**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:38

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P-Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

**From:** prestonarchitect@btinternet.com [mailto:prestonarchitect@btinternet.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 21:17

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

**Subject:** Planning Application 2014/6845/P-Royal Free Hospital

Dear Sir,

I wish to object to the above mentioned Planning Application.

1) This land surely belongs to the Community not the Royal Free Hospital. It is the last piece of open land in the area

which once spread down to South End Green.Is it not part of the Conservation Area? and as such demands no less respect

than any other protected area.

- 2) The loss of green space is unacceptable; it is a vital lung in an area congested and polluted with traffic fumes.
- 3)The proposed building is overlarge in scale and does not relate to St Stephens Church with understanding or sympathy.
- 4) Apparently it is proposed by this development to increase the A&E capacity from 60,000 to 140,000 attendances. This

is an enormous increase which will generate even more traffic and pollution around the Hospital that is supposed to be

- an area of healthfulness. Where is the traffic to go, where can it park? our area is already overburdened.
- 5) The effect, if Planning is granted, will be to affect the surrounding area through loss of light, loss of vegetation, greenery and trees.

Construction traffic and building works on such a large scale will be deleterious to the area for many months if not years

to the detriment of local residents and to the Hospital.

- 6) Surely at least a Master Plan would show that the proposal should be much smaller and sited elsewhere, does such a plan exist?
- 7) I am not against the Royal Free, indeed they have served me well over many years. I realise that research is essential for all our well being

but this proposal is too large and totally inappropriate for the area.

I ask you to consider and put forward my views to the Planning Committee when they consider this application.

Yours faithfully

David Preston Consultant Architect 40 Ornan Road London NW3 4QB

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:38

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Planning application No2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

----Original Message-----

From: Delphine Ingelaere [mailto:delphinelondon5@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 December 2014 21:21

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Planning application No2014/6845/P

# Hello.

I would like to object to the extension of the royal free hospital by hampstead green. I have lived in hampstead/ belsize park for almost 10 years and my 3 children are at /have been to hampstead hill school. It's a lovely school with a beautiful hall and church and i feel the new building would overpower the area.

The hospital, although very practical, is already very large and not a nice building to look at. A lot of very tall buildings have been built around the royal free already, and the view from fleet street is very busy.

In addition to this i feel the traffic is already very busy in the area with very little parking and an additional building would not help and could make the roads more dangerous for our children.

Thank you. Kind regards

Delphine 07793314553

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:38

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Council , Hampstead hill school

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

----Original Message-----

From: Annadai [mailto:annadai2013@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 December 2014 22:08

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Council, Hampstead hill school

# Dear council

I am opposed to the demolition of the car park in place of a new construction as I strongly feel this to have a negative impact on the local community.

Kind Regards AnnaDai

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:39

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Ref:2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

----Original Message-----

From: Angela Renfrew [mailto:drangelarenfrew@aol.com]

Sent: 11 December 2014 22:13

To: Thuaire, Charles Subject: Ref:2014/6845/P

Dear Mr Thuaire

I write to register my objection to the proposed plans on the Royal Free Site

You may not be aware that some cancer surgery as part of a London wide strategy is being centralised to certain sites such as the Royal Free hospital. This will mean that cancer patients with poor mobility will have to travel significant distances to the hospital, rather than their existing local London teaching hospital. The loss of 43 parking spaces will significantly impair frail patients and their families coming to the Royal Free. Indeed had this been known it would have compromised this bid.

In addition the huge building will overshadow the Stephens Church, and overlook Hampstead hill school, a school in which young children play close to your proposed works. I am very concerned of the potential impact on their health as the environment will inevitably be very dusty.

It seems counter intuitive that a local a/e was shut at chase farm and an expanded AE planned centrally and further away for local chase farm residents.

