

From: Thuairé, Charles
Sent: 17 October 2014 10:31
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Athlone House Reference number: APP/X5210/A/14/2220872

Ditto for 2013/7242/P

Charles Thuairé
Senior Planning Officer

Telephone: [REDACTED]

From: Traynor, Deirdre
Sent: 16 October 2014 18:32
To: Thuairé, Charles; Walker, Hannah; Planning Appeals
Subject: FW: Athlone House Reference number: APP/X5210/A/14/2220872

PI record

Deirdre Traynor
Principal Appeals Enforcement Officer

Telephone: [REDACTED]

From: juliet rix [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 October 2014 09:52
To: Planning
Subject: Fwd: Athlone House Reference number: APP/X5210/A/14/2220872

Dear Sirs/Madams,

What is the point of agreements with developers if they are not held to those agreements. It makes the council look ridiculous, loses the trust of the local community and sets these agreements up to fail for the future. I can see no justification for allowing the demolition of Athlone House and wish to add my voice to the many objections to it.

Planning permission for the luxury flats in the house's grounds was given on the written assurance from the developers that the house would be restored, and would never have been granted without this commitment.

The recent Court of Appeal decision Newham versus Ali ruled that, unless there are exceptional circumstances, parties must be held to Section 106 agreements. The public are rightly outraged that, having profited from their permission, the developers are now seeking to disregard their agreement to restore this locally much-loved historic building.

We are also dismayed to discover that Athlone House has been allowed to deteriorate, despite the developers' legal obligation to keep it in good repair, and hope that this will be disregarded as a factor in justifying demolition and that they will be held to their agreement to look after it.

Camden have had an unprecedented 6,000+ objections to the demolition of the house, and other objectors include English Heritage, the City of London, Save Britain's Heritage, the Ancient Monuments Society, the Victorian Society and the Greater London Authority. The overwhelming public mood is that the house must be retained and that Camden's planning committee were wrong to say that they can no longer oppose demolition.

Public faith in the planning process will be completely undermined if the developers are allowed to demolish this historic house and replace it with what has been described in the Borough of Haringey's own objection as as a "Stalinist Wedding Cake" and by Terry Gilliam as "a pile of oversized, over-blown, ostentatious mediocrity": views which the general public share.

I trust that your decision will finally bring an end to this protracted campaign by the developers to shirk their responsibilities and will protect Athlone House, now and in the future.

thank you
Juliet

(local resident)

--

Juliet Rix

Writer, Editor, Broadcaster

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]