[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 11:51
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Royal Free Hospital Planning Application 397459: Hampstead Green

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----
From: Stuart Nattrass [mailto[redacted]
Sent: 10 December 2014 15:24
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Royal Free Hospital Planning Application 397459: Hampstead Green

Dear Sir

I object to this application. The proposed building is far too big for the location and is entirely out of keeping with the street view. It would lead to a loss of a public amenity and would entirely change the character of the area.

Stuart Nattrass
Flat 1, 4 Glenloch Road, Belsize Park, NW3 4BU

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 11:52
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P Royal Free Hospital

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Celia Anne Trenton Schapira [redacted]
Sent: 10 December 2014 17:02
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: RE: Planning Application 2014/6845/P Royal Free Hospital

Dear Sir,

I have lived in the area all my life and I wish to object to the Royal Free Hospital's planning application for a proposed development adjacent to Hampstead Green, St Stephen's Rosslyn Hill and Hampstead Hill School.

This is a historic and gentle part of London, one which combines an enviable natural environment, beautiful architecture, domestic homeliness sitting side-by-side with local commercialism. This is now under threat of being eroded. I do not have a problem with the concept of a research centre into regenerative medicine, something many may benefit from: it is the method in which this current planning application has been undertaken and the proposed development itself. To my mind there has been (a) no real local consultation as to the proposals to speak of, (b) no serious consideration of the impact of such a development, and (c) any overall plan regarding either RFH development or the proposed works on nearby sites.

With regard to basic consultation of the local populace as to the proposed development, most people did not realise the extent of the proposal until recently when local groups publicised the matter. If Camden and the Royal Free wish for support of such projects they need to think about the environment in which they live and the people that reside there.

The RFH site dominates the locality: it is currently a soulless eyesore in what otherwise would be a picturesque area: any extension will further encroach into the historic foundations of Belsize Park

and Hampstead. Surely a lesser building would suffice a research facility and other nearby sites used as offices and visiting Professor/patient accommodation. Furthermore, the plans seem to indicate that green space, already at a premium in London, is likely to be decimated with the building on the Memorial Garden, potential felling of trees and a serious negative impact on Hampstead Green, currently a meadow where fauna and flora have been allowed to flourish.

St Stephen's is regarded as one of the few great surviving masterpieces of the Victorian architect Samuel Teulon: any new edifice not in keeping with the area will seriously damage its lasting heritage.It has been lovingly restored and, in part, paid for by local donations; it is open for use for the community – why destroy such an important building with something which could arguably be described as vanity building on the part of the Royal Free Hospital.

Little thought seems to have been given to the immediate local impact of such major building works. Parking and traffic is already a nightmare in the area: the closure of the link between Pond Street and Rowland Hill Street would cause even greater tailbacks down Pond Street and across South End Green with the potential that (a) ambulances would have increasingly limited manoeuvrability where services are already stretched and (b) greater air pollution.

Perhaps note should be taken of the recent Environmental Audit Committee statements regarding the dangers of car emissions within built up areas, particularly near to schools of which there are a number in the vicinity and Hampstead Hill School would be the worst affected. A school which, over the past 60 years, has educated many in the neighourhood and consistently given to the community through its charitable works, is now likely to be further overshadowed by an unsightly construction - something that in this day and age is likely to cause concern to any parent – with direct vantage points into classrooms and play areas from the proposed hotel/office suites on the top two floors.

It is time for local government to take note of the community they serve and not ride roughshod over them in order to gratify some greater ego.

Faithfully,

Celia A. T. Schapira

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:12
To: Planning
Subject: FW: App Ref 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Kate Anderson [mailto [redacted]
Sent: 10 December 2014 19:38
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: App Ref 2014/6845/P

I would like to register my objection to this planning application as a local resident and mother of a child at Hampstead Hill school. I believe the propose design will impact detrimentally on the surrounding area and I object to it most strongly.
Yours sincerely,
Mrs K Anderson

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:12
To: Planning
Subject: FW: PLANNING APPLICATION 2014/6845/P - ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: alison moitysee [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 10 December 2014 20:32
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: PLANNING APPLICATION 2014/6845/P - ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL

Dear Charles,
I am writing to you to object to the Royal Free Hospital's application. I live in Hampstead Hill Gardens, which is adjacent to the proposed redevelopment and am strongly against the application. I have read through the proposals and wish to strongly object - why is this building being proposed here in Hampstead Green?
For a start, the space is far too small and will change the look of the area in a very negative way, with both St. Stephen's Church and Hampstead Hill School greatly impacted too. I understand that the Royal Free has merged with Chase Farm and Barnet so why can't this building be sited in either of those locations since they have more space. Hampstead Green is in zone 2, in an already highly congested area with loads of traffic and noise on Pond St and Rosslyn Hill. The level of noise, dirt and traffic that this will bring will be unbearable and intolerable - not to mention the parking or lack of, which will just make things much worse in adjoining residential roads such as mine.

I am greatly supportive of the Royal Free and the work that they conduct including the proposed research, but this needs to be seriously reconsidered and sited elsewhere.

Thank You

Kind Rgds
Alison

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:12
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application 2014/6845/P ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

-----Original Message-----
From: Martyn Wenzerul [mailto[redacted]
Sent: 10 December 2014 21:25
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Planning Application 2014/6845/P ROYAL FREE HOSPITAL

Dear Mr. Thuaire,

I wish to strongly object to the above planning application on the following grounds.