I object strongly to the planned works

Yours sincerely

Angela Renfrew

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867 Fax: 020 7974 1680 Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor 5 Pancras Square 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:39

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Objection to Application Planning Ref:2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

**From:** oa kaim [mailto:oakaim@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 22:13

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Objection to Application Planning Ref:2014/6845/P

#### Dear Mt Thuaire

I would like to join thousands of others and object to planning application to build a monstrous building in the heart of Hampstead.

I understand that this is for a "good cause" and the new research centre will bring a lot of benefit however the damage it will cause unproportionally outweights the benefits

- the catastrophic distruction it will do to nature and green conservation area will be irreversible
- the little Hampstead village is already hugely overdeveloped and new, enormous in height and width, building will make things even worse.
- over the last 15 years I have supported St Stephens project the new building will simply destroy the historical building so few left around and so many new building.

We are not saying that the research centre is not needed or will not be useful but surely there are plenty of much more suitable spots, but Hampstead and particularly beautiful St Stephen's will not be able to withstand the full force of a new development and will simply crash.

Please could you listen to our pleas and stop the planning application to go ahead – this is for the sake of future generation as well. Once it is allowed to go ahead it will not be possible to change it back again.

We ask you from the bottom of our hearts – please do not let the planning application to go ahead.

Thank you very much!

Kind regards,

Anna Kaim and the family (10 Lodge Road, NW4 4DD)

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:40

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Objection App Ref:2014/6845/P Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

**From:** Yasuko Goto [mailto:yasuko.goto@gmail.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 23:09

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

**Subject:** Objection App Ref:2014/6845/P Royal Free Hospital

Dear Charles,

I am writing the email to show my objection for the construction of the extension of the Royal Free Hospital because of the following reasons;

- 1. Expected further increased traffic will cause any positive effect. At the moment, the area has been already suffered from a significant traffic. Considering the area is associated with many school facilities, I don't think there is more capacity to tolerate further traffic jam. It will also not ideal for the Hospital to increase more capacity now. If the Hospital needs to increase further A&E capacity, it would make sense more to construct such facility along the broader main road.
- 2. Such a huge building will destroy currently well-maintained view and nature. In particular, it is obvious that natural preservation area in particular Hampstead Green, will be crucially ruined due to such a massive building.

Kind regards, Yasuko

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:41

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Objection to app ref 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Gauri Ks [mailto:ksgauri@googlemail.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 23:13

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Objection to app ref 2014/6845/P

# Dear Charles

In reference to the planning application above I strongly object to it and would likem to highlight the following points. Also I would like to attend any public consultation on this so please keep me informed of any relevant dates.

.1. At present it takes us 45 mins to go from Pond Street to the top of Rosslyn Hill during the peak hours in the morning and afternoon, Rosslyn Hill itself is often at a standstill during the day at such peak times. This issue will only worsen with the expansion of the Royal Free and loss of the existing parking places. This is unfair and grossly unjust to all the school children (due to the number of schools in the area) and commuters who will have to spend even more hours in traffic than they already do. No reference is made to traffic surveys and what impact the construction will have on the area.

I wonder how ambulances that need to reach the hospital in emergencies will be able to navigate their way through the horrendous traffic putting people's lives at risk.

- 2. The digging and laying of foundations involved will potentially impact on the stability of the Saint Stephens grade 1 listed site. This church is used as a school for young children and we fear that this will pose as a great health and safety risk for the children given that no structural surveys have been carried out. The whole site is on a slope and it can also potentially lead to cracks and slippage of the church and school property. Again, with a fully functioning school next door this massive construction will cause health risks to the children attending the school especially for those children already suffering from health issue such as asthma due to the dust and pollution. The constant noise and digging and drilling will also impact on their education for a significant period of time given that the construction will last for c. 2 years. The lorries and construction noise will also greatly affect the residents of this area.
- 3. The proposed building is not in keeping with the character of a very prized area that is known for its history and in particular will act as an eyesore against the grade 1 listed st stephens church. The whole charm and appeal of the area will be destroyed.

