ridiculous! There is already a hotel on Haverstock Hill and just a little farther away off Englands Lane which is in dire need of refurbishment.

Lately the area has been flooded with **illegal buskers** to which the council has not been able to help the residents who have to listen to the same tune over and over again. We get constant **reports of crime on the rise** ... and to watch out for our purse while getting children to school in and around the area.

Please **stop the further destruction of the Green Areas!**

Many thanks for considering my reasons for objection. Please help.

Andrew and Nancy Cantwell
Flat 1, 12 Pond Street
London NW3 2PS

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:57
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Ref:2014/6845/P:

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Sasha Zik [mailto:sashazik@icloud.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 12:25
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Ref:2014/6845/P:

Dear Mr Thuaire,

Regarding

Ref:2014/6845/P the planned development of the Royal Free Hospital.

As an ex but long term resident of the area and the parent of two children at the school, I must express my deep concerns about the nature of the development.

The Royal free is already an architectural eyesore and a huge blot on the local area which is otherwise beautiful. St Stephens is a Grade 1 listed building with a charm and importance all of its own. Building a new 7 storey block threatens the beauty of the area still more, encroaches upon the last remaining local and valuable green spaces, threatens the foundations of the church and the school located in its grounds, at worse threatens the very existence of that family run, non- selective, highly rated local school and at best affects it's day-light and the privacy and security of the children who go there.

In addition, there will be more cars drawn to an already congested area, more pollution and more noise to the residents plus it will create a squeeze on parking and even more chaos to the roads that are a main artery from the areas north of Hampstead into town.

The hospital itself is a highly used and valuable resource to local residents. It is already over-stretched and underfunded. Surely it would be better to improve the conditions for the staff and patients of the hospital - especially the emergency departments - rather than expand it into an already cramped and over-crowded space at such a cost to the school, church and residents.

At the very least, please stop to consult with the school in the proper way as the current plans have not been shown to them or the church and this is no way to conduct such serious development schemes in a democratic society.

Thankyou for taking time to consider this with the seriousness that it warrants.

Yours sincerely,

Sasha Zik (Mrs)

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867
Fax: 020 7974 1680
Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor
5 Pancras Square
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

.
Please excuse any brevity/typos!

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 15 December 2014 18:57
To: Planning
Subject: FW: App ref: 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Carroll [mailto:wendyevecarroll@icloud.com]
Sent: 12 December 2014 12:40
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: App ref: 2014/6845/P

Dear Charles

I am writing in respect of my strong objection to the above proposed for development at the Royal Free.

My son attends Hampstead Hill School and I am concerned to hear at very short notice of the planned development to the Royal Free site.

This building already overshadows the landscape and has already been developed with limited consideration of the surrounding area.

I am particularly concerned give the size of the development as to the impact on the local environment in terms of noise, pollution and the increase in traffic during the period of development.

I am concerned as to the impact on a school of young children who are in this environment 5 days a week. There is not only the noise but as above the pollution from such a substantial development.

There are also the consequences for the School and St Stephens Church. They have alot of heritage and are part of the community and there is no guarantee they will not suffer substantially from this development if it goes ahead.

It is very upsetting to hear of this development and I strongly object to it going ahead. It is very questionable whether actually this is an appropriate site and whether the local community has really been involved in this process. I hope that the local community will not be railroaded or not heard just because it is a NHS development.

Kind regards
Wendy

Wendy Carroll