

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2014/7654/P	Tim Walker	61 Ravensaw Street London NW6 1NP	13/01/2015 14:24:01	OBJ	<p>I would like to lodge my objection to the propose development and change of use for the site at 1A Glastonbury Street.</p> <p>This objection is on a number of grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Council officer's pre-application advice was wrongly given that there should be 'no objection in principle' when there is a clear planning policy assumption against this change of use. This advice indicates bias towards the application and hence pre-judges the process.2. In the 20+ years I have lived in the area there has been a steady reduction in the volume/type of business activity accommodated in the area, much to its detriment. It should not be assumed that residents don't want such businesses in residential areas. I believe that such businesses actually add to the character of the area rather than detract from it. The Council should be encouraging local employment/business and not just turning the borough into a dormitory area.3. The applicants change of use report is flawed and demonstrates distinct bias towards the applicants. It is ludicrous to suggest that appropriate alternative locations for such businesses can be found at Brent Cross which is over two miles away. There is also in the report again a ludicrous statement suggesting that Camden's car-free development policy means that there is less demand for car repair businesses in the borough. The same report also suggests that the current business is a nuisance to residents and the school. This is an assertion without evidence.4. Nuisance is far likely to arise from the construction activity which is likely to have a significant negative impact on residents in Glastonbury Street and Ravenshaw Street. <p>I am not an immediate neighbour to the premises but for these reasons I wish to object to the development and change of use in the strongest terms.</p>
