

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Consultees Addr:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2013/8158/P	gary sollof	6 colville place	18/12/2014 22:56:02	OBJEMPER	<p>I would like to object to this application. I am a local resident and I am concerned by a number of things about the proposal. Many of the issued I objected to previously, unfortunately, my concerns have not been allayed by these revised plans.</p> <p>I have found it very hard to work out how the different levels relate to the buildings on Colville Place, as no sections are provided to show the relationship with neighbouring buildings. I presumed this type of drawing would be mandatory for a planning application to be judged as to how it will fit in with the neighbouring buildings. I find it difficult to understand why I am once again being asked to comment on an application, where there is clearly not enough information for anyone to see what is actually proposed.</p> <p>The applicant has obviously made a conscious effect to limit the information both you and I are seeing. This worries me, as this has obviously been done for a reason and that reason could be to hide certain things that the applicant thinks would not be acceptable if revealed.</p> <p>So I can only presume things.</p> <p>It appears to me that the proposed 3rd floor and terrace will be much higher than the 3rd floors on the other properties on Colville Place, so this will mean that anyone will be able to overlook from this terrace. It also appears that the proposed 3rd floor is higher than shown on the previous application when compared to the level of the parapet on these plans for 1 Colville Place.</p> <p>I note that they are proposing a Juliette Balcony across the French window that is proposed here but this could be a sham. If they do not propose to use this terrace, why are they proposing to have French doors here and a balustrade around the terrace? There is no need for a balustrade around the terrace if it is not to be used. Also, as I mentioned in my previous objections the upper floor windows along Colville Place and the applicants existing building, become smaller at higher levels. If the applicant does not intend to use this terrace at 3rd floor level, then to overcome objections why not put a smaller window here, which can't be used to enter the terrace from.</p> <p>I am still concerned about the 4th floor upper roof terrace that is proposed. Putting planters around the edge of this proposed roof terrace will not stop overlooking into my neighbour's terraces and mine, even if plants were planted in these.</p> <p>From the proposed plans it looks like this terrace will be about 3.5m above my neighbours and my roof terrace and as a result anyone could overlook all of our terraces from here. This 4th floor terrace should not be allowed because of the potential overlooking issue, which I do not consider has been alleviated by proposing a planter.</p> <p>The air-con and other plant that is also proposed for the 4th floor, also still concerns me. I am worried that the noise from this will be a disturbance. As mentioned in my objection to the previous application, the applicant has had a noise survey carried out but it appears that the conclusions of this survey are not correct. One of my neighbours has also had a noise assessment carried out and this found that the</p>

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Consultees Addr:**    **Received:****Comment:**    **Response:**

applicants proposal for their plant would have a noise level well above the planning requirements and will cause a serious noise nuisance and disturbance to me and all of my neighbours.

As can be seen from the applicants drawing A-01.1-102 B, which shows the proposed 1st & 2nd floors plans, there is something called the Courtyard Elevation. This is not a courtyard but a well formed by all of the building in this block. So is formed by the buildings on Colville Place, Charlotte St, Goodge St and Whitfield St. Our bedroom looks out into this well, as do most of the bedrooms in the properties along Colville Place and Goodge St. It is very quite at the rear in this well, as it is not used. Someone did try to use a flat roof here as a terrace, and the noise generated from this was unbelievable. It caused a real disturbance to my family and everyone who lived in the buildings around the well. Many local residents complained to the council about this and as this did not have planning permission, the council stopped this flat roof being used as a terrace.

So I am concerned that the proposed Courtyard-green areas will be used as terraces for the workers of the proposed office element of this building. To stop this happening, please can there be a condition that no one is allowed on these green areas unless for maintenance, in order that they cannot be used as terraces.

I hope this application will be rejected for the reasons above.

Thank you.

---