Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 30/12/2014 09:05:20 <b>Response:</b>
2014/7001/P	Mark McCarthy	5 Rochester Terrace	10/12/2014 17:28:20	COMMEMP ER	In relation to the London Health Commission report  http://www.londonhealthcommission.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/London-Health-Commission_Better-H ealth-for-London.pdf and policies for reduction of smoking in Camden, I recommend that an extra condition be imposed that no smoking is allowed in this space.  Please will Camden's Planning Department consult the Camden Department of Public Health for advice/support on imposing this condition.
2014/7001/P	Chris Twaddle	87 Oakshott Court Polygon Road London NW1 1ST	10/12/2014 18:38:42	ОВЈ	This certificate of lawfulness fails to comply with policy DP24 of Camden Development Policy – 'securing high quality design.  I am not against a beer garden and think this is a good idea - however the boundary treatment should at least respect the surrounding buildings. These include the modernist St. Aloysious church - referenced in Pevsner's 'North London' series of architectural guides and the public house itself. The current fence erected is the type normally not seen on a busy main road through the borough and the design is normally associated with domestic rear gardens - not visible in the public realm. Eversholt Street is a prominent road in the borough and as such the council should demand a high standard of design. Allowing this style of fence to be approved will only make the street worse rather than an opportunity for improvement. On the basis of the design of the fence I object to this application. A simple black metal fence would be more appropriate than a household garden fence.