From: Whittingham, Gideon
Sent: 18 December 2014 11:17

To: Planning

Subject: FW: 4 wedderborn Road NW3, application number 2014/7292/P - obj

Gideon Whittingham Senior Planning Officer (East Area Team)

Telephone: 020 7974 5180

From: Danny Ungar [mailto: Sent: 16 December 2014 16:08 To: Whittingham, Gideon

Subject: 4 wedderborn Road NW3, application number 2014/7292/P

Dear Mr Whittingham,

Regarding the above name application, here below is my objection which I also submitted online.

Being a party wall neighbour to the 4 wedderburn road I would like to be called to the committee and be given the chance to speak.

Thank you Danny Ungar 6 Wedderburn Road NW3 5QE

+++

My wife and I are the owners of 6 Wedderburn Road NW3 5QE, the party wall neighbour. We have been living in that address since 2009.

the proposed scheme of 4 wedderburn road is not less than dangerous and must be objected.

- 1. with regards to the huge basement: the basement they are proposing is nothing less than crazy. The area where theirs and my house sits on has very high water tables and the amount of soil they are planning to excavate will harm the area beyond doubt. We have to keep pumps at our basements to prevent water level to reach it and in the last few years we had once occasion and water reached less than a meter of our basement floor!
- 2. the extent of their refurbishment will harm all of the residents in wedderburn road as the amount of soil they intend to take out will shut part of the street (if not major part of it) for months, affecting traffic, creating dust, noise etc. this must be duly monitored and restricted.
- 3. ground floor glass partition (east facing): i am objecting to the glass partition proposed to their kitchen and dining area. it will directly be pointing our bedroom and we shall have keep our curtains down all the time otherwise they will be able to see through directly to our bedroom. They should have glass partition facing their garden and not a glass partition facing a neighbouring house that will prevent our privacy.

In sum, the proposed scheme is unreasonable, dangerous and even rude to the conservation area, to Hampstead and to the residence of wedderburn road. Subject to a proper structure survey, having a basement under their house is something that should be allowed, but not a basement that covers almost the entire length of their garden

which is huge. As stated above, it is dangerous, harmful and unreasonable and not suitable for wedderburn road in Hampstead. I am hopeful the council will see these application is harmful and disproportionate with negative implications and therefore shall be refused.

Thank you

From: Jane Brereton

Sent: 16 December 2014 15:08

To: Danny Ungar

Subject: Camden Planning:

Many thanks

Jane Brereton

Personal Assistant to Danny Ungar and Office Manager

DAO Maritime Limited

14 Cavendish Place London W1G 9DJ

Email:

From: Vicki Harding

Sent: 18 December 2014 17:25
To: Gordon Maclean

Cc: Planning; David Castle; Marc Hutchinson; Douglas Maxwell; David Evans; Jenny

Alderman; Penny Davis; Jo Konrad

Subject: Re: Heath and Hampstead Society comments on application

Many thanks Gordon; a great objection. As I mentioned a few days ago, this is our busiest time as all the nastiest planning applications go through over Christmas!

I find this quite staggering in view of the fact that I saw with my own eyes - sadly not with my camera - an open conduit in the existing basement carrying the flowing water of a tributary to the Tyburn river in 2009. Only a few weeks ago I had confirmation from Nadia Crandall that the water flow is constant. I'll forward you that email for interest.

I see from the application form that this is now in the name of Mr & Mrs Shorthouse so Nadia must have moved. She was Chair of the Wedderburn Road Residents Association and very pro trees, supporting me in some successful tree-saving projects. I can't imagine her doing this!

I have now gone over the BIA, Design and Access Statement, and all Appendices. None of them mention this open conduit, indeed since

walkover of the site was carried out by a geotechnical engineer from GEA at the time of the fieldwork

it seems the site visit was merely to outside the house, and that the geotechnical people's assertion that

There are no known documented springs on the site.

...the Claygate Member silty sandy clays have the hydrogeological properties of Non Productive strata, i.e. not capable of storing and transmitting groundwater in sufficient quantities to support baseflow to watercourses.

this basement is known to be dry

means they neither ventured indoors nor were told of what can be found there

I almost want this basement to be built, along with the one next door (semi-detached) at number 6 that already has permission, to see what happens. The 2 basements together provide a huge barrier to ground water, and the very long 'handle' of the garden basement will provide an amazing turning moment where it joins the 2 of them, just at the point where the groundwater is going to be being collected!

On 17 December 2014 at 16:52, Gordon Maclean < Devcon

wrote:

Attached are our comments on application: 2014/7292/P 4 Wedderburn Road NW3

Heath and Hampstead Society

-- --

Vicki Harding

<u>Tree Officer Heath & Hampstead Society</u>