					Printed on:	30/12/2014	09:05:20
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2014/7275/P	Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Advisory Committee	35 Dartmouth Park Road	18/12/2014 14:04:50	OBJ	 the rising ground, and it would be difficult to arrive at an accurate average height. As the design statement points out, the pavement along the St Albans Road side is we therefore take a wall of height 1.75 m.; whereas in Brookfield Park, with its narrow partice in height would be overbearing, and is totally unnecessary to preserve the pring garden. Furthermore, the proposed concrete walling is ugly and would not enhance the Conserve existing (post-war) walling is both ugly and dangerous and does need to be replaced. If fencing in Brookfield Park is wooden close-boarding as in part of the existing structure Design Statement asserts 'wooden palings'), and as seen on the opposite side of the roof Brookfield Park. 	ght of the wall along Brookfield Park in 1.66 m. That along St Albans Road is conditioned by ag ground, and it would be difficult to arrive at an accurate average height. design statement points out, the pavement along the St Albans Road side is wide, and it could e take a wall of height 1.75 m.; whereas in Brookfield Park, with its narrow pavement such an e in height would be overbearing, and is totally unnecessary to preserve the privacy of No.34's more, the proposed concrete walling is ugly and would not enhance the Conservation Area. The (post-war) walling is both ugly and dangerous and does need to be replaced. But the traditional in Brookfield Park is wooden close-boarding as in part of the existing structure (but Not as Statement asserts 'wooden palings'), and as seen on the opposite side of the road, and in much kfield Park.	
					The new fencing should therefore be close-boarded fencing, at a height of not more the	an 1.66M.	