

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:54
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Royal Free Hospital Planning Application- objection to

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----

From: Helga Graham [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:25
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Royal Free Hospital Planning Application- objection to

> From: Helga Graham [REDACTED]
>
>
> 11th December 2014.
> PLANNING APPLICATION 2014/6845/P- ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL
>
> Dear Charles Thuaire, It is extremely hard to understand the thinking
> = behind such a gigantic project as the one currently being considered
> for = Hampstead Green- in one move the local environment would be =
> significantly damaged and yet another unmanageably huge hospital =
> created. The current building is surely eyesore enough and operates on
> = many levels (although not all) extremely inefficiently. To think it
> = might be doubled in size is alarming. As for the future traffic =
> situation in the area, already dire due to the plethora of private =
> schools, would become potentially dangerous.
> I am not a tree hugger. Given the pressure of demography and multiple
> = other considerations, there are often arguments to be made in
> support = of new developments that are in themselves far from ideal.
> But in this case the charm and character of the whole area would be =
> undermined, while it is likely that huge new problems would be created.
>
> Is this the best we can do?

> Yours sincerely,
> Helga Graham(Dr)
> 3, Eldon Grove,
> London NW35PS.
>

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 14:59
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P-ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL: OBJECTION

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerry Lewis [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 14:28
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Planning Application 2014/6845/P-ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL: OBJECTION

This building is vastly too big for its site and its location. It adds to the already disfiguring scale of the hospital. This application should be rejected and another solution sought for a research facility.

Sincerely,
Gerry Lewis

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 5867
Fax: 020 7974 1680
Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor
5 Pancras Square
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 15:17
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Hampstead Green

Importance: High

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Colin le Duc [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 15:07
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Hampstead Green
Importance: High

Hi Charles,
I am writing to you as a long term family resident of Parliament Hill in Hampstead that strongly believes that the proposed new building on Hampstead Green shouldn't be allowed. We local residents already have to accommodate a very busy area driven by the amount of people that come to the Royal Free. By adding this new building it will bring even more people to South End Green and exacerbate congestion, local air pollution, noise pollution and destroy even more of our dwindling green space. Our children don't deserve to be put through this endless intensification of our neighbourhood. The reason people live in the area is because of its family orientation, not to live next to a massive medical centre.

Please stand up for your community by rejecting this proposal.

Thanks,
Colin le Duc

2 Parliament Hill
NW3 2SY

Colin le Duc
Partner

Generation Investment Management LLP	20 Air Street, 7th Floor, London W1B 5AN, United Kingdom
Email: [REDACTED]	Direct: +[REDACTED]
Mobile: +[REDACTED]	Fax: +[REDACTED]

Generation Investment Management LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales.
Registered No: OC307600. Registered office: 20 Air Street, London W1B 5AN.

Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

This message and any attachment are confidential and may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please email the sender and delete this message and any attachment from your system. You are hereby notified that any unauthorised copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly prohibited.

This communication is for informational purposes only. It is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument or as an official confirmation of any transaction. All information contained in this communication is not

warranted as to completeness or accuracy and is subject to change without notice. Any comments or statements made in this communication do not necessarily reflect those of Generation Investment Management LLP.

For further information about Generation Investment Management LLP please visit our website at <http://www.generationim.com>

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 15:18
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Royal Free - further development

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Simran [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 15:16
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Royal Free - further development

App Ref:2014|6485|P

WRITTEN OBJECTION
TO PLANNING APPLICATION
FROM ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL

Dear Sir,

On behalf of my family I want to object to the above application being considered and approved on the following grounds –

THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE CAMDEN WILL BE JEAPORDISED.

At present, it is a joy to live in this area and be able to see greenery in between the buildings. It is also a joy to see the St Stephen's building in the area. More people will use this permission as a precedent and Camden will soon become like a suburb in a Third World metropolis.

THE TRAFFIC FLOW WILL BE GREATLY SLOWED DOWN. Are we going to see traffic snarls, honking and road rage? Most importantly the safety of our children will be jeopardized.

THE SUNLIGHT HITTING THE AREA WILL BE REDUCED. The school next to the proposed new building will have no sunlight in some classrooms and play areas. Our concern should be the happiness of our children and not the close proximity of the RFH and its offices, which it has done without till now.

Please refuse this permission without any further discussion.

