Delegat	port	Analysis sheet				Expiry	Date:	17/09/2014			
			N/A /	attacl			Expiry	Itation Date:	28/8/14		
Officer Nick Baxter					<b>Applic</b> 2014/4	ation Nu 253/L	imber(s	5)			
					-						
Application Address 114 St. Pancras Way				Drawing Nu			oers				
London NW1 9NB			180-16 exis			exist, 18	180-15 (rev C) prop				
PO 3/4	m Signature	e C8	LUD	Author	Authorised Officer Signature						
Proposal(s)											
Installation of second-floor bathroom and alterations to partitions											
Recommendation(s):		Grant listed building consent									
Application Type:		Listed Building Consent									
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:		Refer to Draft Decision Notice									
Informatives:											
Consultation	S										
Adjoining Occupiers:		No. notified	ł	20	No. of responses		00	No. of a	objections	00	
			No		No. electro	. electronic					
		No. electronic 00   Ham&High 7/8/14-28/8/14, site visit 6/8/14-27/8/14, no responses									
Summary of consultation responses:		After revisions, the proposal now entails internal works only, so the associated planning permission is to be withdrawn and the consultations are unnecessary.									
		Jeffrey's Street CAAC no response.									
CAAC/Local gro comments: *Please Specify	oups*										

## Site Description

The site is one of a terrace of 14 GIIL terraced houses of 1820 that make a positive contribution to the Jeffrey's Street Conservation Area.

## **Relevant History**

NA

**Relevant policies** 

LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies DP24 (Securing High-Quality Design) DP25 (Conserving Camden's Heritage) CS14 (Promoting High-Quality Places and Conserving our Heritage) NPPF

## Assessment

The site has been the subject of an unsympathetic central bathroom insertion, with removal of the ceiling above and complete loss of the original spine wall, which has been replaced by a wall of cupboards in a different location.

The original proposal aimed to compound this harm by removing the ceiling above the rear bedroom and adding two additional roof lights, and subdividing the front bedroom into a bedroom and bathroom.

This subdivision of a principal room and loss of spatial character was considered unacceptable.

In response, the applicant modified the scheme to remove the loss of the rear ceiling and to partition the bedroom with glass instead of a stud wall. This subdivision of a front room would normally still have been considered unacceptable.

However, on this occasion, the proposal contains the benefit of reinstating the spine wall in its original position, creating a more authentic relationship with the back room, stairs and landing, and removing the central bathroom and reinstating its ceiling.

The front room's proportions can, in principal, still be appreciated through the glass and, while a front room, it is at second-floor level, so is not a principal storey. In this instance and under these circumstances, the proposal is considered acceptable.

The pipework is to be channelled parallel to the joists.

The panelling under the window is to remain in situ and unaltered.

No external works are proposed or consented.