



For the attention of Nanayaa Ampona.

Dear Nanayaa,

We are writing on behalf of the Camden Town CAAC.

We strongly object to this part retrospective application for several reasons.

The failure of the owner to apply for planning permission before construction has prevented neighbours and other interested people from commenting on the proposed design. We have now seen the building and are horrified by its size - it takes up a third of the garden space and its full width- and its height is some 1 metre 40 above the brick garden walls. It is also not an attractive 'simple garden' building. It has concrete block side walls that remain in an unfinished state with an ugly black band acting as a cornice. Its plate glass facade will create light pollution at night as, clearly, the building is intended for office or study use. This lighting will be seen by neighbours in Mornington Terrace. The building also has a chimney. The rear gardens of Mornington Terrace, Albert Street and Delancey Street are much shorter than those behind the east side of Albert Street so this garden building is far more conspicuous. In our opinion it is not a building worthy of our Conservation Area.

We are copying this email to your colleague Niall Sheenan as he is dealing with a second retrospective application from the same owner at 48 Mornington Terrace.

Yours sincerely,

Gordon Macqueen & Margaret Richardson, Co-Chairs of the Camden Town CAAC,  
31 Oval Road,  
London NW1 7EA