Yours sincerely,

Simran Malhotra

5 Langland Gardens
Flat 1
Hampstead
London NW3 6QD

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:10
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Dear Charles, please receive MY SUPPORT for Application Number2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as a SUPPORT to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Frank Leopold [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 15:43
To: Thuaire, Charles
Cc: Ulrike Oberlack
Subject: Dear Charles, please receive MY SUPPORT for Application Number2014/6845/P

Dear Charles,

Today I found a leaflet in my letterbox urging me to lodge an objection with you regarding the following planning application:

- **Application Number**2014/6845/P
- **Application Type**
- **Addresses**Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street, London, NW3 2QG
- **Development Types**New Commercial Redevelopment

However, looking into this development plan in a bit more detail, the plan actually struck me as a sensible redevelopment of a currently not terribly attractive and uneconomical use of scarce Hampstead space. What swayed me were the drawings that appeared in the Ham&High earlier this year which look totally acceptable, in keeping with the surroundings and expressing enough respect in it's appearance to co-exist with the Hampstead Green very well. All contrary to the fear mongering as expressed in the leaflet I received today.

Please have a look at drawings in the Ham&High article in case you have not seen these before: http://www.hamhigh.co.uk/news/health/concerns_grow_as_royal_free_unveils_plans_for_new_42m_building_in_heart_of_hampstead_1_3666749

As such I thought you might find it useful in your evaluation to (also?) receive some support for a version of the plan that seems to be able to actually make a respectful improvement (at so many levels) to our neighbourhood.

Yours sincerely,

Frank Leopold
22 Wedderburn Road

London NW3 5QG



FYI, I'm a Hampstead resident, living in the Wedderburn Road since 1997. I am not linked to the Royal Free in any way at all and pass Hampstead Green as a pedestrian on my way the The Heath several times a week.

[REDACTED]

From: Thuairé, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:56
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Opposing the Royal Free Hospital Planning Application

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuairé
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Karen Farquhar [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:24
To: Thuairé, Charles
Subject: Opposing the Royal Free Hospital Planning Application

Dear Mr Charles Thuairé,

Re Planning Application 2014/6845/P-Royal Free Hospital

I personally object to the Royal Free Hospital application for reasons listed below....

1. I would not like my child's privacy to be undermined-having strangers overlook children when they are playing is not a good idea, especially as sex offenders and paedophilia are on the rise and they are walking the streets. (I would happen to know this as I work for an anti child abuse campaign group) This is an insult to our children and public safety.
2. The block is too big in contrast to this wonderful School and as a result, will impact on the children's daylight they get from being outside in the classrooms, that is not fair on those children.
3. I also fear you will cause more destruction to the Grade 1 listed building as in previous years in regards to subsidence. The School was here first and you must take that into consideration. You cannot bulldoze and bully an old lady who has been there for years and years, she will be financially ruined if this goes ahead due to her having to fork out money to keep her building upright if the proposed build goes ahead.
4. I understand the amount of car parking spaces will be reduced too, why would you do that, when it is already full up every time I arrive at the Hospital? I guess you are trying to create more revenue from our local community by way of parking tickets when they have no place to park.
5. You will be taking away the green area adjacent to the car park which is why I live in Hampstead - for green spaces like that.

I fail to see why you have chosen such a ridiculous spot for planning, other than picking on an easy target such as a family run School. It certainly doesn't look good to see a huge corporation which hides behind a facade of a Royal Free Charity, trying to bulldoze and bully a Grade 1 listed building. There are many other alternatives you can find where to park your plans. Please look elsewhere, as we strongly oppose your plans. I think it is a disgraceful proposal and I urge you to take your plans somewhere else, as it is not welcome in the spot you have chosen.

I live in Hampstead because it is an untouched area and a small village, we do not want huge corporations and companies trying to bulldoze us thank you.

Yours sincerely,
Ms K Farquhar.

[REDACTED]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:59
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Royal Free Hospital App Ref: 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----

From: Sarah [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:47
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Royal Free Hospital App Ref: 2014/6845/P

Dear Mr Thuaire,

I am writing to strongly object to the proposed development of the Royal Free hospital at the top of Pond Street. As both a South End Green resident and a parent with children at Hampstead Hill School, I am deeply worried about the proposed development.