4. I would also like to question the general benefit to the community of the new building as the top two floors are for private patients only and thus will only serve a very small number of people.

Kind regards Gauri Kasbekar

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:41

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: App Ref:2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

**From:** Lucy Sanderse [mailto:lucysanderse@btinternet.com]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 23:15

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

**Subject:** App Ref:2014/6845/P

Dear Mr Thuaire

I wish to formally object to the planning application Ref:2014/6845/P.

This is yet another vast development planned in the heart of Hampstead. The design and sheer size of the proposal will totally over shadow the area, in particular Hampstead Hill School which is attended by my son and hundreds of other children from the area.

The school currently benefits from natural light which would be impacted by the structure and a good outdoor area for the children to play. They would be unable to play freely as there will be residual building work debris and noise during the project which will be awful.

The proposed building would require deep excavations, which will greatly interfere with the schooling of the children In the neighbouring building.

Should a planning application be granted I believe the trust should rehouse the school for the duration of the project to ensure the safety, well being and education of the children.

It will also endanger the foundations and stability of the St Stephen's Grade I listed site.

Traffic in the area is already heavily congested throughout the day and even more so at peak rush hour. The proposed site has very limited access for lorries and nowhere for them to park which will create total traffic gridlock. The noise, dust, debris and general disturbance caused by the works will have a horrific impact on everyone.

I also understand there will be a loss of over 40 party spaces which are in constant use I would urge a detailed traffic survey be undertaken to really ascertain the impact of such a development.

Your sincerely.

Lucy Sanderse 6c New End London NW31DD

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:41

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Royal free extension

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

----Original Message-----

From: Tina Groat [mailto:tinagroat@msn.com]

Sent: 11 December 2014 23:34

To: Thuaire, Charles

Subject: Royal free extension

# **Dear Charles**

I would like to express my concerns with regard to the planning of a new building for the royal free hospital.

I'm a hampstead resident and the traffic in hampstead is already at Breaking point with the many schools in the area, as well as the hospital traffic.

The roads are grid locked in the morning and afternoon rush hours.

To take away the parking at the hospital and to increase traffic is utterly mad .

The new building will over shadow the lovely St. Stephens church not to mention damaged it .. It's already had underpinning done due to the hospital being build all those years ago .

The noise and dirt coming from the new build will be a nightmare, as will the heavy lorries trying to negotiate the already busy narrow roads in the area.

I don't think this planning has really been thought through with regards to the local area and the impact it will have .

A worried resident

Tina .

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867 Fax: 020 7974 1680 Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor

5 Pancras Square

# 5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

**Sent:** 15 December 2014 18:42

To: Planning

**Subject:** FW: Objection to proposed Immunology Institute

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Hannah Levy [mailto:hannahlevy@hotmail.co.uk]

**Sent:** 11 December 2014 23:45

**To:** Thuaire, Charles

**Subject:** Objection to proposed Immunology Institute

Dear Mr. Thuaire

Planning App No: 2014/6845/P

I am writing to you because I strongly oppose the proposed plans for The Royal Free Immunology Institute which will negatively impact on my son's nursery, Hampstead Hill School.

There are many reasons why this proposal seems badly thought out in terms of the interests of it's neighbours (as well as the patients of the RFH) but the ones I'm most worried about are the impact of such a large building on the natural light in the classrooms, the fact that the children can be easily overlooked by members of the public and also the work itself once undertaken will cause serious upheaval in terms of noise, traffic, dust etc. And how this will affect ambulances and patients trying to leave and arrive at the hospital is anyone's guess! Taking away more green space from such an already overly developed area would be a huge shame and change the face of the area immensely in a very negative way.

Whilst I of course support the work that the institute will carry out I believe it should be housed within the hospital itself thereby not affecting the local residents and children at the school. I really do hope you are able to see that this institute will not benefit anyone in it's current proposed location.

Yours sincerely

Hannah Levy

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867