

The Chapel House  
100A Fellows Road  
London NW3 3JG  
14<sup>th</sup> November 2014

Dear Mr Watts,  
Re: Application Ref 2014/6647/P  
Address: Flat 2, 100 Fellows Rd, London NW3 3JG

- 1) Previous history - We would like to draw your attention to a similar application in 1997 for 100 Fellows Rd, PE9700507-erection of a 2-storey rear extension, balcony at upper floor level with staircase access to garden- Camden Council refused planning permission based on the fact that it “would be harmful to the character and appearance of the individual building and this part of the conservation area”.
- 2) Throughout the application, the property above is referred to as a house. This is misleading, as it is Flat 2, 100 Fellows Rd (there are a further 3 flats).
- 3) Visual impact from Eton Avenue (north) - The proposal is of a substantial extension to the property, across two floors, which will have a detrimental impact on the overall character of the building in a conservation area.
- 4) Visual impact from Fellows Rd (south) - The side extension and loss of the side access is clearly visible from Fellows Rd and leads to loss of the view of the gardens and trees in Eton Avenue and therefore has a detrimental impact
- 5) Privacy - The rear extension on the upper floor would have an impact on privacy for all the surrounding neighbours. It will certainly have an impact on our privacy, as their terrace will directly overlook our terrace and garden.
- 6) Overall visibility impact - We disagree with the applicant’s comment that the visibility impact would have a positive appeal as it is bulky and would certainly change the character of the whole building, in particular our house, which at present has a very distinct and independent appearance, as a former chapel. There is also the future potential of a further application being made for a third storey extension and /or terrace.
- 7) The application seems to assume that the application made by Flat 1, 100 Fellows Rd (RE:2014/5327/P) is “a fait accompli”. Please refer to our comments regarding this application.

We therefore strongly oppose the application on the grounds of design, visual impact, privacy, size and overall change in character of the building in a conservation area.

Yours faithfully,

Drs Zaide and Otto Chan

Cc Ms Marriott-Brittan

The Chapel House  
100A Fellows Road  
London NW3 3JG  
14<sup>th</sup> November 2014

Dear Mr Watts,  
Re: Application Ref 2014/6647/P  
Address: Flat 2, 100 Fellows Rd, London NW3 3JG

- 1) Previous history - We would like to draw your attention to a similar application in 1997 for 100 Fellows Rd, PE9700507-erection of a 2-storey rear extension, balcony at upper floor level with staircase access to garden- Camden Council refused planning permission based on the fact that it “would be harmful to the character and appearance of the individual building and this part of the conservation area”.
- 2) Throughout the application, the property above is referred to as a house. This is misleading, as it is Flat 2, 100 Fellows Rd (there are a further 3 flats).
- 3) Visual impact from Eton Avenue (north) - The proposal is of a substantial extension to the property, across two floors, which will have a detrimental impact on the overall character of the building in a conservation area.
- 4) Visual impact from Fellows Rd (south) - The side extension and loss of the side access is clearly visible from Fellows Rd and leads to loss of the view of the gardens and trees in Eton Avenue and therefore has a detrimental impact
- 5) Privacy - The rear extension on the upper floor would have an impact on privacy for all the surrounding neighbours. It will certainly have an impact on our privacy, as their terrace will directly overlook our terrace and garden.
- 6) Overall visibility impact - We disagree with the applicant’s comment that the visibility impact would have a positive appeal as it is bulky and would certainly change the character of the whole building, in particular our house, which at present has a very distinct and independent appearance, as a former chapel. There is also the future potential of a further application being made for a third storey extension and /or terrace.
- 7) The application seems to assume that the application made by Flat 1, 100 Fellows Rd (RE:2014/5327/P) is “a fait accompli”. Please refer to our comments regarding this application.

We therefore strongly oppose the application on the grounds of design, visual impact, privacy, size and overall change in character of the building in a conservation area.

Yours faithfully,

Drs Zaide and Otto Chan

Cc Ms Marriott-Brittan