Pollution

How will you control the noise and air pollution levels from the building site? It is clearly a very large building that is going to take years to build and will cause air pollution, building dust and a large amount of noise during this time. As a parent, I am very worried about my children being at school next to this site for the next three years. The air is already polluted when we walk to school but the extra lorries, cranes, bulldozers etc will, I am sure, raise the pollution level substantially.

These are young children who are just beginning their schooling, it is vital that they get a good start to their education and can hear their teachers and be able to play in the garden playground outside the church without being subjected to serious pollution.

I would also like to know where all the extra visitors, staff and patients to the Institute and the increased A&E facility will be parking? Pond Street is already very congested (and at times dangerous for pedestrians) as are the local parking bays. If you close 43 parking spaces as proposed and close the Rowland Hill Street road without providing other facilities, it is going to have a serious affect on the already congested streets and parking bays, to say nothing of the increased air pollution caused by the extra traffic.

Add to this the light that will be blocked out from the new building overlooking the school and the child safety issues that are raised as the school would be overlooked by the proposed 7-storey building and I believe the development is going to have very detrimental effects on a very good school and the neighbourhood as a whole.

Consultation

To say the consultation with the local community on this development has been poor is putting it nicely. As a local resident, I had heard nothing of the plans until very recently and now it seems we are in this emergency situation. Plus, as I understand it, the plans for Phase 2 that were shown

and described earlier in the year to Hampstead Hill School were very different in scope to the ones now being proposed, making them extremely misleading. Proper consultation and further investigation is needed to look at the full impact on the neighbourhood of these planning applications. They also need to be looked at in conjunction with the other two applications for major developments that have been submitted - their impact needs to be considered as a whole rather than separately.

Finally, every morning we walk to school and often my children say 'I can see the church' while we are still some way away. It is a local landmark and one that should be looked after, not blocked out. While I fully support the work that the Immunology Institute will undertake, I beg you to reconsider the current plans as the impact they will have on the local community and the young children at Hampstead Hill School is just too great.

Yours sincerely,
Sarah Cohen
17 Mackeson Road
London
NW3 2 LU

[REDACTED]

From: Thuairé, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:59
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Proposed Extension of Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuairé
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: John [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 11 December 2014 16:56
To: Thuairé, Charles
Subject: Proposed Extension of Royal Free Hospital

Dear Mr Thuairé, I am writing to register my objection to the proposed extension to the Royal Free Hospital in Hampstead.

I would like to say straightaway that I am not in the least opposed to the Royal Free extending their premises as such. Clearly the increasing demands of new innovative medical technology require it to seek ways of accommodating this; but I very much object to the form this has so far taken, and to the proposed site of the projected building. It appears to take the form of the present hospital edifice which is surely anything but aesthetically pleasing. Surely the need to extend its premises, offers not only the hospital management, but the whole neighbourhood which it serves an opportunity to design and erect a building which is something so much more than a large orange-box with windows.

Of course I realise that a piece of architecture of any period embodies the aesthetic proclivities of its period. If this is a period such as the post-Restoration period in our city and we are blessed with a Christopher Wren, this will – as it has – draw Generations of people to take pleasure in it from our own citizens as well as innumerable tourists and students from other countries. An opportunity to create a new public building is surely a challenge to an architect's sensibilities to create something which is a delight to look at – not simply functional, a design which pays homage to the most undistinguished brutalist architecture of a Generation or so ago, and as this kind of design was wont to do, gives little consideration to the amenities, access of daylight, and appearances of landscapes long situated in its proposed vicinity. I refer particularly to Hampstead Green which, throughout the change of seasons gives passersby so much pleasure, especially during the Springtime months.

I do hope that you will do all you can to persuade the Royal Free new building committee to reconsider its proposals.

yours sincerely John Hands.

[REDACTED]

From: sara wood [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 December 2014 18:40
To: Planning
Subject: RFH

I support this planning application by The Royal Free Hospital which has saved my life at least four times. I do not consider a 7 storey building on this site is too high. At the moment this site is underused, The grass triangle to South of St Stephens Church should be retained and planted with flowers .
Nicholas Wood MA (Dipl Arch Cantab) RIBA FRGS

retained

Comments made by Nicholas Wood of [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
EMail [REDACTED]
Preferred Method of Contact is Email

Comment Type is Comment Made