

Dear Sir

Objection against Application 2014/6313/P at 2 Maresfield Gardens, London, NW3 5SU

We live at No 4 Maresfield Garden - next door to the proposed development at No 2 Maresfield Gardens.

Whilst we are happy that there is a possibility that with new owners, the building will finally become a habitable building and not a building site we would like to raise our concerns about the submitted proposals.

It is a very large addition to an already large property. We do not feel an additional floor is necessary.

Our main concern is loss of amenity - the addition of an extra floor will take away daylight from our garden and terrace - the property at No 2 is directly south of the property and our terrace and garden light will be compromised as a result which will also impact on the light reaching the lower rooms of our property.

Furthermore, the addition of an extra floor results in a substantial increase to the overlooking into our property. There will be 5 / 6 extra windows, and as these and the floor above will now be higher they will look straight down into our private garden and onto our private terrace

We are unsure as to whether the number of units will be increased in the scheme, or increased in a separate application following this, but none-the-less the floor area is being increased and so the occupation of the building will likely increase and this would seem to add an unnecessary strain onto the existing on-street parking arrangements. The council refused an application at our own property to create off street parking, and surely this application would make the on-street parking substantially worse.

We also think the side extension would be overbearing in the gap and damaging to the sense of separation between properties in the conservation area.

Whilst we appreciate the completion of the building works at this site, we feel that the scheme they have already got planning permission for is incredibly large, and this proposal is unnecessary and as such feel it should be refused.

Regards Jessica and Neil Smith (hard copy of letter in post).