1. The welfare of 350 children at Hampstead Hill School could be seriously affected by the erection of a 7 storey building in such close proximity during building work and thereafter. The increased level of pollution, noise, loss of light and loss of already limited sunshine in the playground would have a detrimental impact on some of the children. Children could even be adversely affected by the overpowering building which does not constitute a healthy environment for a school to operate.

2. The proposed new building will also negatively affect local residents as well as patients and their families from outside the area due to increased traffic, congestion in and around Pond Street and surrounding areas, insufficient parking, loss of green space, increased pollution and noise level.

3. The size and height of the proposed building will constitute an overdevelopment of the conservation area overshadowing St. Stephen's Church and School and negatively change the character of the area. The Heath Strange Garden has been for many of us residents as well as visitors a bit of peaceful haven when visiting the Heath has been difficult through physical impairment.

I hope a more acceptable solution can be found by the Royal Free Hospital for their purpose but to squeeze in another huge building next to he original eyesore will be a "blot on the landscape"!

Yours sincerely,
Sonja Wenzerul

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer
Regeneration and Planning
Culture and Environment
London Borough of Camden

Telephone: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
Web: camden.gov.uk

2nd floor
5 Pancras Square
5 Pancras Square
London N1C 4AG

Objection for the new Royal Free Building

I would like to point out that I do not underestimate the importance of the Immunology Research Centre but my main objection is the height, the size and the location of the constructing building.

First of all, it creates a huge number of visitors meaning more cars will pass and will lead to environmental pollution, noise and terrible traffic congestion which dangerously affects children health and wellbeing. It will also reduce natural light coming to the school which again will have a bad impact upon the children of the school.

Furthermore, building a new seven floor building next to already huge one (Royal Free Hospital) will create suffocation to people living and working around that site.

Another major issue is the destruction of natural resources that are available to us and to many other generations before us.

We would like to protect our children from this environmental disaster and all these previous reasons make a strong statement about our disagreement and disappointment.

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:13
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Application Reference 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Steven Loble [mailto:S[redacted]
Sent: 11 December 2014 11:41
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Application Reference 2014/6845/P

Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the above application.

1. There has been no adequate consultation with local residents about a huge development which will have considerable undesirable impact on the locality and the community.
2. The application does not appear to have been considered in conjunction with with other developments in the immediate area.
3. No consideration has been given to the effect on Hampstead Hill School, including depriving the school of light and allowing it to be overlooked by large numbers of people, which is a potential safeguarding issue. I have a young child at the school and therefore a direct interest in the safety of the school.

I object strenuously to the application.

Yours faithfully

Steven Loble

_____

Steven Loble
Cranbrook | 8 Nutley Terrace | London NW3 5SY

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:13
To: Planning
Subject: FW: App Ref: 2014/6845/P

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: sanchita sen [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 11 December 2014 11:54
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: App Ref: 2014/6845/P

Dear Mr Thuaire

I am writing in objection to the planned excessive extension of The Royal Free Hospital in Hampstead. I am a parent of three at the adjacent Hampstead Hill School and also live in the area and am concerned at the increase in congestion and traffic in the area .Not only this but the planned building will overshadow and potentially damage Hampstead Green and St Stephens's church.

I can appreciate there is a need to expand but due care and consideration needs to be taken with regards the local environment and community. Increasing capacity of the Hospital A&E to such and extent bring in itself dangers with increased cars, people on already congested local roads.

There was very little communication from The Royal Free as to its proposed plans which is unacceptable considering the impact such a development would have on the local area and community , hence my objection.

Kind regards

Sanchita Sen

[redacted]

From: Thuaire, Charles
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:13
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Application Reference 2014/6845/P

Importance: High

Please register this on m3 as an objection to 2014/6845/P
thanks

Charles Thuaire
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: 020 7974 5867

From: Lynda Loble [mailto:[redacted]
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:10
To: Thuaire, Charles
Subject: Application Reference 2014/6845/P
Importance: High

Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the above application.

1. There has been no adequate consultation with local residents in relation to a huge and unattractive development which will adversely effect the whole area.
2. The development will cause traffic and parking chaos.
3. I have a young child at Hampstead Hill School and am concerned about the school being overlooked by a large number of people who have not been screened.

I object strenuously to the application.

Yours faithfully

Lynda Loble

Lynda Loble
Cranbrook | 8 Nutley Terrace | London NW3 5SY

[redacted]

From: Richard Ferraro [redacted]
Sent: 11 December 2014 12:32
To: Thuaire, Charles; Planning
Subject: Correction/Clarification

To:
Planning and Built Environment
London Borough of Camden
For the attention of: Charles Thuaire, Planning Officer
Charles.Thuaire@camden.gov.uk
planning@camden.gov.uk

From:
Richard Ferraro
BA(Hons) DipArch ARB RIBA FRSA
28 Palgrave House
Fleet Road, NW3 2QJ

Ref:
Planning Application: 2014/6845/P
Pears Building,
Institute for Immunology and Transplantation
Royal Free Hospital
Pond Street, London NW3

11th December 2014

Dear Sir /Madam,
Correction/Clarification
Regarding my letter of objection of 10th December to this planning application, sent by e mail, there is a small correction/clarification to make. In various places in my letter, I make reference to **Haverstock Hill.** This should read **Haverstock Hill and Rosslyn Hill.** As you may know Haverstock Hill changes its name to Rosslyn Hill in the vicinity of Hampstead Green. So both are applicable.

Also, I say in the letter that St Stephens is at the junction of Pond St and Haverstock Hill. In fact it is at the junction of **Pond St and Rosslyn Hill**.

Please amend accordingly.
Thank you.
Yours sincerely,
Richard Ferraro